

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences

eISSN: 2582-8266 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjaets Journal homepage: https://wjaets.com/



(Review Article)



AI-driven innovations in network and storage optimization: Transforming infrastructure management

NAVEEN REDDY THATIGUTLA *

Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India.

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2025, 15(02), 2984–2991

Publication history: Received on 20 April 2025; revised on 28 May 2025; accepted on 31 May 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2025.15.2.0885

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence is revolutionizing network and storage infrastructure management by enabling intelligent optimization across increasingly complex and distributed environments. This article explores the theoretical foundations and practical applications of AI-driven approaches to infrastructure optimization, examining how machine learning techniques transform traditional management paradigms. The evolution from rule-based systems to sophisticated learning algorithms has enabled dynamic traffic management, predictive maintenance, intelligent resource allocation, and automated performance optimization. Despite demonstrating significant benefits, the integration of AI into infrastructure environments presents substantial challenges related to data quality, security considerations, organizational factors, and standardization requirements. These challenges necessitate innovative solutions that bridge technical and operational domains while ensuring appropriate governance of increasingly autonomous systems. Future directions in this field include edge computing integration, explainable AI development, cross-domain optimization approaches, and enhanced human-AI collaboration frameworks that will shape the next generation of intelligent infrastructure management systems.

Keywords: Infrastructure Optimization; Machine Learning; Predictive Analytics; Software-Defined Storage; Explainable AI

1. Introduction

The digital infrastructure landscape has undergone profound transformation over the past decade, with network and storage systems evolving from relatively simple, static configurations to highly complex, dynamic ecosystems. Modern enterprise environments now routinely manage vast quantities of data across hybrid and multi-cloud architectures, with connectivity demands that span from edge devices to centralized data centers. This evolution necessitates sophisticated approaches to quality-of-service management, as traditional models of network optimization prove insufficient for ensuring consistent performance across increasingly complex topologies [1].

Today's data environments are characterized by unprecedented heterogeneity and volatility. The emergence of the global datasphere—encompassing all data created, captured, and replicated—represents a fundamental shift in how organizations must approach infrastructure management. This datasphere encompasses not only traditional structured data but also the explosive growth in machine-generated data, embedded systems, and IoT devices, all of which contribute to the expansion of data requiring efficient storage and transmission [2]. The intersections between these diverse data sources create management challenges that transcend conventional human-driven approaches to optimization.

^{*} Corresponding author: NAVEEN REDDY THATIGUTLA.

The limitations of traditional infrastructure management have become increasingly apparent as networks scale beyond human cognitive capacity. As network complexity increases, the challenge of maintaining optimal quality of experience for users becomes exponentially more difficult. This complexity manifests in the interplay between numerous performance metrics, dynamic routing decisions, and fluctuating resource availability. Artificial intelligence offers a promising avenue for addressing these challenges by enabling autonomous monitoring, predictive analysis, and adaptive resource allocation [1].

By leveraging advanced machine learning algorithms, organizations can develop systems capable of identifying patterns in network behavior that would be invisible to human operators. These AI-driven approaches represent a shift from reactive to proactive management, enabling networks to anticipate demands, identify potential bottlenecks, and automatically reconfigure to optimize performance. This capability is particularly valuable as the interaction between cloud services, edge computing, and traditional infrastructure creates new dimensions of complexity in data management and transmission [2].

This article examines the transformative potential of AI technologies in network and storage optimization, exploring both theoretical foundations and practical implementations. We investigate how machine learning methodologies are being applied to critical infrastructure challenges, including traffic management, predictive maintenance, capacity planning, and performance optimization. The subsequent sections address theoretical foundations, network optimization techniques, storage management innovations, integration challenges, and future research directions.

2. Theoretical Foundations of AI-Driven Infrastructure Management

Infrastructure management has evolved from deterministic rule-based approaches to sophisticated machine learning systems capable of addressing the growing complexity of modern networks. This evolution reflects a profound shift in how operational challenges are conceptualized and addressed. Traditional networking paradigms relied on fixed protocols and static configurations, but contemporary environments demand adaptive systems that can learn from operational data. The workflow for applying machine learning to networking typically follows a sequence of data collection, feature extraction, model selection, and validation—a process that introduces unique challenges in infrastructure contexts where data quality, feature engineering, and model evaluation require specialized approaches [3].

