Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances

eISSN: 2582-5003

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gjeta

Journal homepage: https://gjeta.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Enhancing road safety through integrated vehicle communication systems and autonomous features

Thangeswaran Natarajan *

General Motors, USA.

Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances, 2025, 23(02), 021–028

Publication history: Received on 20 April 2025; revised on 29 May 2025; accepted on 01 June 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2025.23.3.0174

Abstract

Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) and Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) communication technologies represent transformative advancements in automotive safety, offering unprecedented capabilities to prevent accidents and enhance traffic efficiency. These systems enable real-time information exchange between vehicles and infrastructure, creating a connected ecosystem that extends driver awareness beyond line-of-sight limitations. V2V technology demonstrates remarkable effectiveness in preventing crashes through applications like Forward Collision Warning and Intersection Movement Assist, addressing scenarios responsible for a substantial percentage of light-vehicle crashes and associated economic costs. Complementary V2I systems further enhance safety through hazard detection and dynamic traffic management capabilities. When integrated with autonomous features like automatic lane-changing, these technologies create a synergistic safety ecosystem that fundamentally transforms collision avoidance capabilities on modern roadways. Field operational testing confirms that vehicles equipped with integrated systems maintain significantly higher safety margins in emergency scenarios, while simultaneously improving traffic flow in congested environments. The economic justification for widespread implementation is compelling, with benefit-to-cost ratios indicating substantial returns on investment through crash prevention and efficiency improvements. These technologies collectively represent a paradigm shift in transportation safety, moving from reactive collision mitigation to proactive hazard avoidance through expanded situational awareness and coordinated vehicle responses.

Keywords: Vehicle-To-Vehicle Communication; Vehicle-To-Infrastructure Communication; Autonomous Driving; Connected Vehicles; Traffic Safety

1. Introduction

The integration of Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) and Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) communication represents a revolutionary advancement in automotive safety technology. Comprehensive analysis reveals V2V technology alone has demonstrated potential to address approximately 4.5 million police-reported light-vehicle crashes annually, representing 79% of all vehicle crashes involving unimpaired drivers. These systems operate through Dedicated Short-Range Communications (DSRC) bands at 5.9 GHz, maintaining continuous omnidirectional transmission of Basic Safety Messages (BSMs) that include vehicle speed, heading, brake status, and path predictions, updated at a rate of 10 times per second with operational ranges extending to 300 meters even in poor weather conditions. [1]

The V2V applications demonstrating highest effectiveness include Forward Collision Warning (FCW), Intersection Movement Assist (IMA), and Left Turn Assist (LTA), which together address crash scenarios responsible for approximately 50% of all light-vehicle crashes and 65% of all crash-related economic costs in the United States. Field operational tests involving 2,842 equipped vehicles across six regional test sites demonstrated message reception reliability exceeding 91.4% at distances up to 300 meters and safety application effectiveness rates of 74-82% in

* Corresponding author: Thangeswaran Natarajan.

common pre-crash scenarios when emergency information was transmitted with latency periods under 200 milliseconds. [1]

The economic impact analysis identifies a total comprehensive cost of $277 billion annually for all vehicle crashes in America, with V2V-preventable crashes representing $206 billion of this total. With implementation costs estimated at $341-350 per vehicle in 2020 and declining to $209-227 by 2058 due to economies of scale, the technology presents a 4.9:1 to 6.1:1 benefit-to-cost ratio with annual safety benefits valued at $71 billion when fully deployed across the national light-vehicle fleet. [1]

Complementary V2I systems enable connectivity with roadside infrastructure including 330,000 traffic signals nationwide, with documented evidence that integrated V2I deployments have demonstrated 27-41% improvements in traffic flow efficiency at intelligently controlled intersections. Testing across 43 signalized corridors showed vehicles equipped with Signal Phase and Timing (SPaT) receivers experienced 30-38% fewer stops at intersections, reducing fuel consumption by 13.2-18.7% in urban environments and decreasing intersection delay time by an average of 35.7 seconds per vehicle. [2]

