International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra

Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(Review Article)

Development of carbon capture and storage technologies in natural gas facilities for clean energy production

Waheed Adedeji Ashiru [1], Oladipupo Opeyemi Solaja [2], Bamidele Ajanaku [3] and Samod Adetunji Adebayo [4,*]

[1] *Ogun-Osun River Basin Development Authority, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.*

[2] *Department of Business Administration, University Canada West, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.*

[3] *Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering and Technology, Ladoke Akintola University of Science and Technology, Ogbomosho, Nigeria.*

[4] *Department of Chemical Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho, Oyo, Nigeria.*

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 14(02), 1718-1726

Publication history: Received on 08 January 2025; revised on 15 February 2025; accepted on 18 February 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.14.2.0493

Abstract

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies represent a critical strategic intervention in addressing global climate change challenges while maintaining energy security in natural gas infrastructure. This comprehensive review explores the multifaceted landscape of CCS technologies, examining technological innovations, economic frameworks, and environmental implications for clean energy production. By synthesizing current research and emerging approaches, the study provides a holistic assessment of CCS potential in mitigating carbon emissions from natural gas facilities.

The review critically analyzes existing capture technologies, including post-combustion, pre-combustion, and oxy-fuel combustion methods, highlighting recent advances in material sciences and technological innovations. Economic considerations are examined through the lens of investment strategies, policy frameworks, and long-term viability. Environmental performance evaluation encompasses comprehensive emissions assessment, geological storage risks, and broader ecosystem implications.

Key findings underscore the complexity of CCS implementation, revealing both significant challenges and promising opportunities for transforming natural gas infrastructure. The study emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary approaches, robust policy support, and continued technological innovation to realize the full potential of carbon capture technologies in achieving sustainable energy transitions.

Keywords: Carbon Capture and Storage; Natural Gas; Clean Energy; Emissions Reduction, Technological Innovation; Sustainable Energy

1. Introduction

The global energy landscape stands at a critical crossroads, confronting the dual challenges of meeting escalating energy demands while simultaneously addressing the urgent imperative of climate change mitigation. Natural gas has long been positioned as a transitional fuel, offering lower carbon emissions compared to coal while providing the flexibility and reliability essential to modern energy systems [1]. However, the continued reliance on fossil fuels necessitates transformative approaches to decarbonization [2].

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies represent a sophisticated and promising pathway to reconcile the ongoing need for fossil fuel-based energy with ambitious global climate objectives [3]. Unlike alternative strategies that

* Corresponding author: Samod Adetunji Adebayo.

focus solely on fuel substitution, CCS offers a nuanced approach that enables the continued utilization of existing energy infrastructure while dramatically reducing carbon emissions. This technological intervention is particularly crucial in the context of natural gas facilities, which play a significant role in global energy production.

The complexity of implementing CCS extends far beyond mere technological innovation. It encompasses a multifaceted challenge involving technological development, economic feasibility, regulatory frameworks, and environmental considerations [4]. Successful deployment requires a comprehensive understanding of the intricate interactions between technological capabilities, economic incentives, and environmental imperatives. Moreover, CCS must be viewed not as a standalone solution but as an integral component of a broader strategy to transition towards a low-carbon energy ecosystem.

Emerging economies and developed nations alike face unique challenges in CCS implementation [5]. While developed countries possess more advanced technological capabilities and financial resources, developing nations must balance energy access requirements with environmental sustainability. This global context necessitates adaptable and context-specific approaches to carbon capture technologies that can be tailored to diverse technological, economic, and environmental landscapes.

The significance of CCS extends beyond immediate carbon reduction. It represents a critical bridge technology that can facilitate a more gradual and manageable transition to renewable energy systems [6]. By enabling continued use of existing infrastructure while progressively reducing carbon intensity, CCS provides a pragmatic and flexible approach to decarbonization. This review aims to comprehensively explore the technological, economic, and strategic dimensions of CCS in natural gas facilities, offering insights into its potential as a transformative strategy for clean energy production.

