World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences
eISSN: 2582-5542
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjbphs
Journal homepage: https://wjbphs.com/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Challenges and Opportunities in AI-Based Implant Detection: A Reflection on Deep Learning and Convolutional Neural Networks in Radiology

Farid Sharifi [1], Vahab Sharifi [2] and Nadia Anvarirad [3]

[1] *Prosthodontics Resident, Prosthodontics Division, Columbia College of Dental Medicine, New York, U.S.A.*
[2] *Researcher, School of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.*
[3] *Researcher, School of Public Health, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA.*

World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 2025, 21(03), 600-605

Publication history: Received on 16 February 2025; revised on 23 March 2025; accepted on 26 March 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjbphs.2025.21.3.0259

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly advanced the field of radiology, particularly through the integration of deep learning (DL) and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for implant detection. These technologies offer transformative potential by enhancing diagnostic accuracy, streamlining workflows, and reducing human error. However, despite their promise, AI-based implant detection faces critical challenges that hinder widespread clinical adoption. Issues such as limited availability of annotated datasets, data heterogeneity, and technical limitations in model generalizability complicate the development of robust AI systems. Ethical concerns, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the opacity of deep learning models, further contribute to clinician hesitancy and regulatory hurdles. Nonetheless, opportunities abound in hybrid model development, data-sharing collaborations, and the use of explainable AI (XAI) to foster clinician trust. AI's potential to personalize patient care and support post-operative monitoring underscores its growing relevance in radiology. Addressing the dual imperatives of technical innovation and ethical responsibility is essential for integrating AI into clinical workflows effectively. This reflection highlights the intricate balance between leveraging AI's capabilities and mitigating its risks, advocating for interdisciplinary collaboration and regulatory oversight to unlock AI's full potential in implant detection and improve patient outcomes.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; Dental implant; Oral radiology; Deep learning; Convolutional neural network

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly evolved from a theoretical concept into a transformative tool within various fields, with healthcare and medical imaging being at the forefront of this revolution (1). In radiology, the integration of AI—particularly deep learning (DL) and convolutional neural networks (CNNs)—has created new possibilities for accurate, efficient, and reproducible image analysis (2). Among the many applications, AI-based implant detection in radiological images presents both significant opportunities and notable challenges, warranting a deeper discussion (3).

Implant detection plays a critical role in radiological diagnostics, treatment planning, and post-operative evaluations. Whether in dental radiology, orthopedics, or cardiovascular imaging, the ability to accurately identify implants such as dental fixtures, hip prostheses, or stents is essential for guiding clinical decisions (4). Conventional image interpretation, although reliable, is time-consuming and subject to human variability. This has driven interest in AI solutions that can streamline processes and reduce diagnostic errors (5).

* Corresponding author: Farid Sharifi
Prosthodontics Resident, Prosthodontics Division, Columbia College of Dental Medicine, New York, U.S.A.

Deep learning models, especially CNNs, have demonstrated exceptional capabilities in image recognition tasks, surpassing traditional machine learning algorithms in several medical imaging applications. CNNs' hierarchical architecture allows them to learn complex patterns, making them well-suited for the task of implant detection where distinguishing between artificial and natural structures is crucial (6). However, despite these advantages, their application in implant detection is not without complications.

This review reflects on both the challenges and opportunities of AI-driven implant detection, focusing on the use of deep learning and CNNs within radiology. It aims to provide insight into the current landscape, highlight critical areas for improvement, and propose pathways for future research and clinical integration (6).

As AI becomes more deeply embedded in radiological workflows, it is imperative to recognize the complexities inherent in adopting these technologies. Implant detection offers a unique perspective because it sits at the intersection of technical image analysis and real-world clinical demands. The stakes are high—accurate detection can optimize patient outcomes, while errors can lead to misdiagnoses or inappropriate treatments. This duality emphasizes the need for thoughtful implementation and continuous evaluation of AI models (7).

Finally, this piece seeks to encourage dialogue among radiologists, data scientists, and policymakers. By understanding the multifaceted nature of AI-based implant detection, stakeholders can work towards solutions that not only push technological boundaries but also prioritize clinical utility and patient safety.

1.1. Challenges

One of the most significant challenges in AI-based implant detection is the limited availability of high-quality, annotated datasets (8). Deep learning models require vast amounts of data to achieve optimal performance, and this need is especially pronounced in medical imaging. While general radiological datasets exist, those specifically curated for implant detection—complete with accurate labels and diverse imaging modalities—are scarce. This scarcity of data can result in models that fail to generalize across different patient populations or imaging devices (9).