The application of AI to infrastructure management encompasses several methodological frameworks with distinct capabilities. Supervised learning techniques have proven effective for classification tasks in networking, including traffic prediction, intrusion detection, and quality of service monitoring. These approaches require labeled training data that accurately represents the operational conditions the model will encounter. Unsupervised learning offers complementary capabilities for anomaly detection and pattern discovery in unlabeled network data, enabling the identification of emergent behaviors without predefined categories. Reinforcement learning represents a particularly transformative approach for infrastructure optimization, as it enables systems to learn optimal control policies through direct interaction with the environment. Through trial-and-error exploration of possible actions, reinforcement learning agents can develop sophisticated decision strategies that maximize long-term rewards, making them well-suited for dynamic resource allocation and adaptive routing challenges [4].

Deep learning architectures have demonstrated remarkable effectiveness in addressing complex pattern recognition problems within networking and storage contexts. These architectures, characterized by multiple processing layers that learn representations of data with increasing levels of abstraction, can identify subtle patterns in network traffic and storage access behaviors that would be invisible to traditional analytical methods. Deep reinforcement learning combines deep neural networks with reinforcement learning principles to handle high-dimensional state spaces commonly encountered in modern infrastructure environments. This integration enables systems to move beyond traditional Q-learning approaches and develop nuanced strategies for managing complex, interconnected resources across distributed environments [4].

The theoretical intersection between established networking principles and emerging AI methodologies creates new opportunities for hybrid systems that combine the reliability of formal models with the adaptability of learning-based approaches. Graph neural networks offer particular promise for network optimization given their structural alignment with the topological nature of network infrastructures. Similarly, the application of deep reinforcement learning to Markov decision process formulations of network control problems enables the development of policies that balance immediate performance needs with long-term optimization goals [3].

3. Intelligent Network Optimization Techniques

Network optimization has undergone a paradigm shift with the integration of deep reinforcement learning (DRL), which offers superior capabilities for solving complex control problems in communication networks. DRL enables dynamic traffic management by allowing agents to learn optimal policies through direct interaction with network environments, without requiring explicit mathematical models of network dynamics. This capability is particularly valuable for addressing control challenges in wireless networks where channel conditions fluctuate continuously and traffic patterns evolve unpredictably. The advantage of DRL lies in its ability to optimize for long-term performance objectives rather than immediate metrics, making it well-suited for network environments where short-term optimizations might lead to long-term performance degradation. Applications across traffic routing, resource allocation, and access management demonstrate how DRL agents can learn sophisticated control policies that adapt to changing network conditions while balancing multiple competing objectives simultaneously [5].

Quality of Service management in modern networks benefits substantially from AI-driven approaches that enable automated classification and prioritization of network traffic. The intelligent network architecture leverages machine learning to continuously monitor traffic patterns and dynamically adjust resource allocation based on application requirements and service level agreements. This represents a significant advancement over traditional approaches that rely on static classification rules and fixed prioritization schemes. The cognitive networks framework integrates machine learning across multiple network layers to enable context-aware resource management, intelligent mobility support, and adaptive security mechanisms. These capabilities allow networks to respond proactively to changing conditions and user requirements, ensuring consistent performance for critical applications even under variable network conditions. The integration of deep learning with network function virtualization further enhances these capabilities by enabling flexible reconfiguration of network resources to match evolving application demands [6].

Table 1 Comparison of AI Techniques for Network Optimization [5, 6]

AI Technique	Application Area	Key Advantages	Primary Challenges
Deep Reinforcement Learning	Traffic routing	Adapts to changing conditions	Computational complexity
Supervised Learning	QoS classification	High accuracy with labeled data	Requires extensive training data
Unsupervised Learning	Anomaly detection	Works with unlabeled data	Pattern interpretation difficulty
Graph Neural Networks	Topology optimization	Captures network relationships	Integration with existing systems

