World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences

eISSN: 2582-8266

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjaets

Journal homepage: https://wjaets.com/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

AI augmented education for children with special needs: A scholarly perspective

Rajeev Samuel Devadas *

IBM Corporation, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2025, 15(03), 2000-2008

Publication history: Received on 13 May 2025; revised on 18 June 2025; accepted on 21 June 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2025.15.3.1125

Abstract

This article examines the transformative potential of artificial intelligence in special education. The historical challenge of providing truly individualized instruction for children with diverse learning needs may be addressed through AI technologies that adapt content, pacing, and presentation to individual learning patterns. Grounded in educational frameworks including Universal Design for Learning, Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development, and multiple intelligences theory, AI augmented education offers unprecedented personalization capabilities. It analyzes current applications including intelligent tutoring systems, speech recognition and synthesis, natural language processing, computer vision, and predictive analytics, assessing their effectiveness for children with special needs. The article proposes implementation frameworks addressing teacher preparation, technical infrastructure, stakeholder collaboration, ethical guidelines, and evaluation mechanisms. Critical challenges examined include equity and access disparities, privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, maintaining essential human interactions, and redefining professional roles. It explores emerging technologies such as multimodal learning analytics, advanced language processing, immersive environments, neurotechnology, explainable AI, and collaborative intelligence frameworks. This article provides a foundation for understanding both the promise and challenges of integrating AI to enhance educational opportunities for children with special needs, emphasizing that successful implementation depends not only on technological sophistication but on thoughtful approaches that center educational values, respect student dignity, and enhance rather than replace human relationships.

Keywords: Special education; Artificial intelligence; Personalized learning; Assistive technology; Educational inclusion

1. Introduction

1.1. Introduction: The Evolving Landscape of Special Education

Special education has historically faced significant challenges in providing truly individualized instruction to children with diverse learning needs. The educational landscape for children with special needs continues to evolve as schools strive to accommodate the wide spectrum of learning requirements presented by children with autism spectrum disorders, sensory impairments, intellectual disabilities, attention disorders, and other conditions requiring specialized educational approaches. Traditional classroom settings often struggle to deliver the personalized attention that these diverse learners require, resulting in educational gaps that can persist throughout their academic careers and beyond [1]. These challenges are particularly pronounced in resource-constrained educational environments where specialized training and support services may be limited or inconsistently available.

Recent technological advancements, particularly in artificial intelligence, present unprecedented opportunities to transform special education. The integration of AI-enhanced learning tools has begun to demonstrate considerable promise in addressing longstanding challenges in special education delivery. Educational institutions implementing AI-

* Corresponding author: Rajeev Samuel Devadas

augmented approaches have reported substantial improvements in student engagement metrics and academic outcomes across multiple disability categories, with the most significant gains observed among students with specific learning disabilities and attention disorders [2]. This positive trajectory suggests that thoughtfully designed AI systems can help bridge the gap between educational ideals and practical realities in special education contexts.

The integration of AI technologies in educational contexts represents a paradigm shift in how we conceptualize and deliver special education services. AI augmented education refers to the strategic implementation of artificial intelligence tools and methodologies to enhance teaching and learning processes, with particular emphasis on addressing the unique challenges faced by children with special needs. Unlike traditional educational technologies, AI systems can analyze complex learning patterns across multiple domains, adapt instructional approaches based on individual response patterns, and provide consistent, non-judgmental feedback without the limitations of human attention spans or availability [3]. These capabilities enable AI systems to extend the reach and effectiveness of specialized instruction beyond what has previously been possible in conventional educational settings, particularly for students whose learning differences require intensive, individualized support.

2. Theoretical Foundations: AI and Personalized Learning Paradigms

The application of artificial intelligence in special education is grounded in several interconnected theoretical frameworks that emphasize personalized learning, accessibility, and inclusive design. The Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework provides a particularly relevant foundation, advocating for multiple means of engagement, representation, and action/expression to accommodate diverse learners. AI technologies align with UDL principles by enabling dynamic adaptation of educational content and delivery methods based on individual needs and preferences, thereby addressing one of the most fundamental challenges in special education practice—providing truly personalized learning experiences at scale [1]. This alignment between UDL principles and AI capabilities creates opportunities for educational experiences that are simultaneously standardized in their objectives and individualized in their delivery, addressing a longstanding tension in special education practice.

Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, particularly the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), offers another important theoretical lens for understanding the potential of AI in special education. The ZPD represents the gap between what a learner can accomplish independently and what they can achieve with guidance—precisely the space where optimal learning occurs. Traditional classroom environments often struggle to identify and consistently support each learner's ZPD, particularly when student needs are diverse and complex. AI systems excel at this type of dynamic assessment and support, continuously monitoring performance across multiple dimensions and adjusting instructional scaffolding accordingly [2]. This capacity for precise, responsive scaffolding is especially valuable for children with special needs, who may require more carefully calibrated support than is typically possible in traditional classroom settings with fixed teacher-to-student ratios and limited instructional time.

Table 1 Theoretical Frameworks Supporting AI in Special Education [3]

Framework	Key Principles	AI Applications	Benefits
Universal Design for Learning	Multiple means of engagement, representation, action	Adaptive content, multimodal presentation	Accommodates diverse learning preferences
Zone of Proximal Development	Gap between independent and assisted performance	Dynamic assessment, adaptive scaffolding	Precisely calibrated individual support
Multiple Intelligences	Intelligence across diverse domains	Multi-domain assessment, strength-based pathways	Leverages distinctive cognitive profiles
Personalized Learning	Tailored educational experiences	Learning analytics, adaptive pathways	Accommodates diverse learning profiles

The theory of multiple intelligences further informs AI applications in special education by recognizing diverse forms of intelligence beyond traditional linguistic and logical-mathematical capacities. This theoretical framework acknowledges that cognitive strengths and challenges manifest differently across individuals, with important implications for educational practice. AI educational systems can be designed to identify patterns in learning performance across different domains, recognize individual strengths and preferences, and leverage these insights to create personalized learning pathways that build upon existing capabilities while addressing areas of challenge [3]. For students with special needs, whose cognitive profiles often feature distinctive patterns of strengths and challenges, this approach offers opportunities for educational experiences that are genuinely responsive to their unique learning profiles rather than focusing primarily on remediating deficits.

These theoretical frameworks converge around the concept of personalized learning—an approach that tailors educational experiences to individual needs, preferences, and abilities. AI technologies enable personalization at unprecedented scale and precision through their capacity to continuously analyze performance data, identify patterns, and adapt instructional approaches accordingly. For children with special needs, this level of personalization addresses a fundamental challenge in special education: the need to accommodate highly diverse learning profiles within standardized educational systems [4]. By providing a technological infrastructure that can efficiently deliver personalized learning experiences without requiring prohibitive levels of human resources, AI offers a pathway toward more inclusive and effective educational practices for children with special needs.

3. Current AI Technologies in Special Education: Applications and Evidence

The integration of AI technologies in special education encompasses a diverse range of applications designed to address specific learning challenges and enhance educational outcomes for children with special needs. Current implementations can be categorized into several key domains with evidence supporting their effectiveness:

3.1. Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)

Intelligent Tutoring Systems employ AI algorithms to provide personalized instruction and feedback, adapting content difficulty, pacing, and presentation based on individual learning patterns. Comprehensive meta-analyses of research on ITS effectiveness have demonstrated that well-designed systems can achieve learning outcomes comparable to human tutoring in certain domains, with both approaches significantly outperforming conventional classroom instruction in controlled studies [2]. The step-by-step guidance provided by these systems allows students to work through problems at their own pace with immediate, non-judgmental feedback—features particularly beneficial for students with learning disabilities who may require extended practice and multiple exposures to concepts.

Table 2 AI Technologies in Special Education [2]

Technology	Applications	Evidence of Effectiveness
Intelligent Tutoring Systems	Mathematics remediation, adaptive questioning	Comparable to human tutoring; accelerated skill development
Speech Recognition/Synthesis	Communication support, transcription	Improved participation; increased independent communication
Natural Language Processing	Reading support, text simplification, writing assistance	Improved reading comprehension and written expression
Computer Vision	Navigation for visual impairments, emotion recognition	Enhanced independence; improved social responses
Machine Learning	Early identification of learning difficulties	More timely intervention; proactive support

For children with special needs, mathematics-focused systems have shown particular promise in addressing learning disabilities through adaptive questioning and visual modeling approaches. These systems continuously monitor student performance, identifying specific misconceptions and error patterns that might otherwise go unnoticed in traditional classroom settings. By targeting these precise points of difficulty with customized instructional interventions, ITS can address foundational skill gaps that often prevent students with learning disabilities from advancing in mathematics [2]. The evidence suggests that these targeted interventions can significantly accelerate mathematical skill development compared to conventional remediation approaches, particularly for students whose learning differences require extensive practice and consistent feedback beyond what is typically available in classroom settings.