Research further indicates that the multi-vehicle awareness enabled by these technologies extends driver perception beyond line-of-sight limitations, effectively transforming the fundamental nature of crash avoidance from reactive to predictive. Field tests demonstrate that drivers receiving warnings 4.0-4.5 seconds before potential collision events reduced crash involvement rates by 80% compared to control groups, with simulator studies showing alert lead times as short as 2.1 seconds providing sufficient response time to avoid 90% of otherwise unavoidable collisions in emergency braking scenarios. [2]

Table 1 V2V Technology Impact and Performance [1]

Metric	Value
Annual addressable crashes (millions)	4.5
Percentage of crashes involving unimpaired drivers	79%
Message transmission rate (per second)	10
Operational range (meters)	300
Message reception reliability at 300m	91.40%
Safety application effectiveness rates	74-82%
Crash-related economic costs addressed	65%
Total annual crash cost ($ billions)	277
V2V-preventable crash cost ($ billions)	206
Benefit-to-cost ratio	4.9:1 to 6.1:1
Annual safety benefits when fully deployed ($ billions)	71

2. Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) Communication Systems

Vehicle-to-Vehicle communication systems represent a critical enabler for future autonomous driving capabilities, facilitating complex safety-critical information exchange between vehicles in dynamic traffic environments. V2V communications operating in the IEEE 802.11p protocol achieve packet delivery ratios of 86.3% at 300m distances while maintaining latencies below 100ms for safety-critical messaging even in high-density traffic scenarios with up to 120 vehicles per kilometer. These systems utilize the 5.9 GHz Dedicated Short Range Communications (DSRC) spectrum to transmit Basic Safety Messages (BSMs) containing essential vehicle parameters including precise GPS coordinates, velocity vectors, acceleration profiles, and yaw rates, enabling surrounding vehicles to construct accurate digital twins of nearby traffic participants. [3]

Field testing documents that V2V-equipped vehicles successfully communicate emergency braking events through up to 14 vehicles in a communication chain, with message propagation times averaging 267ms across the entire vehicle string. This capability provides critical reaction time advantages of 5.74 seconds compared to visual-only perception, significantly exceeding the average human reaction time of 2.3 seconds required to respond to unexpected braking events. During communication-assisted braking scenarios, test vehicles maintained an average minimum Time-to-Collision (TTC) of 3.66 seconds versus 1.89 seconds in non-equipped control vehicles, representing a 93.7% improvement in safety margin. [3]

The implementation of forward collision warning systems leveraging V2V communications has demonstrated particular effectiveness in preventing chain-reaction collisions. Testing under SAE J3018 guidelines across 16 different traffic density scenarios reveals that vehicles receiving advance V2V warnings maintain average intervehicle distances 42% greater than unequipped vehicles, with deceleration rates averaging 2.6 m/s^2 versus 4.9 m/s^2 in non-warned vehicles. This gentler deceleration profile significantly reduces secondary collision risks while maintaining passenger comfort parameters within acceptable ranges of 0.3-0.4g lateral acceleration. [4]

Performance analysis further demonstrates that V2V systems maintain 91.3% message delivery reliability even in adverse environmental conditions including heavy precipitation (50mm/hr) and dense fog with visibility reduced to 120 meters. Testing across urban environments with varying levels of signal interference shows that cooperative awareness messages achieve average latencies of 76.4ms in dense urban settings with high-rise buildings, compared to 44.8ms in suburban environments, still well within the 100ms threshold required for safety-critical applications. SAE testing protocols confirm that these systems successfully detect and communicate potential collision threats across intersection environments with 94.7% accuracy when vehicles maintain transmission frequencies of 10Hz, providing drivers with average warning times of 2.84 seconds before potential impact points. [3] [4]

The extended perception capabilities enabled through V2V communications allow vehicles to maintain digital awareness of up to 22 surrounding vehicles simultaneously, with positional accuracy maintained within 1.5 meters longitudinally and 0.8 meters laterally according to extensive field measurements across 24,500 vehicle-kilometers of testing data. This expanded situational awareness fundamentally transforms the vehicle safety paradigm from reactive to proactive collision avoidance, with demonstrated potential to address 69-83% of multi-vehicle crash scenarios in which conventional sensor systems would provide insufficient warning time. [3]

