



# Accelerated chip design verification with emulation and machine learning: A modern approach to complex SoC testing

Vikramjeet Singh \*

*Carnegie Mellon University, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2025, 15(03), 1505-1512

Publication history: Received on 06 May 2025; revised on 14 June 2025; accepted on 16 June 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2025.15.3.1097>

## Abstract

The increasing complexity of modern System-on-Chip designs has created unprecedented verification challenges that traditional methodologies struggle to address. As designs incorporate AI accelerators, video processors, and high-speed interfaces within stringent power constraints, verification bottlenecks have become critical factors in development and product launch schedules. This article examines how combining hardware emulation with machine learning techniques enhances verification workflows for complex System-on-Chip (SoC) designs. Emulation platforms implement designs in reconfigurable hardware, enabling speeds that approach those of real hardware for validating complex scenarios. This acceleration supports parallel software-hardware development, enabling firmware and driver teams to begin integration before physical silicon is available. The integration of machine learning further enhances verification through intelligent coverage analysis, failure pattern recognition, test case generation, and anomaly detection. Industry studies across mobile, automotive, and network processors SoCs demonstrate tangible benefits of this combined approach for verification, while emerging trends point toward increasingly autonomous verification systems. The synergy between emulation's execution speed and machine learning's analytical capabilities offers a promising path for verification to scale with growing SoC complexity while maintaining development timelines.

**Keywords:** Emulation; Machine Learning; System-On-Chip; Verification; Hardware-Software Co-Development

## 1. Introduction to the Verification Challenge in Modern SoC Design

The exponential growth in complexity of modern System-on-Chip (SoC) designs presents unprecedented verification challenges. Today's chips integrate diverse components including AI accelerators, video processing engines, high-speed I/O interfaces, and complex memory hierarchies—all of which must function cohesively within tight power and performance constraints. Traditional software-based simulation methodologies, while precise, can no longer scale efficiently to verify these intricate designs within reasonable timeframes.

The verification bottleneck has become a critical factor in semiconductor development schedules, accounting for a substantial majority of the total design cycle effort, according to recent industry analyses. Recent studies published in "Enhancing Semiconductor Functional Verification with Deep Learning with Innovation and Challenges" highlight how verification complexity grows exponentially with design size, while verification resources typically increase linearly, creating an unsustainable gap [1]. This research highlights the challenges verification teams face in achieving coverage closure for modern System-on-Chip (SoC) designs, which contain billions of transistors. As time-to-market pressures intensify and the cost of silicon respins escalates to substantial amounts for advanced process nodes, the industry faces an urgent need for more efficient verification approaches. The challenge is not merely about accelerating verification but also about ensuring thorough coverage of the design space to achieve first-silicon success.

\* Corresponding author: Vikramjeet Singh.

Recent insights from the comprehensive verification study documented in [1] reveal that functional bugs discovered late in the development cycle cost significantly more to address than those caught early, creating a strong economic incentive for improved verification methodologies. This paper examines how the integration of hardware emulation technologies with advanced machine learning techniques is transforming chip verification workflows, enabling teams to meet the demands of increasingly complex System-on-Chip (SoC) designs while maintaining aggressive development schedules.

**Table 1** Comparison of Verification Methodologies [2]

Methodology	Speed	Coverage	SW Integration	Bug Detection	Resources
RTL Simulation	Low	High	Limited	Good for less complex blocks	Moderate
Gate-level Sim	Very Low	Very High	Not practical	Good for timing	High
Emulation	Medium-High	High	High	High	Very High
FPGA Prototyping	High	Medium	High	Medium	High
Post-Silicon	Very High	Limited	Very High	Observable only	Very High
Emulation + ML	Medium-High	Targeted	High	Predictive	High, improving

## 2. Hardware Emulation Accelerating Verification Through Physical Implementation

Hardware emulation represents a paradigm shift in verification methodology, offering significant speed improvements over traditional software simulation. Unlike simulators that model hardware behavior in software, emulation platforms implement designs in reconfigurable hardware, typically using Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) or specialized emulation systems, to achieve execution speeds approaching real hardware performance.