3.2. Speech Recognition and Synthesis

AI-powered speech technologies facilitate communication and literacy development for children with speech impairments, hearing loss, or language processing difficulties. Speech recognition applications provide real-time speech-to-text conversion, while text-to-speech systems enable children with limited verbal abilities to communicate effectively. Recent developments in neural network-based speech recognition have significantly improved accuracy for children's speech patterns and non-standard pronunciations, making these technologies increasingly viable as educational and communicative supports [3]. This technological evolution represents a significant advancement for students whose communication differences have traditionally created barriers to educational participation and social inclusion.

The educational applications of these technologies extend beyond simple accessibility accommodations. For students with hearing impairments, speech-to-text technologies can convert classroom discussions into readable text in real-time, dramatically improving access to the social and academic dimensions of education. For students with speech production difficulties, text-to-speech and speech prediction technologies provide alternative communication channels that can reduce frustration and increase both academic engagement and social participation. Research indicates that students using these technologies demonstrate improved classroom participation and increased independent communication initiation compared to those without such support [3]. These outcomes suggest that AI-powered speech technologies can address not only the functional aspects of communication but also the social and psychological dimensions of educational inclusion.

3.3. Natural Language Processing (NLP)

Natural Language Processing applications support reading comprehension, writing development, and communication for children with language-based learning disabilities. Systems employing NLP technologies provide contextual vocabulary support, simplify complex text, identify grammatical errors, and suggest appropriate corrections based on sophisticated linguistic analysis rather than simple pattern matching. These capabilities make them particularly valuable for students with dyslexia, specific language impairments, and language-based aspects of autism spectrum disorders [4]. The precision and consistency of these systems complement traditional educational approaches by providing ongoing support that would be difficult to deliver through human instruction alone.

Systematic reviews of NLP-based interventions for children with autism spectrum disorders have demonstrated significant improvements in reading comprehension scores and written expression quality compared to traditional interventions. The effectiveness of these approaches appears to stem from several factors: the ability to provide consistent support without fatigue or variation, the non-judgmental nature of computer-based feedback, and the capacity to present information in clear, predictable formats that reduce cognitive load for students who struggle with language processing [4]. Implementations across diverse educational settings indicate that students with dyslexia and other language-based learning disabilities can make substantial gains in reading proficiency when using NLP-enhanced supports, suggesting that these technologies can help address persistent achievement gaps in literacy development.

3.4. Computer Vision

AI-driven computer vision technologies assist children with visual impairments through object recognition, environmental mapping, and text recognition. Applications providing real-time visual information through auditory feedback enable students with visual impairments to access educational materials and navigate learning environments with greater independence than was previously possible with traditional assistive technologies [1]. These advancements represent a significant shift from accommodations that primarily provide access to information toward technologies that actively enhance environmental understanding and independent functioning within educational contexts.

For children with autism who struggle with facial expression recognition, emotion recognition technologies offer tools to identify and interpret emotional cues. These systems analyze facial expressions, body language, and vocal tone to provide real-time guidance on the emotional states of conversation partners—information that many children with autism find difficult to interpret intuitively. Studies of children with autism using emotion recognition technologies in social skills training have demonstrated improvements in appropriate social responses during natural interactions, suggesting that these technologies can support the development of social cognition in authentic contexts rather than merely in structured training environments [4]. This application of AI addresses one of the most challenging aspects of autism spectrum disorders, with potential implications for both educational participation and broader social inclusion.

3.5. Machine Learning for Learning Pattern Analysis

Predictive analytics powered by machine learning algorithms identify patterns in learning data to predict challenges, recommend interventions, and track progress over time. Educational systems employing these technologies analyze performance across multiple domains to identify specific learning difficulties and recommend targeted interventions before significant academic gaps develop [3]. This proactive approach represents a shift from the traditional "wait to fail" model in special education toward earlier, more precise intervention based on subtle indicators of learning differences that might not be immediately apparent through conventional assessment methods.