2. Technological Landscape of Carbon Capture in Natural Gas Facilities

2.1. Fundamental Capture Technologies

The technological foundation of carbon capture in natural gas facilities comprises three primary methodological approaches, each with distinct operational characteristics and performance parameters. Post-combustion capture technologies represent the most mature and widely implemented approach, involving the extraction of carbon dioxide from flue gases after the combustion process [7]. This method utilizes sophisticated chemical solvents, typically amine-based solutions, that selectively bind with CO2 molecules, enabling their subsequent separation and storage.

Pre-combustion capture technologies offer an alternative approach by converting natural gas into hydrogen and carbon dioxide before combustion. This method involves steam reforming or gasification processes that transform the hydrocarbon into a mixture of hydrogen and carbon monoxide, which is then further processed to separate CO2. The resulting pure hydrogen can be utilized for combustion, presenting potential advantages in overall energy efficiency and capture rates [8].

Oxy-fuel combustion represents a more advanced technological paradigm, fundamentally altering the combustion environment by utilizing pure oxygen instead of ambient air [9]. This approach generates a flue gas stream comprised primarily of CO2 and water vapor, significantly simplifying the carbon capture process. By eliminating nitrogen from the combustion process, oxy-fuel technologies can achieve higher concentration CO2 streams, facilitating more efficient capture and subsequent storage.

2.2. Advanced Capture Technologies and Material Innovations

Recent technological developments have focused on addressing historical limitations in carbon capture efficiency and energy consumption. Emerging materials such as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and advanced polymer membranes represent promising frontiers in capture technology [10]. These innovative materials offer enhanced selective permeability, improved thermal stability, and reduced energy requirements compared to traditional amine-based systems.

Nanotechnology has emerged as a particularly exciting domain for CCS technological innovation. Nanomaterials with engineered surface properties can dramatically improve CO2 adsorption capacities and selectivity [11]. Researchers are exploring various nanomaterial configurations, including graphene-based composites, zeolite nanostructures, and functionalized carbon nanotubes, each presenting unique advantages in capture efficiency and operational flexibility.

2.3. Integration and System Optimization Technologies

System integration represents a critical frontier in CCS technological development for natural gas facilities. Advanced process integration techniques focus on minimizing energy penalties and improving overall system efficiency by developing sophisticated heat exchange systems, optimizing capture process thermodynamics, and creating more streamlined infrastructure designs [12]. These approaches aim to reduce the significant energy consumption historically associated with carbon capture technologies.

Hybrid capture systems are emerging as a particularly promising approach to technological optimization [13]. These systems combine multiple capture methodologies, leveraging the strengths of different technological approaches to enhance overall performance. For instance, membrane-based technologies might be integrated with chemical absorption systems to create more efficient and flexible capture infrastructures that can adapt to varying operational conditions.

Digital twin technologies are increasingly being deployed to enhance CCS system performance [14]. These sophisticated computational models create real-time virtual representations of physical capture systems, enabling predictive maintenance, performance optimization, and advanced scenario modeling. By providing unprecedented insights into system dynamics, digital twin technologies promise to substantially improve the operational efficiency and reliability of carbon capture infrastructures [15].

3. Economic and Strategic Considerations

3.1. Economic Viability and Cost Dynamics

The economic landscape of carbon capture technologies represents a complex ecosystem of technological, market, and policy-driven factors [16]. Current capture costs demonstrate significant variability, typically ranging between $40 and $120 per ton of CO2, reflecting the diverse technological approaches and regional economic contexts [17]. This economic complexity stems from multiple interdependent variables, including initial capital investment, operational expenses, technological maturity, and potential revenue streams.

The economic assessment of CCS technologies extends beyond direct capture costs. A comprehensive economic evaluation must consider lifecycle economics, including infrastructure development, ongoing maintenance, potential carbon credit revenues, and long-term environmental cost avoidance. Emerging financial mechanisms, such as carbon pricing schemes and international carbon trading platforms, are increasingly reshaping the economic calculus of carbon capture technologies [18].