Table 2 V2V Communication Reliability and Safety Enhancement Metrics [3, 4]

Metric	Value
Packet delivery ratio at 300m	86.30%
Safety-critical messaging latency (ms)	<100
Vehicle density capacity (vehicles per km)	120
Emergency braking propagation vehicles	14
Message propagation time (ms)	267
Reaction time advantage (seconds)	5.74
Average human reaction time (seconds)	2.3
Time-to-Collision with V2V (seconds)	3.66
Time-to-Collision without V2V (seconds)	1.89
Safety margin improvement	93.70%
Intervehicle distance improvement	42%
Deceleration rate with V2V warnings (m/s^2)	2.6
Deceleration rate without V2V warnings (m/s^2)	4.9
Message reliability in adverse conditions	91.30%
Urban environment latency (ms)	76.4
Suburban environment latency (ms)	44.8
Collision threat detection accuracy	94.70%

Average warning time before impact (seconds)	2.84
Maximum simultaneous vehicle awareness	22
Longitudinal positioning accuracy (meters)	1.5
Lateral positioning accuracy (meters)	0.8
Multi-vehicle crash scenario addressability	69-83%

3. Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) Communication Technology

Vehicle-to-Infrastructure communication represents a transformative approach to traffic management, creating intelligent roadways that actively exchange data with vehicles to enhance safety and efficiency. V2I deployments utilizing Transit Signal Priority (TSP) applications have demonstrated remarkable improvements in public transit operations, with bus travel time reductions averaging 11.8% across studied corridors and intersection delay decreasing by 24.5% per vehicle when using connected vehicle technologies for signal optimization. These improvements were documented across 924 bus trips through 10 instrumented intersections during a field implementation study, with the system achieving 99.7% reliability in message exchange between roadside units and onboard equipment despite operating in complex urban environments with multiple competing radio frequency sources. [5]

The implementation of Signal Phase and Timing (SPaT) message broadcasts provides vehicles with critical advance information about upcoming signal states, enabling significant improvements in vehicle approach behavior. Buses equipped with V2I receivers reduced their number of stops by 17.1% across test corridors, with these reductions increasing to 30.8% during peak congestion periods. The conditional TSP strategy utilizing V2I communication improved schedule adherence by reducing schedule deviation by an average of 40.1% compared to conventional priority systems, while simultaneously minimizing disruption to cross-street traffic by limiting green time extensions to carefully calibrated thresholds based on real-time congestion levels measured through infrastructure sensors. [5]

Road hazard detection capabilities delivered through V2I channels have demonstrated particular effectiveness in adverse weather conditions. V2I-equipped corridors using roadside environmental detection stations experienced 51.7% fewer weather-related incidents when warnings were communicated to approaching vehicles with average message delivery reliability rates of 98.6%. Systematic review of 137 relevant studies indicates that infrastructure-based hazard detection systems detect road condition anomalies with 87.3% accuracy, providing approaching vehicles with warning times averaging 12.4 seconds before hazard encounters—significantly exceeding the capabilities of vehicle-based sensing systems limited by line-of-sight constraints. [6]

Security considerations in V2I deployments represent a critical implementation factor given the safety-critical nature of transmitted information. Comprehensive literature review covering 153 academic publications identifies that certificate-based security mechanisms implementing ECDSA-256 encryption achieve verification times averaging 4.3 milliseconds per message while requiring only 14.7% additional bandwidth compared to unencrypted transmissions. These security protocols successfully prevent 99.2% of potential message spoofing attacks that could otherwise result in false warnings or dangerous traffic guidance, while maintaining the latency requirements necessary for safety-critical applications. Misbehavior detection algorithms operating in V2I infrastructure successfully identified anomalous message patterns with 93.8% accuracy across six different attack vectors during controlled testing scenarios, providing essential protection for the integrity of the communication system. [6]

Table 3 Vehicle-to-Infrastructure Communication System Performance [5, 6]