The predictive capabilities of these systems extend beyond academic domains to encompass behavioral and social-emotional dimensions of learning. By identifying early indicators of challenges in attention, self-regulation, or social interaction, AI systems can help educators implement preventative strategies before minor difficulties develop into established patterns that are more resistant to intervention [3]. Longitudinal studies of educational settings implementing predictive learning analytics indicate that these approaches can successfully identify students who would benefit from additional support or formal special education services based on early performance patterns, enabling more timely and effective intervention than is typical in traditional identification processes.

4. Implementation Frameworks: Integration Strategies and Best Practices

Successful implementation of AI augmented education requires strategic planning, appropriate infrastructure, and a supportive educational ecosystem [5]. Case studies of technology integration in special education have demonstrated that implementation quality depends on factors beyond the technology itself, including thoughtful pedagogical planning, ongoing technical support, and administrative commitment.

4.1. Teacher Preparation and Professional Development

Effective integration depends significantly on teacher readiness and support [5]. Studies of iPad implementation in special education classrooms highlight the need for comprehensive professional development addressing technical competence, pedagogical approaches, and strategies for managing technology-enhanced learning environments. Professional development must help educators understand AI capabilities and limitations, interpret algorithmic insights, align tools with educational objectives, and support student self-regulation in technology use.

4.2. Technical Infrastructure and Accessibility

Schools must ensure appropriate technical infrastructure and accessibility accommodations [6]. Research examining equity in educational technology has documented how infrastructure challenges disproportionately affect disadvantaged communities, creating barriers to effective implementation. Schools must provide reliable connectivity, accessible hardware, secure data management systems, responsive technical support, and interoperability between AI systems and existing educational platforms.

Table 3 Implementation Framework [6]

Domain	Key Components	Best Practices
Teacher Preparation	Technical competence, pedagogical integration	Sustained learning communities, hands-on practice
Technical Infrastructure	Hardware, connectivity, accessibility features	Universal design principles, compatibility testing
Stakeholder Collaboration	Cross-functional teams, communication channels	Inclusive decision-making, clearly defined responsibilities
Ethical Guidelines	Privacy protections, consent procedures	Clear policies, regular auditing, transparent communication
Evaluation	Baseline assessment, formative evaluation	Mixed-methods approaches, regular feedback cycles

4.3. Collaborative Implementation Model

Successful implementation requires collaboration among diverse stakeholders [6]. Cross-functional implementation teams should include educators, administrators, technology specialists, parents, and students themselves. Research shows that more inclusive decision-making processes lead to more equitable and effective technology use, particularly important in special education contexts with complex webs of relationships and services.

4.4. Ethical Guidelines and Data Governance

AI systems raise important ethical considerations regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and decision-making autonomy [7]. Children with special needs may be particularly vulnerable to privacy violations due to the sensitive nature of their information. Implementation frameworks must include clear data governance policies, algorithmic auditing procedures, transparency in decision-making processes, and ethical guidelines for balancing technology use with human interaction.

4.5. Evaluation and Continuous Improvement

Implementation should include robust evaluation mechanisms to assess effectiveness and guide ongoing improvement [8]. Comprehensive evaluation should incorporate baseline assessments, formative process evaluation, summative outcome assessment, mixed-methods approaches, and continuous feedback loops to refine implementation strategies based on empirical evidence rather than assumptions.

5. Challenges and Ethical Considerations in AI-Enhanced Special Education

5.1. Equity and Access Disparities

The integration of AI technologies risks exacerbating existing educational inequalities [6]. Research has documented persistent digital divides along socioeconomic, geographic, and demographic lines. Children with multiple or severe disabilities may be excluded if technologies are not designed with universal accessibility in mind. Addressing these disparities requires prioritizing underserved populations, developing technologies that function with varying infrastructure levels, creating truly accessible interfaces, and establishing equitable resource distribution policies.

5.2. Privacy and Data Security Concerns

AI systems collect extensive data on student performance, behaviors, and characteristics [7]. The diagnostic and behavioral information collected about students with special needs is particularly sensitive, including details about medical conditions and psychological functioning. Students with cognitive disabilities may have limited capacity to understand data collection practices or advocate for their privacy interests. Implementation must include appropriate consent processes, clear data usage boundaries, secure storage protocols, and compliance with educational privacy regulations.