Predictive maintenance has emerged as a critical application of AI in network operations, leveraging the pattern recognition capabilities of machine learning to identify potential failures before they impact service quality. Anomaly detection algorithms analyze network telemetry data to identify subtle deviations from normal operation patterns that might indicate developing issues. These approaches employ various techniques, from statistical methods to deep learning models, depending on the specific characteristics of the network components being monitored. The effectiveness of these systems derives from their ability to learn complex normal behavior patterns across multiple performance dimensions simultaneously, enabling the detection of anomalies that would be invisible to traditional threshold-based monitoring approaches. By integrating these anomaly detection capabilities with more specific diagnostic models, network operators can transition from reactive to predictive maintenance strategies, addressing potential issues during planned maintenance windows rather than responding to unexpected failures [5].

Case studies across wireless communication networks demonstrate the practical benefits of AI integration for network optimization. Intelligent resource management in cellular networks shows how reinforcement learning can optimize spectrum allocation across cells with varying traffic demands and interference conditions. These approaches continuously adapt to changing user distributions and application requirements, maintaining optimal performance without requiring explicit reprogramming as network conditions evolve. Similarly, mobility management benefits from prediction algorithms that anticipate user movements and proactively prepare resources to maintain service continuity. The cognitive radio framework further illustrates how machine learning enables more efficient spectrum utilization through dynamic access mechanisms that adapt to primary user behavior patterns. These implementations

demonstrate that AI techniques can be effectively deployed across different network layers and domains, from physical infrastructure to application-level service orchestration [6].

4. AI-Enabled Storage Management Innovations

Storage management has evolved significantly with the integration of artificial intelligence, enabling unprecedented levels of automation and efficiency across diverse environments. Software-defined storage has emerged as a foundational paradigm that provides the necessary abstraction and programmability for implementing intelligent management capabilities. This approach decouples storage resources from physical hardware, creating opportunities for more sophisticated resource orchestration and optimization through machine learning techniques.

Predictive storage capacity planning leverages artificial intelligence to forecast future requirements and optimize resource allocation. Software-defined storage architectures enable comprehensive visibility into usage patterns across physical and virtual resources, providing rich datasets for machine learning algorithms. These systems analyze historical consumption trends alongside contextual factors to generate accurate capacity projections that inform provisioning decisions. The programmable nature of software-defined storage facilitates automated implementation of these capacity plans, reducing administrative overhead while improving resource efficiency. This approach represents a significant advancement over traditional capacity management, which typically relies on simple threshold monitoring and reactive provisioning. The software-defined paradigm extends these capabilities across diverse storage technologies, including block, file, and object storage, each presenting unique capacity management challenges and usage characteristics [7].

Performance optimization through AI introduces sophisticated capabilities for analyzing and enhancing storage system behavior. Software-defined storage enables granular performance monitoring across distributed components, generating comprehensive telemetry data that serves as the foundation for machine learning analysis. These approaches identify complex performance patterns and potential bottlenecks that would be undetectable through conventional monitoring techniques. In social network applications with distributed data stores, intelligent replication strategies leverage access pattern analysis to optimize data placement across geographical locations. By analyzing user relationships and interaction patterns, these systems can predict likely access requirements and position data accordingly. This predictive capability enables more efficient utilization of storage resources while maintaining application performance objectives. The integration of these techniques with software-defined storage orchestration creates a continuous optimization loop that adapts to evolving workload characteristics [8].

Table 2 Storage Performance Metrics Enhanced by AI [7, 8]

Metric Category	Traditional Measurement	AI-Enhanced Approach	Improvement Area
Capacity Planning	Threshold-based alerts	Predictive modeling	Proactive provisioning
Throughput	Static monitoring	Workload pattern analysis	Dynamic optimization
Latency	Average response time	Request classification	Service prioritization
Data Placement	Age-based tiering	Access pattern learning	Intelligent tiering

Intelligent data tiering systems represent another critical application of AI in storage management, particularly in environments with heterogeneous storage technologies. Traditional tiering approaches rely on simplistic metrics that often result in suboptimal data placement. Machine learning enhances these capabilities by enabling more nuanced classification based on multidimensional access patterns. In distributed architectures spanning multiple datacenters, these systems must consider not only storage tier characteristics but also geographical distribution to optimize for both performance and data transfer costs. Social network platforms particularly benefit from intelligent tiering that considers user relationship graphs when making placement decisions, as demonstrated by selective data replication systems designed for distributed social network infrastructures. These approaches analyze the complex social relationships between users to predict access patterns and optimize replication strategies accordingly [7].