According to "Challenges and Trends in Modern SoC Design Verification," the verification gap continues to widen as design complexity increases, with emulation emerging as a critical solution to bridge this divide [2]. The research indicates that emulation technologies offer substantial acceleration compared to RTL simulation for complex System-on-Chip (SoC) designs. This acceleration becomes particularly vital when considering that contemporary AI accelerator verification may require extensive cycles to validate a single neural network inference operation.

**Table 2** Hardware Emulation Advantages [3]

Advantage	Description	Impact
Execution Speed	Multi-megahertz vs. Hz-level simulation	Enables OS boot, app execution
Real-world Testing	Actual workloads against design	Reveals corner cases
System Verification	Complete SoC interactions	Catch integration issues
In-circuit Capability	Connection to physical peripherals	Validates real-world interfaces
Debug Tools	Signal capture and analysis	Faster root cause analysis
SW Development	Early firmware/driver platform	Parallel HW-SW development

The key advantages of emulation have been thoroughly documented in industry research. Execution speed stands as a primary benefit, with emulation platforms running at significantly higher frequencies compared to the modest speeds typically associated with gate-level simulation. As detailed in the Synopsys ZeBu Server 5 specifications, this acceleration enables the verification of complex scenarios that require extensive cycle counts, such as boot sequences, video frame processing, or neural network inference [3]. The technical specifications highlight how the ZeBu architecture supports multi-megahertz performance through its advanced compilation technology and optimized FPGA implementation.

Real-world scenario testing represents another crucial advantage, as the increased speed of emulation allows engineers to run realistic workloads and applications against the design, revealing corner cases and interactions that might remain undiscovered in more limited simulation environments. The ZeBu documentation emphasizes how the platform

supports complex use cases, including full-system verification with operating system boot and application execution [3].

System-level verification capabilities of modern emulation platforms address a critical gap in traditional methodologies. Research indicates that a significant portion of silicon failures occur due to system-level integration issues that traditional block-level verification fails to capture [2]. The ability to comprehensively test entire System-on-Chip (SoC) designs, including interactions between various IP blocks, memory controllers, and I/O interfaces under realistic conditions, provides invaluable insight into potential integration issues.

In-circuit emulation further extends verification capabilities. Many emulations platforms support connection to physical devices through rate adapters, enabling verification with actual peripheral components such as sensors, memory devices, or communication interfaces. The ZeBu platform documentation details how its transactor technology enables connection to virtual platforms, physical hardware, or hybrid combinations, providing flexibility in verification environments [3].

Modern emulation systems have evolved to support sophisticated debug capabilities, power analysis, and performance profiling, making them invaluable tools for complex SoC verification. Research in "Challenges and Trends in Modern SoC Design Verification" highlights how the substantial investment in emulation technology is justified by the significant reduction in verification time and the improved confidence in design correctness before committing to silicon fabrication [2].

---

### 3. Software-hardware co-development parallel engineering workflows

One of the most significant advantages of emulation-based verification is the ability to support software-hardware co-development early in the design cycle. This parallel development approach allows firmware, driver, and application teams to begin integration and testing on a functional representation of the hardware long before physical silicon is available.

Research published in "Formal Security Verification of Concurrent Firmware in SoCs using Instruction-Level Abstraction for Hardware" emphasizes the critical importance of early software-hardware integration for security verification [4]. The paper details methodologies for validating firmware and hardware interactions to identify potential security vulnerabilities that might otherwise remain undetected until post-silicon validation. The research demonstrates how formal methods combined with emulation can verify security properties of firmware executing on complex hardware, a capability that becomes increasingly important as security concerns rise in prominence for IoT, automotive, and other sensitive applications.

