

# World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/



(Review Article)



# The punisher: A collaborative framework for global web security

Muhammad Zeeshan Zafar \*

Department of Computer Science, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 25(02), 2519-2521

Publication history: Received on 31 December 2024; revised on 15 February 2025; accepted on 18 February 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.2.0596

### **Abstract**

The internet faces relentless threats—DDoS attacks, XSS, and SQL injections—yet current defenses remain reactive, localized, and easily bypassed by attackers using VPNs or proxies. The Punisher introduces a proactive, multi-level framework to detect, expose, and neutralize malicious actors globally. Deployable as a WordPress plugin, an API for web frameworks, or a hosting-level filter, it leverages advanced IP detection and a decentralized threat-sharing system to blacklist attackers across DNS providers, ISPs, and tech ecosystems. This paper presents its architecture, evaluates its feasibility, and calls for collaboration to revolutionize web security.

Keywords: Web Security; DDoS Attacks; XSS; IP Detection; Blockchain; Collaborative Framework

### 1. Introduction

Web security is at a crossroads. In 2024 alone, DDoS attacks surged by 53% [1], while XSS and SQL injections continue to exploit unpatched vulnerabilities [2]. Traditional solutions—firewalls, Web Application Firewalls (WAFs), and Content Delivery Networks (CDNs)—mitigate immediate threats but fail to address attackers' operational continuity. Localized blocking is thwarted by IP spoofing, VPNs, and botnets, underscoring the need for a systemic, global response.

The Punisher is a multi-tiered framework designed to shift the paradigm from reactive defense to proactive neutralization. It operates at three levels: a WordPress plugin for site owners, an API for developers, and a hosting-level filter for infrastructure resilience. By uncovering real IP addresses behind obfuscation [3] and coordinating with global stakeholders—DNS providers, ISPs, and tech giants—it aims to blacklist malicious actors universally. This paper outlines its design, feasibility, and transformative potential.

## 2. Related Work

Existing web security tools, such as Web Application Firewalls (WAFs) utilized by companies like Cloudflare, absorb attacks but rarely prevent recurrence [4]. Threat intelligence platforms (e.g., AbuseIPDB) share data, yet lack real-time enforcement. Collaborative efforts like the Cyber Threat Alliance focus on intelligence rather than action. The Punisher bridges these gaps by combining real-time detection with a decentralized, actionable blacklist, targeting attackers' infrastructure rather than their symptoms.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Muhammad Zeeshan Zafar

#### 3. The Punisher Framework

#### 3.1. Architecture

### 3.1.1. WordPress Plugin

- Features an "Allow Pen Test" switch:
  - o On: Ethical hackers access a safe endpoint returning true, encouraging responsible testing.
  - o Off: Detects malicious activity (e.g., DDoS, XSS) and flags attacker IPs.
- Open-source design invites community enhancements.

### 3.1.2. API for Web Frameworks

- Integrates with Django, Laravel, or Next.js for real-time threat detection and response.
- Reports IPs to a decentralized system via RESTful endpoints.

### 3.1.3. Hosting-Level Integration

- Filters traffic at the provider level using high-capacity resources.
- Reduces server load during large-scale attacks like DDoS.

#### 3.2. IP Detection Mechanism

### 3.2.1. Techniques

- Packet Inspection: Identifies VPN/proxy inconsistencies (e.g., header anomalies).
- Behavioral Analysis: Machine learning models flag patterns like rapid IP rotation or latency spikes.
- Honeypots: Decoy endpoints capture attacker IPs organically.
- Output: Real IPs are validated and sent to a decentralized threat ledger.

### 3.3. Global Collaboration

#### 3.3.1. Decentralized Threat Ledger:

- A blockchain-based system aggregates flagged IPs, ensuring transparency and resilience.
- Managed by a consortium of tech firms, ISPs, and academia.

### 3.3.2. Stakeholder Roles

- DNS Providers (e.g., Cloudflare): Blacklist IPs and warn users via browser alerts.
- *ISPs*: Block traffic at the network layer, leveraging traffic pattern insights.
- Tech Giants (e.g., AWS): Deny service to flagged IPs, amplifying deterrence.

### 3.3.3. Reputation System

• IPs accrue violation points; thresholds trigger temporary bans (e.g., 24 hours) or permanent blacklisting.

### 4. Feasibility and Challenges

### 4.1. Technical Feasibility

- IP Detection: Models trained on traffic datasets can achieve high accuracy in detecting VPN usage [3].
- Scalability: Bloom filters and distributed ledgers handle millions of IPs with low latency.

### 4.2. Legal and Ethical Considerations

- Privacy: IP blacklisting risks misidentifying users.
  - o Fix: Transparent appeals process; temporary bans escalate only with evidence.
- Abuse: Could be weaponized for censorship.
  - o Fix: Independent oversight board audits decisions.

### 4.3. Security

- Threat: The ledger is a high-value target.
  - o Fix: Decentralization and cryptographic Signing mitigate single-point failures.

### 5. Case Studies

### 5.1. DDoS Attack

• A 10,000-botnet assault floods a site. The Punisher's hosting filter isolates real IPs, reporting them to the ledger. DNS providers blacklist them within minutes, halting the attack globally.

# 5.2. XSS Exploit

 A script injection attempt is traced via honeypot. The IP is blocked locally and added to the ledger, preventing further exploits across platforms.

### 6. Discussion

# 6.1. Impact

- Neutralizes attackers by targeting their infrastructure, not just their actions.
- Deters malicious activity by raising operational costs (e.g., frequent IP acquisition).

#### 6.2. Limitations

- Attackers may evade via encrypted tunnels (e.g., Tor).
- Adoption hinges on stakeholder buy-in, requiring incentives like reduced attack traffic.

### 6.3. Future Work

- Enhance detection with AI-driven anomaly prediction.
- Expand to IoT and API security, addressing phishing or ransomware.

#### 7. Conclusion

The Punisher redefines web security through multi-level deployment and global collaboration. By unmasking attackers and enforcing universal blacklisting, it offers a scalable, proactive solution. We invite developers, ISPs, and DNS providers to join this open-source initiative and build a safer internet together.

## References

- [1] Cloudflare. DDoS Threat Report for 2024 Q4. Cloudflare Blog. 2024. Available from: https://blog.cloudflare.com/ddos-threat-report-for-2024-q4. Accessed February 25, 2025.
- [2] OWASP Foundation. OWASP Top 10 2021 [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://owasp.org/Top10. Accessed February 25, 2025.
- [3] Miller S, Curran K, Lunney T. Detection of virtual private network traffic using machine learning. Int J Wireless Netw Broadband Technol. 2020;9(2):60-80. Available from: https://www.igi-global.com/article/detection-of-virtual-private-network-traffic-using-machine-learning/257779.
- [4] Pałka D, Zachara M. Learning Web Application Firewall Benefits and Caveats. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 6908. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2011. p. 257-268. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226351120\_Learning\_Web\_Application\_Firewall\_-\_\_Benefits\_and\_Caveats (Accessed: February 26, 2025). DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-23300-5\_23.