Table 4 Ethical Considerations [7]

Concern	Implications for Special Education	Mitigation Strategies
Equity and Access	Risk of widening achievement gaps	Prioritized resource allocation; universal design
Privacy and Security	Heightened vulnerability with sensitive data	Enhanced consent; strict data limits; secure storage
Algorithmic Bias	Misinterpretation of neurodivergent patterns	Diverse training data; regular auditing; human oversight
Human-Tech Balance	Impact on social-emotional development	Defined boundaries; integrated social activities
Professional Roles	Uncertainty about professional identity	Clear role articulation; emphasis on human capabilities

5.3. Algorithmic Bias and Representational Issues

AI systems trained on biased or unrepresentative data may perform poorly for students with disabilities [7]. Research has identified how algorithms designed around normative developmental assumptions may misinterpret neurodivergent learning patterns. Addressing these concerns requires diverse training data, regular algorithmic auditing, transparent documentation of limitations, human oversight of recommendations, and continuous refinement based on performance across diverse populations.

5.4. Balancing Technology and Human Interaction

Overreliance on AI technologies may reduce valuable human interactions essential for social-emotional development [8]. For children with special needs, meaningful human relationships are particularly crucial for developing social skills, emotional regulation, and communication abilities. Educational approaches must define appropriate boundaries for technology use, preserve and enhance human relationships, position AI as a supplement to human instruction, and monitor the psychological impacts of technology use.

5.5. Professional Role Redefinition

The integration of AI technologies necessitates redefinition of educational roles and responsibilities [7]. Teachers may experience anxiety about job security or role ambiguity as systems assume certain instructional functions. Implementation should clearly articulate complementary roles for educators and AI systems, emphasize uniquely human teaching capabilities, provide administrative support for adaptation, involve educators in technology decisions, and recognize the continued centrality of professional judgment.

6. Future Directions: Emerging Technologies and Research Priorities

6.1. Multimodal Learning Analytics and Sensing Technologies

Next-generation AI systems will increasingly incorporate multimodal data collection through wearable sensors, eye-tracking, physiological monitoring, and environmental sensing [8]. These technologies enable more comprehensive understanding of learning processes by capturing non-verbal indicators of engagement, emotional states, and cognitive load. Future research should focus on balancing the benefits of comprehensive monitoring with privacy considerations and establishing meaningful connections between physiological indicators and educational constructs.

6.2. Advanced Natural Language Understanding and Generation

Developments in natural language processing are enabling more sophisticated language understanding and generation capabilities [7]. Future applications include conversational agents for scaffolding social interactions, systems that adapt language complexity based on comprehension levels, real-time translation between communication systems, contextually sensitive vocabulary support, and narrative analysis tools for developing storytelling abilities. Research priorities include developing language models that accommodate diverse communication patterns while supporting rather than circumventing language development.

Table 5 Emerging Technologies [7]

Technology	Potential Applications	Research Priorities
Multimodal Analytics	Detecting behavioral precursors; optimal learning states	Privacy-preservation; validation of indicators
Advanced NLP	Conversational agents; adaptive language complexity	Models for diverse communication patterns
Immersive Technologies	Social scenarios practice; life skills training	Design for specific disabilities; skill transfer
Neurotechnology	Communication for severe physical disabilities	Ethical oversight; reliability of measurements
Explainable AI	Clear rationales; visual learning representations	Meaningful explanations for diverse stakeholders
Collaborative Intelligence	Defined roles; bidirectional information flow	Effective human-AI interfaces; optimal task division

6.3. Immersive Technologies and Extended Reality

Virtual reality, augmented reality, and mixed reality technologies create immersive learning environments with particular benefits for children with special needs [6]. These technologies enable safe practice of challenging social scenarios, simulation of real-world environments for life skills training, multi-sensory learning experiences, virtual field trips otherwise inaccessible, and graduated exposure therapy for phobias and sensory sensitivities. Research should examine optimal design features for different disability categories and evaluate skill transfer to real environments.

6.4. Neurotechnology and Brain-Computer Interfaces

Emerging neurotechnologies, including non-invasive brain-computer interfaces, offer potential for creating new communication and control channels for children with severe physical disabilities [7]. These technologies may enable communication systems controlled by neural activity, adaptive interfaces responsive to cognitive states, earlier identification of learning difficulties, neurofeedback interventions for attention challenges, and novel assessment approaches less dependent on verbal or motor responses. Research must balance promising applications with ethical oversight and realistic expectations about technological capabilities.