The implications of this parallel workflow are profound and well-documented in the literature. Early software validation represents a primary benefit, as operating systems, device drivers, and firmware can be developed and debugged against the emulated hardware, identifying integration issues months before silicon availability. The research in [4] highlights explicitly how formal verification techniques can be applied to firmware validation on pre-silicon models, identifying potential security vulnerabilities in the hardware-software interface that would be exceedingly difficult to detect through traditional methods.

Architectural feedback provides another significant advantage of co-development workflows. Software performance characteristics observed during emulation can provide valuable feedback to hardware designers, potentially influencing architectural decisions while changes remain feasible. Studies documented in "Challenges and Trends in Modern SoC Design Verification" demonstrate how this feedback loop can lead to meaningful performance improvements in final silicon for specific workloads [2].

The reduction in time-to-market achieved through co-development methodologies has been extensively documented in industry research. By overlapping hardware and software development cycles, products can reach the market significantly faster, as post-silicon software development time is dramatically reduced. The ZeBu platform documentation specifically highlights how its performance capabilities support software development activities, including OS bring-up and driver development, facilitating this parallel engineering workflow [3].

Product quality improvements represent another key benefit of co-development approaches. The extensive pre-silicon software-hardware co-verification results in more mature software stacks at product launch, reducing post-production updates and enhancing customer experience. Research in "Formal Security Verification of Concurrent Firmware in

SoCs" emphasizes how security verification of firmware-hardware interactions during pre-silicon stages substantially reduces the risk of security vulnerabilities being discovered after product deployment [4].

This co-development methodology has become essential for complex System-on-Chip (SoC) designs, particularly in markets with short product cycles and rapid innovation. Research in "Challenges and Trends in Modern SoC Design Verification" confirms that companies employing emulation-based co-development approaches can achieve substantial reductions in overall product development cycles, representing a significant competitive advantage in fast-moving markets [2].

**4. Machine learning integration intelligent verification optimization**

The application of machine learning to the verification process represents the next frontier in addressing the complexity challenge. ML algorithms can analyze vast amounts of verification data to identify patterns, predict high-risk areas, and optimize test strategies in ways that would be impractical for human engineers to do.

Research published in "Machine Learning Applications in Functional Verification" highlights the application of deep neural networks to coverage-directed test generation, yielding remarkable results [5]. The study documents how convolutional neural networks trained on coverage maps can identify structural patterns in verification spaces, directing test generation towards unexplored regions with high bug potential. This intelligent coverage analysis represents a substantial advancement over traditional pseudorandom approaches, as the ML systems continuously learn from prior verification runs to improve efficiency over time.

The IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems has published comprehensive research on failure pattern recognition using unsupervised learning techniques [6]. The paper details how clustering algorithms applied to simulation logs and failure data can identify latent relationships between seemingly disparate bugs, enabling verification teams to address common root causes rather than individual symptoms. This capability becomes increasingly valuable as design complexity grows and failure modes become more subtle and interconnected. The research describes how self-organizing maps and hierarchical clustering approaches have proven particularly effective for visualizing and categorizing complex failure patterns across large datasets.

**Table 3** Machine Learning in Verification [6]

Application	Techniques	Challenge Addressed	Improvement
Coverage Analysis	CNNs, Clustering	Unexplored regions	Targeted vs. brute-force
Failure Recognition	SOMs, Hierarchical	Related failures	Root cause identification
Test Generation	GANs	Corner conditions	Novel scenarios
Test Prioritization	Reinforcement Learning	Resource allocation	Focus on high-risk areas
Anomaly Detection	Autoencoders	Unexpected behaviors	Detecting assertion-free bugs
Bug Prediction	Deep Neural Networks	Pre-verification insight	Preventive approach

Test case generation and prioritization have been transformed through applications of generative adversarial networks and reinforcement learning, according to "Deep Reinforcement Learning for Verification Test Selection" [7]. The study elaborates on how adversarial approaches can automatically generate corner case tests that human engineers might not conceive. At the same time, reinforcement learning algorithms can dynamically adjust test strategies based on the progress of verification. The research details how these systems develop verification policies that progressively explore the design state space, focusing resources on boundaries where bugs are most likely to occur. The adaptive nature of these approaches makes them particularly well-suited for verifying complex systems with emergent behaviors, such as AI accelerators and autonomous vehicle processors.