Empirical evaluations demonstrate the substantial benefits of AI-driven storage management. In distributed social network architectures, selective data replication guided by relationship-aware algorithms shows significant improvements in access performance while reducing cross-datacenter traffic. These systems analyze user interaction patterns and social connections to predict future access requirements, enabling more intelligent data placement decisions than possible with traditional approaches. The integration of these techniques with software-defined storage

frameworks provides the necessary flexibility to implement and continuously refine these optimization strategies. As storage environments continue to grow in scale and complexity, the role of artificial intelligence in enabling efficient, automated management will become increasingly critical [8].

5. Integration Challenges and Emerging Solutions

The integration of artificial intelligence into network and storage infrastructure presents significant challenges that must be addressed to realize the full potential of intelligent optimization. These challenges span technical implementation, security considerations, organizational dynamics, and industry standardization concerns.

Technical barriers to AI adoption in legacy infrastructure remain substantial, particularly in environments transitioning to software-defined architectures. Network Functions Virtualization (NFV) environments highlight these challenges, as resource allocation in virtualized infrastructure introduces complex optimization problems. Resource allocation in NFV must address multiple dimensions simultaneously, including compute resources, network capacity, memory, and storage requirements while maintaining quality of service guarantees. This multi-dimensional optimization creates significant complexity that often exceeds what traditional rule-based approaches can effectively address. The classification of resource allocation approaches reveals distinct categories including static versus dynamic allocation, distributed versus centralized control architectures, and offline versus online optimization methodologies. Each of these approaches presents different implementation challenges when integrating AI techniques, particularly regarding data requirements and computational complexity. Additionally, the virtualization layers in NFV environments often obscure the relationships between virtual resources and physical infrastructure, creating data quality issues that may impact the effectiveness of machine learning models. These visibility gaps represent a significant technical barrier to implementing comprehensive optimization across complex NFV environments [9].

Security implications introduce additional complexity when implementing AI-driven infrastructure optimization. Machine learning for networking applications must address both the security of the infrastructure being managed and the protection of the AI systems themselves. Network security analytics represents a promising application domain where machine learning can identify subtle patterns indicative of intrusions or anomalous behavior. However, these applications require processing sensitive operational data that may expose infrastructure details if not properly protected. The implementation of secure analytics frameworks introduces additional requirements for data protection, access controls, and model security that must be integrated into the overall infrastructure management architecture. As machine learning becomes more deeply embedded in critical infrastructure operations, these security considerations become increasingly important to prevent potential exploitation of AI-driven management systems. The development of techniques for privacy-preserving analytics represents an essential capability for enabling secure intelligence in infrastructure environments where sensitive operational data must be protected while still enabling effective optimization [10].

Organizational factors present equally significant challenges when implementing AI-driven infrastructure. The transition to NFV environments with intelligent resource allocation requires substantial changes to operational practices and team structures. Traditional infrastructure management typically involves specialized teams with distinct responsibilities for networking, compute, and storage resources. NFV environments blur these boundaries through virtualization, creating resource allocation challenges that transcend traditional organizational silos. The implementation of AI-driven optimization in these environments requires cross-domain expertise that combines understanding of virtualization technologies, application requirements, and machine learning techniques—knowledge rarely concentrated within a single team. These skill requirements create significant training and staffing challenges as organizations transition to more intelligent infrastructure management. Change management considerations further complicate adoption, as the automation of resource allocation decisions may disrupt established operational roles and responsibilities. Organizations successfully implementing intelligent NFV resource management typically adopt phased approaches that build capabilities incrementally while developing the necessary cross-domain expertise [9].