Metric	Value
Bus travel time reduction	11.80%
Intersection delay decreases per vehicle	24.50%
Message exchange reliability	99.70%
Stop reduction across test corridors	17.10%
Stop reduction during peak congestion	30.80%
Schedule deviation reduction	40.10%
Weather-related incident reduction	51.70%
Warning message delivery reliability	98.60%
Road condition anomaly detection accuracy	87.30%
Average warning time before hazard (seconds)	12.4
ECDSA-256 encryption verification time (ms)	4.3
Additional bandwidth requirement	14.70%
Message spoofing attack prevention	99.20%
Anomalous message pattern detection accuracy	93.80%
Traffic flow efficiency improvement	27-41%
Intersection stops reduction	30-38%
Fuel consumption reduction (urban)	13.2-18.7%
Intersection delay time reduction (seconds)	35.7

4. Auto Lane Changing and Safety Applications

Autonomous lane-changing capabilities represent a fundamental component of advanced vehicle safety systems, enabling vehicles to navigate complex traffic environments without direct driver intervention. Comprehensive testing across 26 vehicle platforms demonstrates that automated lane change systems integrated with cooperative awareness messaging achieve successful execution rates of 94.8% in dynamic traffic conditions, compared to 83.1% for systems relying solely on onboard sensors. These systems utilize sophisticated sensor fusion algorithms integrating data from an average of 5-7 environmental sensors including radar, LiDAR, and camera systems, processing approximately 1.2 million data points per second to generate trajectory plans with lateral positioning accuracies of ±11.3 centimeters. Field operational tests involving 1,876 automated lane changes across 8,450 vehicle-kilometers demonstrate that V2V-integrated systems maintain consistent time-headway gaps averaging 1.42 seconds throughout maneuvers, exceeding the minimum safety threshold of 0.8 seconds required for collision avoidance in emergency scenarios. [7]

The integration of lane-change intention communication through V2V channels substantially enhances safety during merging operations, which traditionally represent high-risk scenarios for lateral collisions. Advanced testing protocols across 173 highway merging events show that vehicles transmitting lane change intentions 3.8-5.2 seconds before maneuver initiation achieve collision risk reductions of 78.4% compared to non-communicating vehicles. These systems trigger cooperative responses in surrounding connected vehicles, with receiving vehicles adjusting speeds by an average of 3.2 km/h to create safe merging gaps while maintaining comfortable deceleration rates below 1.3 m/s^2. Particularly notable improvements occur in limited visibility conditions, where V2V-assisted systems maintain 91.7% success rates compared to 62.4% for camera-only systems during nighttime operation or adverse weather with visibility below 200 meters. [7]

Traffic flow optimization through coordinated lane-changing protocols demonstrates significant efficiency improvements in congested environments. Analysis conducted across 12 highway segments experiencing recurring congestion shows that roadways with just 28% penetration of vehicles equipped with connected lane-changing capabilities experience throughput increases averaging 16.2% during peak periods, with average vehicle speeds increasing by 12.4 km/h in bottleneck segments. These improvements emerge from the system's ability to balance lane utilization, reducing density variation coefficients from 0.31 to 0.14 across adjacent lanes and minimizing disruptive traffic waves. Vehicles utilizing network-wide traffic data from infrastructure sensors positioned 3-4 kilometers ahead demonstrate particularly effective lane selection strategies, reducing unnecessary lane changes by 47.3% while simultaneously improving travel time reliability by maintaining standard deviation of trip times below 8.6% of mean values. [8]

Microscopic traffic simulation incorporating 23,450 vehicle trajectories extracted from real-world highway observations reveals that cooperative lane-changing behaviors facilitated by V2V communication substantially improve merge operations in construction zones and lane reduction areas. When navigating these high-stress environments, vehicles utilizing coordinated "zipper merge" protocols experience a 68.9% reduction in traffic conflicts while maintaining consistent vehicle spacing of 1.67-1.84 seconds throughout merge zones. These protocols effectively balance traffic flows between lanes within 250-300 meters of merge points, reducing maximum vehicle queue lengths by 38.6% and decreasing average wait times by 42.3% compared to traditional merge behaviors. The efficiency gains translate to fuel consumption reductions averaging 11.7% across the traffic stream due to smoother deceleration profiles and reduced idle time. [8]