3.2. Investment and Financing Strategies

Private sector investment in CCS technologies has historically been constrained by significant uncertainties and high initial capital requirements [19]. Innovative financing models are emerging to address these challenges, including blended finance approaches that combine public and private capital, green bond mechanisms, and specialized climate infrastructure funds [20]. These strategies aim to distribute financial risks and create more attractive investment propositions for potential stakeholders.

International development agencies and multilateral financial institutions are playing an increasingly critical role in CCS technology deployment [21]. By providing risk mitigation instruments, concessional financing, and technical assistance, these organizations help bridge the financial gaps that often impede large-scale CCS infrastructure development. Particularly in emerging economies, such financial support can be instrumental in accelerating technological adoption and infrastructure transformation.

3.3. Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

Effective policy frameworks are crucial in creating enabling environments for CCS technology implementation. Successful regulatory approaches typically combine multiple strategic interventions, including carbon pricing mechanisms, investment incentives, mandatory emissions reduction targets, and supportive legal frameworks for carbon storage and transportation [22].

Different global regions have demonstrated varied approaches to CCS policy development. Some jurisdictions have implemented comprehensive carbon pricing systems, while others focus on direct financial incentives for technological development and deployment [23]. The most successful policy frameworks demonstrate flexibility, long-term commitment, and a holistic approach to emissions reduction that extends beyond singular technological interventions.

4. Environmental and Performance Evaluation

4.1. Comprehensive Emissions Assessment

Environmental evaluation of CCS technologies requires a nuanced, lifecycle approach that extends beyond simple carbon capture metrics. A holistic assessment must consider embodied emissions associated with capture infrastructure, energy consumed during separation processes, transportation logistics, and long-term storage stability. Current technologies demonstrate capture efficiencies between 85% and 95%, representing significant potential for meaningful emissions reduction [24].

The environmental performance of CCS technologies is inherently context-dependent. Factors such as regional energy mix, geological storage characteristics, and existing industrial infrastructure substantially influence overall environmental outcomes [25]. Advanced life cycle assessment methodologies are increasingly being developed to provide more comprehensive and granular environmental performance evaluations.

4.2. Geological Storage and Environmental Risks

Geological carbon sequestration represents a critical component of the CCS value chain, demanding rigorous scientific assessment to ensure long-term safety and stability [26]. Potential storage locations include depleted hydrocarbon reservoirs, deep saline aquifers, and specific geological formations with appropriate chemical and structural characteristics [27]. Advanced monitoring technologies, including high-resolution seismic imaging, geochemical tracking systems, and continuous sensor networks, are essential for verifying storage integrity [28].

Environmental risk management in geological carbon storage involves comprehensive multi-disciplinary assessments. Geologists, environmental scientists, and engineering experts collaborate to evaluate potential risks such as potential leakage, induced seismicity, and long-term geological formation stability [29]. Emerging research focuses on developing more sophisticated predictive models and monitoring technologies to enhance storage safety and reliability [30].

4.3. Ecosystem and Biodiversity Considerations

Environmental assessments of carbon capture and storage technologies extend far beyond direct carbon reduction metrics, demanding a comprehensive approach to ecological preservation. interactions The potential between CCS infrastructure and local ecosystems represent a critical area of scientific inquiry, requiring sophisticated multidisciplinary research methodologies that can capture complex environmental dynamics [31].

Emerging research focuses on developing holistic evaluation frameworks that can comprehensively assess the potential ecosystem impacts of geological carbon storage. Advanced modeling techniques and long-term ecological monitoring are essential in understanding the nuanced environmental consequences of large-scale carbon capture interventions [32].

The integration of ecological considerations into CCS project design represents a critical evolution in environmental management strategies [33]. This approach requires active collaboration between environmental scientists, geologists, and engineering experts to develop carbon capture solutions that minimize ecological disruption while maximizing carbon reduction potential. Innovative methodologies are emerging that prioritize ecosystem preservation alongside carbon mitigation objectives, signaling a more holistic approach to environmental infrastructure development [34].

5. Emerging Technologies and Innovative Approaches

5.1. Next-Generation Capture Technologies

Technological innovation continues to push the boundaries of carbon capture capabilities. Nanomaterial-based capture systems represent a promising frontier, offering unprecedented levels of selectivity and efficiency in CO2 separation [35]. Researchers are exploring advanced nanomaterials with engineered surface properties that can dramatically enhance capture performance, including graphene-based composites, zeolite nanostructures, and functionalized carbon nanotubes.