Standardization and interoperability issues complete the integration challenge landscape, particularly in heterogeneous environments that combine multiple vendors and technology generations. Machine learning for networking applications must contend with diverse data formats, management interfaces, and control mechanisms when implementing optimization across heterogeneous infrastructure. These integration challenges become particularly acute in environments combining legacy systems with newer technologies, where consistent telemetry and control interfaces may be lacking. The lack of standardized approaches to infrastructure telemetry and control creates significant implementation complexity for AI systems that must operate across these diverse environments. Similarly, the diversity of virtualization technologies and management interfaces in NFV environments introduces additional challenges when implementing consistent optimization approaches across the infrastructure. These standardization

gaps have prompted increasing interest in developing common frameworks for both infrastructure management and machine learning integration that can accommodate the heterogeneity of modern enterprise environments [10].

Table 3 Integration Challenges and Mitigation Strategies [9, 10]

Challenge Category	Key Issue	Mitigation Strategy	Implementation Complexity
Data Quality	Inconsistent telemetry	Data preprocessing pipelines	Moderate
Security	Infrastructure exposure	Masked data computation	High
Skills Gap	Cross-domain expertise	Graduated implementation	Moderate
Computational Overhead	Resource constraints	Model optimization techniques	High

6. Future Research Directions

The application of artificial intelligence to network and storage infrastructure continues to evolve rapidly, with emerging trends shaping the future research landscape. As we look toward next-generation intelligent infrastructure management, several key directions warrant particular attention from both research and industry perspectives.

Edge computing represents a transformative paradigm that will profoundly influence future AI applications in infrastructure optimization. This architectural approach positions computational resources at the logical extremes of a network rather than centralizing them, thereby enabling new capabilities and efficiencies across distributed environments. The emergence of edge computing has been driven by several converging forces, including the increasing prevalence of mobile computing, the proliferation of Internet of Things devices, and the growing significance of cloud computing as an architectural model. These forces collectively create scenarios where the traditional cloud computing model faces limitations that edge-based approaches can effectively address. Edge computing introduces a new tier in the infrastructure hierarchy, characterized by proximity to data sources, reduced latency, and distributed intelligence. This architectural shift creates novel optimization challenges that traditional approaches struggle to address, including resource allocation across heterogeneous edge nodes, workload placement balancing computational and network considerations, and maintaining service continuity across distributed environments. Future research must develop AI techniques specifically designed for these edge environments, capable of operating within their inherent resource constraints while delivering sophisticated optimization capabilities [11].

Explainable artificial intelligence represents another critical research frontier for infrastructure management applications. The growing complexity of deep learning models has created a significant tension between performance and interpretability that particularly impacts infrastructure domains where accountability and transparency are essential. Explainable AI encompasses techniques that enable human operators to understand, appropriately trust, and effectively manage AI systems—capabilities crucial for infrastructure environments where optimization decisions may significantly impact business operations. Current research explores multiple approaches to explainability, including designing inherently interpretable models, developing post-hoc explanation techniques for existing models, and creating visualization methods that render complex model behaviors comprehensible. These capabilities enable infrastructure teams to understand not just what optimizations are recommended but why specific decisions were made, facilitating more effective collaboration between human experts and AI systems. The taxonomy of explainable AI approaches reveals distinct categories including model explanations focused on illuminating internal mechanisms, outcome explanations that justify specific decisions, and transparency by design that incorporates interpretability from conception. As infrastructure management becomes increasingly automated, these explainability capabilities will prove essential for building trust, ensuring appropriate oversight, and enabling effective intervention when necessary [12].

Cross-domain optimization represents a significant research opportunity that transcends traditional infrastructure boundaries. Contemporary environments feature complex interdependencies between network, compute, and storage resources, creating scenarios where optimization decisions in one domain significantly impact performance in others. Future research must develop approaches capable of modeling these interdependencies and optimizing holistically across traditional boundaries. This capability requires techniques that can represent the complex relationships between diverse infrastructure components while capturing how changes propagate across the system. The cloudification of

infrastructure through virtualization and software-defined approaches creates additional layers of abstraction that both complicate and enable cross-domain optimization. Edge computing environments further amplify this challenge by introducing geographical distribution alongside traditional resource considerations. The development of unified metrics that effectively capture performance across domain boundaries represents a particularly challenging aspect of this research direction [11].