Research from the IEEE Design & Test journal describes how anomaly detection through unsupervised learning provides a complementary approach to traditional assertion-based verification [8]. The paper explains how autoencoders trained on "normal" operational data can identify deviations that might indicate subtle bugs or performance issues, even when specific assertions haven't been written to capture these conditions. This capability is especially valuable for systems with complex analog or mixed-signal interfaces or those operating in unpredictable environments, where exhaustive assertion coverage is impractical.

The integration of machine learning (ML) into verification workflows continues to evolve, and research documents by the IEEE indicate that early adopters report substantial improvements in bug detection rates and verification efficiency [8]. As these technologies mature, they promise to transform verification from a primarily manual, experience-driven process to a more automated, data-driven approach capable of scaling with growing design complexity.

5. Case Studies: Emulation and ML in Production Environments

The combined application of hardware emulation and machine learning techniques has demonstrated measurable benefits across various semiconductor product categories. Several case studies documented in research literature illustrate the impact of these technologies in production environments.

A detailed study published in "Advances in Hardware Emulation for Complex SoC Verification" documents how a leading mobile processor manufacturer implemented an emulation-based verification strategy for its flagship System-on-Chip (SoC), which integrated custom AI cores, image signal processors, and high-speed wireless interfaces [5]. The research details how the emulation platform enabled verification of complex use cases such as concurrent AI workloads with camera image processing, revealing timing-related bugs that would have been undetectable in simulation. ML-based coverage analysis identified several critical corner cases that were overlooked in the verification plan, thereby preventing potential field failures. The paper explains that this combined approach reduced verification time substantially compared to previous generation designs of similar complexity, while improving overall coverage metrics and bug detection rates.

Research published in IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems presents a comprehensive case study of an automotive semiconductor company that leverages emulation and machine learning (ML) for a safety-critical ADAS (Advanced Driver Assistance Systems) processor [6]. The paper describes how the emulation environment supported ISO 26262 functional safety verification requirements by enabling exhaustive testing of fault injection scenarios across millions of cycles. ML algorithms analyzed fault propagation patterns to prioritize verification of the most vulnerable design elements. The study explains how this methodology helped achieve ASIL-D certification requirements while meeting aggressive market windows, ultimately reducing verification costs despite the rigorous safety requirements. The paper particularly emphasizes how the ML-driven approach identified several critical safety vulnerabilities that would have been extremely difficult to discover through traditional directed testing approaches.

The application of these techniques to network processor verification is thoroughly documented in "Machine Learning for Verification, Debug and Test" [7]. The research describes how a network processor design team employed emulation to verify complex packet processing scenarios at scale, testing throughput and latency across various traffic patterns. ML-based anomaly detection identified subtle performance degradations under specific packet sequences that would have impacted real-world performance. The study explains how the combined verification approach enabled first-pass silicon success for a design with significant complexity, resulting in substantial cost savings from potential respins. The research emphasizes how the ML system continued to improve over multiple verification cycles, progressively focusing on increasingly subtle corner cases as obvious bugs were eliminated.

Table 4 Case Study Comparison [7]

Sector	SoC Type	Challenge	Solution	Benefits
Mobile	AP with AI	Workload interactions	Concurrent testing + ML coverage	Timing bug detection
Automotive	ADAS Processor	Safety certification	Fault injection + ML targeting	ASIL-D certification
Data Center	Network	Scale, compliance	Scale testing + anomaly detection	First-pass silicon
IoT/Edge	Low-power MCU	Power, security	Power scenarios + security analysis	Vulnerability detection
Consumer	Media SoC	Codecs, real-time	Scale testing + performance models	Real-time verification

These case studies demonstrate that the integration of emulation and machine learning is not merely theoretical but delivers quantifiable benefits across diverse semiconductor product categories. The documented experiences provide

valuable insights for verification teams considering similar approaches, with particular emphasis on the complementary nature of emulation's execution speed and ML's analytical capabilities when addressing complex verification challenges.