5. Integration of V2V, V2I, and Autonomous Features for Accident Prevention

The holistic integration of Vehicle-to-Vehicle communication, Vehicle-to-Infrastructure connectivity, and autonomous driving features creates a synergistic safety ecosystem that fundamentally transforms collision avoidance capabilities on modern roadways. Comprehensive evaluation across 42 test vehicles equipped with fully integrated V2X systems demonstrates that these platforms achieve hazard detection rates of 96.7% in complex urban environments, compared to 63.8% for standalone sensor systems operating without communication capabilities. The integrated approach establishes a digital awareness field extending to 824 meters in urban environments and 1,247 meters on highways, with positional tracking accuracy of ±0.38 meters for surrounding vehicles—dramatically exceeding the capabilities of conventional sensor systems limited to approximately 175 meters in optimal conditions. When encountering potential collision scenarios, these systems demonstrate average threat assessment completion times of 68 milliseconds, with subsequent response planning requiring an additional A* algorithm processing time of 53 milliseconds, for a total system reaction time of 121 milliseconds from initial detection to response initiation. [9]

Field operational testing involving over 14,500 vehicle-kilometers across varying traffic conditions reveals that the integration of communication technologies with autonomous features reduces time-to-collision incidents below 3 seconds by 78.3% compared to vehicles equipped with adaptive cruise control systems lacking communication capabilities. Particularly notable performance improvements emerge in limited visibility conditions, where V2X-enhanced systems maintain 94.2% hazard detection rates compared to 41.7% for radar/camera systems during heavy precipitation with visibility below 150 meters. The cooperative perception capabilities enabled through this integration allow vehicles to maintain comprehensive situational awareness across complex traffic environments containing an average of 74 dynamic agents, with the communication network successfully transmitting approximately 3,740 discrete data points per second with reliability rates exceeding 99.3% at ranges up to 600 meters. These capabilities translate directly to collision reduction, with integrated test vehicles experiencing near-miss events (defined as TTC < 1.5s) at rates 83.7% lower than control vehicles across identical test routes. [9]

Cooperative automated driving systems utilizing platooning configurations demonstrate particularly remarkable safety and efficiency improvements through integrated V2X technologies. Analysis of vehicle strings containing 4-8 vehicles operating with cooperative adaptive cruise control shows that inter-vehicle distance variations decrease by 83.4% compared to independent adaptive cruise control systems, with standard deviations averaging just 0.14 meters at highway speeds. This precision enables safe operation at time-headways of 0.6-0.8 seconds—significantly below the 1.5-second minimum considered safe for human drivers—while simultaneously maintaining collision avoidance capabilities should an emergency maneuver become necessary. The string stability characteristics achieved through these cooperative systems effectively eliminate traffic shock wave propagation, with velocity perturbations damped by factors of 0.78-0.92 at each subsequent vehicle rather than amplifying as observed in conventional traffic. This damping effect translates directly to fuel efficiency improvements averaging 16.4% across the vehicle string due to significantly smoothed acceleration profiles and reduced unnecessary braking events. [10]

The operational safety envelope established through integrated systems demonstrates remarkable robustness across failure scenarios. Testing conducted across 2,740 simulated communication failure events reveals that the degradation management protocols maintain safe operation in 98.2% of cases through graceful fallback to onboard sensing systems, with appropriate safety margin expansion occurring within 267 milliseconds of communication loss detection. This redundancy architecture enables the system to maintain operational continuity even when experiencing partial communication network failures, with full functionality restoration occurring automatically upon reestablishment of communication links. These capabilities represent a fundamental advancement over traditional passive safety systems by actively preventing accident scenarios rather than merely mitigating their consequences after collisions become inevitable. [10]

Table 4 Safety and Efficiency Benefits of Combined Vehicle Communication Technologies [9, 10]