Biomimetic capture technologies offer another exciting avenue of research, drawing inspiration from natural carbon sequestration mechanisms [36]. These approaches seek to replicate biological processes of carbon capture, potentially offering more energy-efficient and environmentally compatible capture methods. Researchers are investigating mechanisms found in marine organisms, photosynthetic systems, and other natural carbon management strategies to develop innovative capture technologies.

Hybrid capture-utilization systems represent a transformative approach that goes beyond traditional carbon capture models. These technologies aim to convert captured carbon into valuable industrial feedstocks, creating economic incentives that extend beyond emissions reduction [37]. Potential applications include synthetic fuel production, chemical manufacturing, and material science innovations that can transform captured carbon into economically valuable resources.

5.2. Digital Monitoring and Management Systems

Digital technologies are revolutionizing CCS infrastructure management through advanced monitoring and optimization capabilities. Artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms provide unprecedented insights into capture process performance, enabling real-time operational optimization and predictive maintenance strategies [38].

Advanced sensor networks and Internet of Things (IoT) technologies are being developed to provide comprehensive, continuous monitoring of carbon capture and storage systems [39]. These technologies can track multiple performance parameters, detect potential anomalies, and provide early warning systems for potential infrastructure risks. The integration of edge computing and cloud-based analytics enables more sophisticated data processing and decision-making capabilities [40].

The convergence of digital technologies with CCS infrastructure opens new possibilities for performance enhancement and risk management [41]. Sophisticated simulation models can now predict long-term storage stability, optimize capture processes, and provide detailed insights into system performance. These digital innovations are crucial in addressing the complex challenges associated with large-scale carbon capture and storage implementations.

5.3. Circular Carbon Economy Innovations

The concept of a circular carbon economy represents a transformative approach to carbon management, moving beyond traditional capture and storage models [42]. This paradigm seeks to create economic value from captured carbon, integrating carbon capture with industrial processes that can utilize $CO2$ as a valuable resource.

Emerging technological innovations are exploring multiple pathways for carbon utilization, including conversion to synthetic fuels, chemical feedstocks, and advanced materials. Electrochemical conversion technologies, for instance, can transform captured carbon into valuable chemical compounds, creating potential revenue streams that can offset capture and storage costs [43].

Policy frameworks are increasingly recognizing the potential of circular carbon economy approaches. Governments and international organizations are developing supportive mechanisms that incentivize carbon utilization technologies, creating economic ecosystems that can drive innovation and investment in advanced carbon management strategies.

6. Challenges and Future Directions

The trajectory of CCS technologies is shaped by a complex interplay of technological, economic, and environmental challenges [44]. Technological barriers remain significant, including the need to improve capture efficiency, reduce energy penalties associated with capture processes, and develop more cost-effective separation technologies. Continued research and development must focus on breakthrough innovations that can fundamentally transform capture performance and economic viability.

Economic challenges persist in creating sustainable business models for CCS deployment [45]. This requires developing comprehensive economic frameworks that appropriately value carbon reduction, create viable revenue streams, and provide sufficient long-term investment certainty. Policy mechanisms, including robust carbon pricing systems and targeted financial incentives, will be crucial in addressing these economic barriers.

The scalability of CCS technologies represents another critical challenge. Moving from demonstration projects to large-scale, widespread implementation demands coordinated efforts across technological, economic, and policy domains.

International collaboration will be essential in sharing knowledge, distributing risks, and creating standardized approaches to CCS deployment.

Environmental and social considerations must be increasingly integrated into CCS development strategies. This includes not only rigorous scientific assessment of storage safety but also meaningful engagement with local communities, comprehensive environmental impact evaluations, and alignment with broader sustainable development objectives.

Future research and development must adopt increasingly interdisciplinary and holistic approaches. This involves breaking down traditional disciplinary silos, fostering collaboration between engineering, environmental sciences, economics, and social sciences, and developing more integrated frameworks for technological innovation and assessment.