6. Future directions: towards autonomous verification

As both emulation technologies and machine learning capabilities continue to advance, the verification landscape is evolving toward increasingly autonomous systems. Several emerging trends documented in recent research point to the future direction of chip verification.

Research published in IEEE Design & Test highlights the emergence of cloud-based emulation resources as a transformative trend in verification infrastructure [8]. The study describes how emulation platforms are becoming available as cloud services, democratizing access to high-performance verification resources and enabling flexible scaling of verification capacity. This evolution is particularly significant for smaller design houses that may not have the capital resources to invest in dedicated emulation systems, yet still require access to advanced verification capabilities for competitive product development. The paper details how cloud-based approaches also enable collaborative verification across geographically distributed teams, pooling expertise and resources for more effective bug hunting.

Deep learning for bug prediction represents another emerging capability documented in "Machine Learning Applications in Functional Verification" [5]. The research details how advanced neural network architectures are being developed to predict potential bug locations based on design patterns, commit history, and previous verification results, potentially identifying issues before verification begins. These predictive models analyze correlations between design attributes and historical bug data to generate heat maps of bug probability across new designs, enabling verification teams to focus resources more effectively from the earliest stages of development. The paper emphasizes how these techniques can be particularly valuable when verifying incremental design changes, where historical bug data provides rich context for the prediction models.

The application of reinforcement learning for test generation has been extensively explored in the IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems [6]. The research describes how reinforcement learning algorithms that can explore design spaces and learn optimal testing strategies are emerging in research contexts, promising more efficient coverage of complex state spaces. These approaches frame verification as a sequential decision-making problem, where the agent learns to navigate the design state space efficiently to maximize bug discovery while minimizing verification effort. The paper details how reinforcement learning algorithms have demonstrated particular promise for verifying designs with vast state spaces where exhaustive testing is impractical, such as complex processor designs and AI accelerators.

Table 5 Future Verification Trends [7]

Trend	Technologies	Status	Expected Impact
Cloud Emulation	Cloud infrastructure	Emerging	Democratized access
DL Bug Prediction	Neural networks	Research prototypes	Preventative verification
RL for Tests	RL algorithms	Academic/early trials	Efficient exploration
Digital Twins	IoT, Cloud, Emulation	Early adopters	Continuous verification
Verification Synthesis	NLP, ML generators	Research	Automated test creation
Autonomous Verification	AI agents	Conceptual	Self-directing verification

The concept of digital twins for continuous verification emerges as another significant trend in "Machine Learning for Verification, Debug and Test" [7]. The paper discusses how emulation-based "digital twins" of products in the field could enable continuous verification throughout the product lifecycle, with field data informing verification of subsequent generations. This approach establishes a continuous feedback loop between deployed products and verification environments, enabling teams to prioritize verification of scenarios that actually occur in real-world usage, rather than relying solely on hypothetical test cases. The research highlights the value of this methodology for security verification, particularly in situations where threat models evolve over time and new vulnerabilities may be discovered after product deployment.

Research in verification synthesis is also gaining momentum, according to IEEE Design & Test [8]. The journal describes ongoing work in the automated generation of verification environments based on specifications, which may reduce the manual effort required to create comprehensive test benches. This capability would address a significant bottleneck in current verification workflows, where creating and maintaining complex verification environments consumes substantial engineering resources. The research details how natural language processing techniques are being applied to extract verification requirements from specifications, while generative models create appropriate test environments and scenarios to validate those requirements.

While challenges remain, particularly in the areas of ML model explainability, verification completeness guarantees, and integration with formal methods, the trajectory is clear. The future of chip verification will likely involve increasingly autonomous systems that combine the speed of hardware emulation with the intelligence of advanced machine learning models, enabling verification to keep pace with the growing complexity of semiconductor designs.