Table 4 Future Research Directions in AI for Infrastructure [11, 12]

Research Area	Current State	Future Direction	Potential Impact
Edge Computing Integration	Prototype implementations	Resource-efficient AI models	Distributed optimization
Explainable AI	Post-hoc explanations	Inherently interpretable models	Increased operational trust
Cross-Domain Optimization	Siloed approaches	Unified optimization frameworks	Holistic efficiency
Human-AI Collaboration	Limited interaction	Adaptive explanation interfaces	Enhanced decision support

The practical implications for industry practitioners extend beyond technology selection to implementation strategies and organizational approaches. The implementation of explainable AI for infrastructure management requires consideration of multiple dimensions, including the appropriate level of explanation detail, the target audience for explanations, and the interaction model between AI systems and human operators. Organizations must carefully balance explanation complexity against operational usability, tailoring approaches to the specific needs of different stakeholder groups. As implementation experience grows, organizations can progressively expand the scope and autonomy of AI-driven optimization, eventually transitioning toward more comprehensive approaches. The transformative impact of AI on infrastructure extends beyond incremental efficiency improvements, potentially redefining how organizations conceptualize and manage their digital foundations. While substantial research and implementation challenges remain, the trajectory is clear—artificial intelligence will increasingly become the foundation of how organizations operate the digital infrastructure that powers their operations [12].

6. Conclusion

The transformative potential of artificial intelligence in network and storage optimization extends far beyond incremental improvements, fundamentally redefining infrastructure management paradigms. As organizations navigate the complex landscape of AI implementation, graduated adoption strategies that build capabilities incrementally while developing cross-domain expertise offer the most promising path forward. The convergence of edge computing with AI creates new frontiers for distributed intelligence, while explainability emerges as a critical requirement for operational trust and effective oversight. The evolution toward holistic optimization across traditional domain boundaries represents perhaps the most significant opportunity, enabling unified management of increasingly interconnected resources. Though substantial integration challenges remain—spanning technical, security, and organizational dimensions—the trajectory is unmistakable: intelligent systems will become the foundation of infrastructure operations, continuously adapting to changing conditions with minimal human intervention while maintaining transparency through explainable decision processes. Organizations embracing these capabilities will gain substantial advantages through more efficient, reliable, and adaptive infrastructure that responds dynamically to evolving business requirements.

References

- [1] Jingjing Zhang, Nirwan Ansari, "On assuring end-to-end QoE in next generation networks: challenges and a possible solution," IEEE Communications Magazine, 2011. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5936172
- [2] i-SCOOP, "Data Age 2025: the datasphere and data-readiness from edge to core," 2025. https://www.i-scoop.eu/big-data-action-value-context/data-age-2025-datasphere/
- [3] Mowei Wang et al., "Machine Learning for Networking: Workflow, Advances and Opportunities," IEEE Network, 2017. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8121867

- [4] Volodymyr Mnih et al., "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning," Nature, 2015. https://www.nature.com/articles/nature14236
- [5] Nguyen Cong Luong et al., "Applications of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Communications and Networking: A Survey," IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 2019. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8714026
- [6] Rongpeng Li et al., "Intelligent 5G: When Cellular Networks Meet Artificial Intelligence," IEEE Wireless Communications, 2016. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7886994
- [7] Wenfeng Xia et al., "A Survey on Data Center Networking (DCN): Infrastructure and Operations," IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 2017. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7738551
- [8] Jie Zhao et al., "A Deep Reinforcement Learning Approach to Resource Management in Hybrid Clouds Harnessing Renewable Energy and Task Scheduling," 2021 IEEE 14th International Conference on Cloud Computing (CLOUD), 2021. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9582195
- [9] Juliver Gil Herrera et al., "Resource Allocation in NFV: A Comprehensive Survey," IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, 2016. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7534741
- [10] Jeremy Kepner et al., "Computing on masked data: a high-performance method for improving big data veracity," 2014 IEEE High Performance Extreme Computing Conference (HPEC), 2015. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7040946
- [11] Mahadev Satyanarayanan, "The Emergence of Edge Computing," Computer, 2017. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7807196
- [12] Amina Adadi, Mohammed Berrada, "Peeking Inside the Black Box: A Survey on Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)," IEEE Access, 2018. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8466590