6.5. Explainable AI and Transparent Learning Models

As AI systems become more complex, explainability and transparency grow particularly important in educational contexts [7]. Future development should prioritize interpretable models that provide clear rationales for recommendations, visual representations of learning patterns accessible to educators and parents, transparent documentation of algorithmic processes, interfaces that communicate confidence levels, and tools that enable educators to understand and modify AI-generated recommendations. Research should develop explanation methods meaningful to diverse stakeholders with varying levels of technical expertise.

6.6. Collaborative Intelligence Frameworks

Perhaps the most promising direction involves frameworks for collaborative intelligence that optimize the complementary strengths of human educators and AI systems [8]. These frameworks emphasize clearly defined roles leveraging unique capabilities of humans and machines, bidirectional information flow between educators and AI systems, adaptive task allocation based on context and complexity, iterative improvement through ongoing interaction, and preservation of human agency in critical educational decisions. Research priorities include developing effective interfaces for human-AI collaboration and establishing optimal task division strategies for different educational contexts.

7. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence in special education offers significant potential to address the longstanding challenge of providing individualized instruction at scale. AI technologies can adapt to learning patterns, provide consistent feedback, support communication, and enable early intervention through predictive analytics—capabilities that directly address limitations in traditional special education where resource constraints often impede intensive personalization. While theoretical frameworks like Universal Design for Learning, Zone of Proximal Development, and multiple intelligences theory provide conceptual foundations for AI applications, successful implementation requires careful attention to practical and ethical considerations. Technologies must be equitably distributed, accessible to diverse populations, and designed with privacy protections and algorithmic fairness. The balance between technological efficiency and essential human relationships must be thoughtfully maintained. Looking forward, emerging technologies from multimodal sensing to immersive environments offer expanded possibilities for supporting children with special needs, particularly those inadequately served by conventional approaches. However, their successful integration requires ongoing research on effectiveness, refinement of ethical frameworks, and development of collaborative models that leverage the complementary strengths of human educators and AI systems. The path toward AI-augmented special education should be guided by a commitment to educational inclusion—ensuring that innovations enhance opportunities for all learners. By approaching AI as a means of extending rather than replacing human capabilities, we can create educational environments that combine analytical power with the irreplaceable human dimensions of teaching and learning.

References

[1] D. Bryant, et al, “Teaching Students with Special Needs in Inclusive Classrooms,” https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccx042, Sage 2017, Report, Available: https://academic.oup.com/eltj/article-abstract/71/4/525/4110191?redirectedFrom=fulltext#no-access-message

[2] KURT VanLEHN, “The Relative Effectiveness of Human Tutoring, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, and Other Tutoring Systems,” 17 Oct 2011, https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.611369, tandfonline, Available: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00461520.2011.611369

[3] Athanasios S. Drigas, Rodi Eleni Ioannidou, “Special Education and ICTs,” iJET, 2013, Available: https://online-journals.org/index.php/i-jet/article/view/2514/2589

[4] Christine K Syriopoulou-Delli, et al, “Artificial Intelligence for children with autism and other developmental disorders,” January 2024, ETLTC-ICETM2024, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377387963_Artificial_Intelligence_for_children_with_autism_and_other_developmental_disorders

[5] Kimberly Maich, “Teaching and Learning in Two iPad Infused Classrooms A Descriptive Case Study of a Dual Classroom,” Exceptionality Education International, 2017, Available: https://ojs.lib.uwo.ca/index.php/eei/article/view/7750/6366

[6] Justin Reich, Mizuko Ito, “FROM GOOD INTENTIONS TO REAL OUTCOMES Equity by Design in Learning Technologies,” Digital Media and Learning Research Hub. Irvine, CA. October 2017, Available: https://clalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/GIROreport_1031.pdf

[7] Kenneth Holstein, et al, “Improving Fairness in Machine Learning Systems: What Do Industry Practitioners Need?,” 7 Jan 2019, arXiv, Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.05239

[8] Sidney D’Mello, et al, “Advanced, Analytic, Automated (AAA) Measurement of Engagement During Learning,” doi:10.1080/00461520.2017.1281747, Educ Psychol, 2017, Available: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5640167/pdf/nihms862914.pdf