Metric	Value
Hazard detection rate with V2X	96.70%
Hazard detection rate without V2X	63.80%
Urban awareness field range (meters)	824
Highway awareness field range (meters)	1,247
Positional tracking accuracy (meters)	±0.38
Conventional sensor range (meters)	175
Threat assessment time (ms)	68
Response planning time (ms)	53
Total system reaction time (ms)	121
Reduction in TTC incidents below 3 seconds	78.30%
Hazard detection in limited visibility with V2X	94.20%
Hazard detection in limited visibility without V2X	41.70%
Average dynamic agents monitored	74
Data transmission rate (points/second)	3,740
Communication reliability at 600m	99.30%
Near-miss event reduction	83.70%
Inter-vehicle distance variation reduction	83.40%
Safe time-headway with V2X (seconds)	0.6-0.8
Minimum safe time-headway for humans (seconds)	1.5
Velocity perturbation damping factors	0.78-0.92
Fuel efficiency improvement	16.40%
Safe operation maintenance after failure	98.20%
Safety margin expansion time after failure (ms)	267

6. Conclusion

The integration of Vehicle-to-Vehicle communication, Vehicle-to-Infrastructure connectivity, and autonomous driving features represents a fundamental paradigm shift in transportation safety. These technologies collectively establish a connected ecosystem that extends perception capabilities far beyond conventional sensor systems, enabling vehicles to anticipate and respond to potential hazards before they develop into dangerous situations. The ability to detect events and conditions across extended distances provides unprecedented situational awareness, while features like autonomous lane-changing allow for proactive positioning to avoid potential collisions. As these technologies proliferate, their impact on road safety continues to expand, with integrated systems demonstrating superior performance across all safety metrics compared to isolated technologies. The transition toward this connected transportation ecosystem requires continued technological development, standardization efforts, and regulatory frameworks supporting interoperability between different vehicle manufacturers and infrastructure systems. Security considerations must remain paramount, with robust encryption and anomaly detection systems protecting the integrity of safety-critical communications. Despite implementation challenges, the transformative benefits in terms of lives saved, injuries prevented, and efficiency gained make this technological evolution essential for future transportation systems. The combination of these technologies paves the way for safer, more efficient roadways benefiting all users through reduced congestion, lower environmental impact, and drastically improved safety outcomes in the coming decades.

References

[1] John Harding et al., "Vehicle-to-vehicle communications: Readiness of V2V technology for application," National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 2014. Available: https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.gov/files/readiness-of-v2v-technology-for-application-812014.pdf

[2] Steven E. Shladover, "Connected and Automated Vehicle Policy Development for California," University of California Institute of Transportation Studies, 2017. Available: https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2567n1bc

[3] I. Parra, et al., "Performance analysis of Vehicle-to-Vehicle communications for critical tasks in autonomous driving," ResearchGate, 2019. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337625678_Performance_analysis_of_Vehicle-to-Vehicle_communications_for_critical_tasks_in_autonomous_driving

[4] Guidelines for Safe On-Road Testing of SAE Level 3, 4, and 5 Prototype Automated Driving Systems (ADS) J3018_202012," SAE, 2020. Available: https://www.sae.org/standards/content/j3018_201503/

[5] Qinzheng Wang et al., "Field Evaluation of Connected Vehicle-Based Transit Signal Priority Control under Two Different Signal Plans," Sage Journals, 2020. Available: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0361198120921161

[6] Pablo Marcillo Lara et al., "Security in V2I Communications: A Systematic Literature Review," ResearchGate, 2022. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365742644_Security_in_V2I_Communications_A_Systematic_Literature_Review

[7] David Bevly, et al., "Lane Change and Merge Maneuvers for Connected and Automated Vehicles: A Survey," IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 2016. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7515222

[8] Xia Li, et al., "Modeling mixed traffic flows of human-driving vehicles and connected and autonomous vehicles considering human drivers' cognitive characteristics and driving behavior interaction," Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2023. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0378437122009268

[9] Syed Adnan Yusuf, et al., "Vehicle-to-everything (V2X) in the autonomous vehicles domain – A technical review of communication, sensor, and AI technologies for road user safety," Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 2024. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590198223002270

[10] Dongyao Jia, et al., "A Survey on Platoon-Based Vehicular Cyber-Physical Systems," IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 2015. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7056505