Data heterogeneity further complicates model training (10). Radiological images vary based on equipment type, imaging protocols, and patient anatomy. For instance, the radiodensity of certain implants can create artifacts that obscure surrounding structures, leading to misinterpretations. CNNs trained on data from a single institution or imaging modality may struggle when exposed to new data from different sources. This issue of generalizability is a common pitfall in AI applications in radiology, and implant detection is no exception (2,3,4).

Another critical challenge lies in technical limitations of deep learning architecture. While CNNs are adept at pattern recognition, they can sometimes misclassify implants due to confounding factors such as anatomical anomalies, overlapping structures, or artifacts caused by metallic components (6). Moreover, the "black box" nature of many deep learning models raises concerns about transparency and interpretability (11). Clinicians are often hesitant to trust AI-driven decisions without a clear understanding of how conclusions were reached. A hesitancy that is particularly strong when errors could have serious clinical consequences (12).

The clinical integration of AI tools presents its own set of barriers. Even the most accurate models can fail if they do not align with clinical workflows or if they add complexity to the diagnostic process. Radiologists require tools that are not only accurate but also intuitive and time efficient. Additionally, there are legal and ethical concerns regarding the use of AI in clinical decision-making (1,3). In the event of an incorrect diagnosis, it remains unclear who bears responsibility—the clinician, the AI developer, or the institution?

Bias and fairness in AI models also warrant consideration. If training datasets lack diversity, whether in patient demographics, implant types, or imaging techniques—the resulting models may perform poorly on underrepresented groups. This could lead to disparities in diagnostic accuracy, further complicating efforts to integrate AI into routine practice. Ensuring that AI models serve all patient populations equitably is not just a technical challenge but a moral imperative (13).

Finally, regulatory hurdles can delay or obstruct the deployment of AI tools in clinical settings. Regulatory bodies like the FDA require extensive validation to approve AI-driven diagnostic tools, but current frameworks often lag behind the rapid pace of AI innovation. Developers must navigate these regulatory landscapes carefully, ensuring that their models meet both technical and clinical standards without compromising on safety or efficacy (14).

1.2. Opportunities

Despite these challenges, AI-based implant detection offers immense opportunities to enhance radiological practice. One of the most promising areas lies in the development of hybrid models that combine the strengths of CNNs with traditional machine learning approaches. By incorporating rule-based systems or ensemble learning methods, hybrid models can mitigate some of the pitfalls of pure deep learning—such as overfitting—and provide more interpretable results (1,3,6).

The growing emphasis on data sharing and collaborative research can also help address the issue of limited datasets (15). Multi-institutional collaborations and open-source initiatives can facilitate the pooling of diverse imaging data, leading to more robust and generalizable models (16). Cloud-based platforms and federated learning—where data remains decentralized, but models are trained collectively—offer innovative solutions for data privacy concerns while still enabling large-scale model development (17).

In terms of clinical utility, AI-powered implant detection has the potential to streamline workflows and reduce diagnostic errors. Automated systems can pre-screen radiological images, flagging potential implant-related complications or highlighting areas that require closer inspection. This not only improves efficiency but also allows radiologists to focus on more complex cases. Additionally, AI can assist in post-operative assessments, tracking implant positioning over time and detecting early signs of failure or complications (2,3,18)

Personalized medicine is another frontier where AI can make significant contributions. By integrating radiological data with patient-specific factors—such as bone density or comorbid conditions, AI models can offer tailored insights into implant compatibility and longevity. This level of individualized care could improve patient outcomes and reduce the incidence of implant failure (19,3).

The advent of explainable AI (XAI) also holds promises for increasing clinician trust in AI systems. By making the decision-making processes of deep learning models more transparent, XAI can help radiologists understand why a particular result was generated. This interpretability is especially crucial in implant detection, where clinical decisions can have long-lasting implications (20).

Finally, the interdisciplinary nature of AI research presents an opportunity for cross-sector innovation. Collaboration between computer scientists, radiologists, biomedical engineers, and ethicists can lead to more holistic AI solutions that consider not only technical feasibility but also clinical relevance, ethical considerations, and regulatory compliance. By fostering interdisciplinary partnerships, the field can move toward safer, more effective AI applications in radiology (3,15).