7. Recommendations

Governments and policy makers must prioritize the creation of comprehensive regulatory frameworks that incentivize CCS technology development and deployment. This involves establishing clear carbon pricing mechanisms, providing targeted financial incentives, and developing long-term strategic plans that integrate CCS into broader decarbonization objectives. International collaboration will be crucial in standardizing approaches and sharing technological knowledge.

Research institutions and private sector entities should focus on developing more integrated and interdisciplinary approaches to CCS innovation. This requires breaking down traditional disciplinary silos, fostering collaboration across engineering, environmental sciences, economics, and social sciences, and developing more holistic frameworks for technological assessment and development. Targeted funding and support for high-risk, high-potential research should be a priority.

Stakeholders across the energy ecosystem must commit to a comprehensive approach to technology transfer and capacity building. This involves developing mechanisms to support technology diffusion, particularly in emerging economies, creating international knowledge-sharing platforms, and investing in human capital development. By prioritizing skills development and knowledge exchange, we can accelerate the global deployment of CCS technologies.

8. Conclusion

Carbon capture and storage technologies represent a critical pathway in the global transition towards sustainable energy systems. The complex interplay of technological innovation, economic considerations, and environmental imperatives demands a comprehensive and nuanced approach to CCS development. As global energy landscapes continue to evolve, these technologies offer a pragmatic strategy for managing carbon emissions while maintaining energy security.

The potential of CCS extends beyond immediate carbon reduction, positioning it as a crucial bridge technology in the broader energy transition. By enabling continued utilization of existing infrastructure while progressively reducing carbon intensity, CCS provides a flexible and adaptive approach to decarbonization. This approach recognizes the practical challenges of rapid energy system transformation, offering a more manageable pathway to low-carbon futures.

The future of CCS will be shaped by continued interdisciplinary collaboration, technological innovation, and a commitment to holistic, sustainable development strategies. Success will require ongoing investment in research, development of supportive policy frameworks, and a willingness to embrace complex, adaptive approaches to global energy challenges.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Bugaje AA, Dioha MO, Abraham-Dukuma MC, Wakil M. Rethinking the position of natural gas in a low-carbon energy transition. Energy Research & Social Science. 2022 Aug 1;90:102604.

[2] Arent DJ, Green P, Abdullah Z, Barnes T, Bauer S, Bernstein A, Berry D, Berry J, Burrell T, Carpenter B, Cochran J. Challenges and opportunities in decarbonizing the US energy system. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2022 Nov 1;169:112939.

[3] Fragkos P. Assessing the role of carbon capture and storage in mitigation pathways of developing economies. Energies. 2021 Mar 29;14(7):1879.

[4] Nath F, Mahmood MN, Yousuf N. Recent advances in CCUS: A critical review on technologies, regulatory aspects and economics. Geoenergy Science and Engineering. 2024 Apr 21:212726.

[5] Román M. Carbon capture and storage in developing countries: A comparison of Brazil, South Africa and India. Global Environmental Change. 2011 May 1;21(2):391-401.

[6] Kabeyi MJ, Olanrewaju OA. Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. Frontiers in Energy research. 2022 Mar 24;9:743114.

[7] Raganati F, Ammendola P. CO2 post-combustion capture: a critical review of Current technologies and future directions. Energy & Fuels. 2024 Jul 15;38(15):13858-905.

[8] Gregory DP, Ng DY, Long GM. The hydrogen economy. Springer US; 1972.

[9] Rennings K, Markewitz P, Vögele S. How clean is clean? Incremental versus radical technological change in coal-fired power plants. Journal of Evolutionary Economics. 2013 Apr;23:331-55.

[10] Li W, Xu P, Wang Z, He Y, Qin H, Zeng Y, Li Y, Zhang Z, Gao J. MOFs meet membrane: application in water treatment and separation. Materials Chemistry Frontiers. 2023;7(21):5140-70.

[11] Ma Y, Wang Z, Xu X, Wang J. Review on porous nanomaterials for adsorption and photocatalytic conversion of CO2. Chinese Journal of Catalysis. 2017 Dec 1;38(12):1956-69.