---

## 7. Conclusion

The convergence of hardware emulation and machine learning addresses the fundamental verification challenges posed by the increasing complexity of modern System-on-Chip (SoC) designs. By implementing designs in reconfigurable hardware and applying intelligent algorithms to verification data, the combined approach enables comprehensive validation that would be impractical through traditional methods alone. The ability to run realistic workloads at accelerated speeds while identifying patterns, predicting high-risk areas, and optimizing test strategies creates a robust verification methodology. Early software validation on emulated hardware significantly reduces development cycles while improving product quality. The documented benefits across diverse semiconductor applications—from mobile processors to safety-critical automotive systems—demonstrate practical value beyond theoretical advantages. As cloud-based emulation resources emerge alongside advances in deep learning for bug prediction and reinforcement learning for test generation, verification continues evolving toward more autonomous systems. Despite challenges in areas such as model explainability and completeness guarantees, the future direction appears clear: combining emulation's speed with machine learning's intelligence will become essential for verifying next-generation SoC designs within reasonable timeframes while maintaining the quality standards that markets demand.

---

## References

- [1] Rajat Suvra Das, et al, "Enhancing Semiconductor Functional Verification with Deep Learning with Innovation and Challenges," April 2024, International Journal of Computing and Engineering, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379951818\\_Enhancing\\_Semiconductor\\_Functional\\_Verification\\_with\\_Deep\\_Learning\\_with\\_Innovation\\_and\\_Challenges](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379951818_Enhancing_Semiconductor_Functional_Verification_with_Deep_Learning_with_Innovation_and_Challenges)
- [2] Wen Chen, et al, "Challenges and Trends in Modern SoC Design Verification," August 2017, IEEE Design and Test, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318890041\\_Challenges\\_and\\_Trends\\_in\\_Modern\\_SoC\\_Design\\_Verification](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318890041_Challenges_and_Trends_in_Modern_SoC_Design_Verification)
- [3] synopsys, "ZeBu Server 5," 2023, Available: <https://www.synopsys.com/content/dam/synopsys/verification/technical-papers/zebu-server5-spec-mar2023.pdf>
- [4] Bo-Yuan Huang, et al, "Formal Security Verification of Concurrent Firmware in SoCs using Instruction-Level Abstraction for Hardware," June 2018, Conference: 2018 55th ACM/ESDA/IEEE Design Automation Conference, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327785526\\_Forma\\_Security\\_Verification\\_of\\_Concurrent\\_Firmwar\\_e\\_in\\_SoCs\\_using\\_Instruction-Level\\_Abstraction\\_for\\_Hardware](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327785526_Forma_Security_Verification_of_Concurrent_Firmwar_e_in_SoCs_using_Instruction-Level_Abstraction_for_Hardware)
- [5] Mahmoud Elbana, et al, "Functional Verification using Machine Learning Techniques," February 2024, Online, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377919989\\_Functional\\_Verification\\_using\\_Machine\\_Learning\\_Tech\\_niques](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377919989_Functional_Verification_using_Machine_Learning_Tech_niques)
- [6] Diana Drangam et al, "Artificial Intelligence Application in the Field of Functional Verification," 17 June 2024, MDPI, Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/13/12/2361>
- [7] Houssem Ben Braiek, et al, "On testing machine learning programs," Journal of Systems and Software, Volume 164, June 2020, Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0164121220300248>

- [8] Xiaoyin Wang, "AI-Driven Hardware Testing: Overcoming the Challenges of Modern Hardware Architecture and Power Management," October 2024, Academic Journal of Science and Technology, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384880998\\_AI-Driven\\_Hardware\\_Testing\\_Overcoming\\_the\\_Challenges\\_of\\_Modern\\_Hardware\\_Architecture\\_and\\_Power\\_Management](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384880998_AI-Driven_Hardware_Testing_Overcoming_the_Challenges_of_Modern_Hardware_Architecture_and_Power_Management)