1.3. Ethical Considerations

The use of AI in radiology, particularly in implant detection, raises several ethical considerations that must be addressed alongside technical and clinical challenges. Patient privacy is a primary concern. The use of large imaging datasets for AI training necessitates robust data protection measures to ensure compliance with regulations like HIPAA and GDPR. Even de-identified data can sometimes be re-identified through advanced AI techniques, underscoring the importance of stringent data security protocols (21,22).

Bias and fairness in AI models remain critical issues. If training datasets are not representative of diverse patient populations, the resulting models may exhibit biased performance, potentially disadvantaging certain groups. In the context of implant detection, variations in implant materials, designs, and placements across different demographic groups must be adequately represented in training data to ensure equitable diagnostic outcomes (23).

Transparency and explainability are equally important ethical considerations. The "black box" nature of many deep learning models poses challenges in clinical settings where accountability is paramount. If an AI system misidentifies an implant or overlooks a complication, clinicians must be able to understand the reasoning behind the error. Implementing explainable AI techniques can help bridge this gap, fostering greater trust among healthcare professionals (1,11).

Legal accountability is another complex issue. In cases where AI systems contribute to diagnostic errors, determining liability can be challenging. Should responsibility fall on the clinician who used the AI tool, the developers who designed it, or the institution that implemented it? Clear legal frameworks and guidelines are necessary to navigate these questions and ensure that accountability is appropriately assigned (21,22). AI can also help in forensic medicine, helping clinicians to determine genders based on OPG images (24)

Informed consent is also evolving in the era of AI. Patients should be aware when AI tools are used in their diagnostic processes and should understand how these systems might influence clinical decisions. Transparent communication about the capabilities and limitations of AI can help maintain patient trust and autonomy (25). There also must be oversight on how to use LLMs for scientific writings and medical use (26).

Finally, there is a broader ethical obligation to ensure that AI technologies do not exacerbate existing healthcare disparities. While AI has the potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and efficiency, it could also widen gaps in care if not implemented thoughtfully. Ensuring that AI tools are accessible, affordable, and beneficial across various healthcare settings—from urban hospitals to rural clinics—is essential for promoting equitable healthcare outcomes (1,12,21).

2. Conclusion

AI-based implant detection sits at the intersection of technological innovation and clinical necessity, offering transformative potential while posing significant challenges. The integration of deep learning and CNNs into radiological workflows has already demonstrated remarkable capabilities, but further efforts are needed to overcome barriers related to data scarcity, model generalizability, and clinical integration.

By fostering collaborative research and prioritizing data diversity, the field can develop more robust and equitable AI models. The inclusion of ethical considerations—such as transparency, accountability, and patient privacy—will be essential in ensuring that AI systems serve the best interests of both clinicians and patients.

The road to full clinical adoption is complex but navigable. As explainable AI techniques mature and interdisciplinary collaborations strengthen, AI-based implant detection could become a standard tool in radiological diagnostics. This would not only improve diagnostic accuracy but also optimize clinical workflows, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes.

However, it is crucial that innovation does not outpace ethical considerations and regulatory oversight. Ensuring that AI tools are rigorously validated and thoughtfully implemented will be key to their long-term success.

In conclusion, while the path forward presents challenges, the opportunities for improving radiological care through AI are too significant to ignore. By addressing current limitations and embracing future possibilities, stakeholders can unlock the full potential of AI in implant detection, advancing both the science of radiology and the quality of patient care.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Bekbolatova M, Mayer J, Ong CW, Toma M. Transformative Potential of AI in Healthcare: Definitions, Applications, and Navigating the Ethical Landscape and Public Perspectives. Healthcare (Basel). 2024 Jan 5;12(2):125. doi: 10.3390/healthcare12020125.

[2] Najjar R. Redefining Radiology: A Review of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Medical Imaging. Diagnostics (Basel). 2023 Aug 25;13(17):2760. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13172760. PMID: 37685300; PMCID: PMC10487271.

[3] Vandewinckele L, Claessens M, Dinkla A, Brouwer C, Crijns W, Verellen D, van Elmpt W. Overview of artificial intelligence-based applications in radiotherapy: Recommendations for implementation and quality assurance. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2020 Dec 1;153:55-66.