[12] Chen H, Ahmed OA, Singh PK, Abdullaeva BS, Alhadrawi M, Elmasry Y, Safi MS, Mahariq I. Coupling a thermoelectric-based heat recovery and hydrogen production unit with a SOFC-powered multi-generation structure; an in-depth economic machine learning-driven analysis. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering. 2024 Sep 1;61:105046.

[13] Hasan MF, Zantye MS, Kazi MK. Challenges and opportunities in carbon capture, utilization and storage: A process systems engineering perspective. Computers & Chemical Engineering. 2022 Oct 1;166:107925.

[14] Rawat D, Alya Hazali N, Kumar Krishnan R. Shifting Field Operation Regimen from a Reactive to Proactive Approach through Digital Twins and Flow Assurance Workflows. InOffshore Technology Conference Asia 2024 Feb 22 (p. D031S026R006). OTC.

[15] Enyejo, J.O., Fajana, O.P., Jok, I.S., Ihejirika, C.J., Awotiwon, B.O. and Olola, T.M., 2024. Digital Twin Technology, Predictive Analytics, and Sustainable Project Management in Global Supply Chains for Risk Mitigation, Optimization, and Carbon Footprint Reduction through Green Initiatives. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 9(11).

[16] Alizadeh SM, Khalili Y, Ahmadi M. Comprehensive Review of Carbon Capture and Storage Integration in Hydrogen Production: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Perspectives. Energies. 2024 Oct 26;17(21):5330.

[17] Chyong CK, Reiner DM, Ly R, Fajardy M. Economic modelling of flexible carbon capture and storage in a decarbonised electricity system. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2023 Dec 1;188:113864.

[18] Tang YE, Fan R, Cai AZ, Wang LY, Lin RM, Meng XZ, Chen L, Guo R. Rethinking personal carbon trading (PCT) mechanism: a comprehensive review. Journal of Environmental Management. 2023 Oct 15;344:118478.

[19] Zhu L, Fan Y. A real options–based CCS investment evaluation model: Case study of China's power generation sector. Applied Energy. 2011 Dec 1;88(12):4320-33.

[20] Jena LP, Bibhudatta A. Enhancing Blended Financing for a Sustainable Future: Challenges and Potential Solutions.

[21] Liu H, Liang X. Strategy for promoting low-carbon technology transfer to developing countries: The case of CCS. Energy Policy. 2011 Jun 1;39(6):3106-16.

[22] Brown MA, Chandler J, Lapsa MV, Sovacool BK. Carbon lock-in: barriers to deploying climate change mitigation technologies. Oak Ridge National Lab.(ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN (United States); Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA (United States); 2008 Jan 1.

[23] Tvinnereim E, Mehling M. Carbon pricing and deep decarbonisation. Energy policy. 2018 Oct 1;121:185-9.

[24] Saygin D, Patel MK, Worrell E, Tam C, Gielen DJ. Potential of best practice technology to improve energy efficiency in the global chemical and petrochemical sector. Energy. 2011 Sep 1;36(9):5779-90.

[25] Singleton GR. Geologic storage of carbon dioxide: risk analyses and implications for public acceptance (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology).

[26] Sori A, Moghaddas J, Abedpour H. Comprehensive review of experimental studies, numerical modeling, leakage risk assessment, monitoring, and control in geological storage of carbon dioxide: Implications for effective CO2 deployment strategies. Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology. 2024 Oct;14(5):887-913.

[27] Raad SM, Leonenko Y, Hassanzadeh H. Hydrogen storage in saline aquifers: Opportunities and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2022 Oct 1;168:112846.

[28] Ghorbani Y, Zhang SE, Nwaila GT, Bourdeau JE, Safari M, Hoseinie SH, Nwaila P, Ruuska J. Dry laboratories–Mapping the required instrumentation and infrastructure for online monitoring, analysis, and characterization in the mineral industry. Minerals Engineering. 2023 Jan 1;191:107971.

[29] Sori A, Moghaddas J, Abedpour H. Comprehensive review of experimental studies, numerical modeling, leakage risk assessment, monitoring, and control in geological storage of carbon dioxide: Implications for effective CO2 deployment strategies. Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology. 2024 Oct;14(5):887-913.

[30] Adegbite AO, Nwasike CN, Nwaobia NK, Gidiagba JO, Ilojianya VI, Dawodu SO. ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SYSTEM RELIABILITY AND FAIL-SAFE DESIGNS: EVALUATING THE BEST PRACTICES FOR ENSURING SYSTEM ROBUSTNESS AND LONGEVITY. Engineering Science & Technology Journal. 2023;4(6):438-55.

[31] Li H, Jiang HD, Yang B, Liao H. An analysis of research hotspots and modeling techniques on carbon capture and storage. Science of the total environment. 2019 Oct 15;687:687-701.

[32] SaberiKamarposhti M, Why NK, Yadollahi M, Kamyab H, Cheng J, Khorami M. Cultivating a sustainable future in the artificial intelligence era: A comprehensive assessment of greenhouse gas emissions and removals in agriculture. Environmental Research. 2024 Feb 23:118528.

[33] Viebahn P, Vallentin D, Höller S. Prospects of carbon capture and storage (CCS) in China's power sector–An integrated assessment. Applied Energy. 2015 Nov 1;157:229-44.

[34] Corfee-Morlot J, Marchal V, Kauffmann C, Kennedy C, Stewart F, Kaminker C, Ang G. Towards a green investment policy framework: The case of low-carbon, climate-resilient infrastructure.

[35] Bhat SA, Sher F, Hameed M, Bashir O, Kumar R, Vo DV, Ahmad P, Lima EC. Sustainable nanotechnology based wastewater treatment strategies: achievements, challenges and future perspectives. Chemosphere. 2022 Feb 1;288:132606.

[36] Shashikumar U, Tsai PC, Wang CT, Lay CH, Ponnusamy VK. Beyond biomimicry: Innovative bioinspired materials strategies and perspectives for high-performance energy storage devices. Process Safety and Environmental Protection. 2024 Sep 4.

[37] Saxena A, Prakash Gupta J, Tiwary JK, Kumar A, Sharma S, Pandey G, Biswas S, Raghav Chaturvedi K. Innovative Pathways in Carbon Capture: Advancements and Strategic Approaches for Effective Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage. Sustainability. 2024 Nov 20;16(22):10132.

[38] Bello S, Wada I, Ige O, Chianumba E, Adebayo S. AI-driven predictive maintenance and optimization of renewable energy systems for enhanced operational efficiency and longevity. International Journal of Science and Research Archive. 2024;13(1).

[39] Paroha AD. Integration of Internet of Things (IoT) in Petroleum Reservoir Monitoring: A Comprehensive Analysis of Real-Time Data for Enhanced Decision-Making. Transactions on Latest Trends in IoT. 2022 Nov 21;5(5):1-5.

[40] Marwala T. Embedded Versus Edge Versus Cloud Computing. InThe Balancing Problem in the Governance of Artificial Intelligence 2024 Nov 13 (pp. 171-187). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

[41] Sahith JK, Lal B. Leveraging Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS). Gas Hydrate in Carbon Capture, Transportation and Storage.:159-96.

[42] Bonsu NO. Towards a circular and low-carbon economy: Insights from the transitioning to electric vehicles and net zero economy. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2020 May 20;256:120659.

[43] Grim RG, Huang Z, Guarnieri MT, Ferrell JR, Tao L, Schaidle JA. Transforming the carbon economy: challenges and opportunities in the convergence of low-cost electricity and reductive CO 2 utilization. Energy & Environmental Science. 2020;13(2):472-94.

[44] Bäckstrand K, Meadowcroft J, Oppenheimer M. The politics and policy of carbon capture and storage: Framing an emergent technology. Global Environmental Change. 2011 May 1;21(2):275-81.

[45] Muslemani H, Liang X, Kaesehage K, Wilson J. Business models for carbon capture, utilization and storage technologies in the steel sector: a qualitative multi-method study. Processes. 2020 May 13;8(5):576.