[4] Salian SS, Subhadarsanee CP, Patil RT, Dhadse PV. Radiographic Evaluation in Implant Patients: A Review. Cureus. 2024 Feb 23;16(2):e54783. doi: 10.7759/cureus.54783. PMID: 38529466; PMCID: PMC10961673.

[5] Khalifa M, Albadawy M. AI in diagnostic imaging: Revolutionising accuracy and efficiency. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update. 2024 Mar 5:100146.

[6] Batool A, Byun YC. A Lightweight multi-path convolutional neural network architecture using optimal features selection for multiclass classification of brain tumor using magnetic resonance images. Results in Engineering. 2025 Feb 17:104327

[7] Bhandari A. Revolutionizing Radiology With Artificial Intelligence. Cureus. 2024 Oct 29;16(10):e72646. doi: 10.7759/cureus.72646. PMID: 39474591; PMCID: PMC11521355.

[8] Dashti M, Londono J, Ghasemi S, Tabatabaei S, Hashemi S, Baghaei K, Palma PJ, Khurshid Z. Evaluation of accuracy of deep learning and conventional neural network algorithms in detection of dental implant type using intraoral radiographic images: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Prosthet Dent. 2025 Jan;133(1):137-146. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2023.11.030. Epub 2024 Jan 4.

[9] Zhou SK, Greenspan H, Davatzikos C, Duncan JS, van Ginneken B, Madabhushi A, Prince JL, Rueckert D, Summers RM. A review of deep learning in medical imaging: Imaging traits, technology trends, case studies with progress highlights, and future promises. Proc IEEE Inst Electr Electron Eng. 2021 May;109(5):820-838. doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2021.3054390. Epub 2021 Feb 26. PMID: 37786449; PMCID: PMC10544772.

[10] Wu X, Wu Y, Li X, Ye Z, Gu X, Wu Z, Yang Y. Application of adaptive machine learning systems in heterogeneous data environments. Global Academic Frontiers. 2024 Jul 8;2(3):37-50.

[11] ŞAHiN E, Arslan NN, Özdemir D. Unlocking the black box: an in-depth review on interpretability, explainability, and reliability in deep learning. Neural Computing and Applications. 2024 Nov 18:1-07.

[12] Rosenbacke R. Cognitive Challenges in Human-AI Collaboration: A Study on Trust, Errors, and Heuristics in Clinical Decision-Making.

[13] Vrudhula A, Kwan AC, Ouyang D, Cheng S. Machine Learning and Bias in Medical Imaging: Opportunities and Challenges. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2024 Feb;17(2):e015495. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.123.015495. Epub 2024 Feb 20. PMID: 38377237; PMCID: PMC10883605.

[14] Mahler M, Auza C, Albesa R, Melus C, Wu JA. Regulatory aspects of artificial intelligence and machine learning-enabled software as medical devices (SaMD). InPrecision Medicine and Artificial Intelligence 2021 Jan 1 (pp. 237-265). Academic Press.

[15] Tamuhla T, Lulamba ET, Mutemaringa T, Tiffin N. Multiple modes of data sharing can facilitate secondary use of sensitive health data for research. BMJ Glob Health. 2023 Oct;8(10):e013092. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2023-013092. PMID: 37802544; PMCID: PMC10565310.

[16] Sheller MJ, Edwards B, Reina GA, Martin J, Pati S, Kotrotsou A, Milchenko M, Xu W, Marcus D, Colen RR, Bakas S. Federated learning in medicine: facilitating multi-institutional collaborations without sharing patient data. Scientific reports. 2020 Jul 28;10(1):12598.

[17] Rauniyar A, Hagos DH, Jha D, Håkegård JE, Bagci U, Rawat DB, Vlassov V. Federated learning for medical applications: A taxonomy, current trends, challenges, and future research directions. IEEE Internet of Things Journal. 2023 Nov 1;11(5):7374-98.

[18] Sarhan MM, Ibrahim EA, Ezzelarab S, Marei MK. Navigating the future of guided dental implantology: A scoping review. The International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery. 2024 Apr;20(2):e2627.

[19] Kumar NV, Chakradhar D, Abhilash PM. Advancing bioimplant manufacturing through artificial intelligence. InBioimplants Manufacturing 2024 Sep 4 (pp. 284-312). CRC Press.

[20] Adeniran AA, Onebunne AP, William P. Explainable AI (XAI) in healthcare: Enhancing trust and transparency in critical decision-making. World J. Adv. Res. Rev. 2024; 23:2647-58.

[21] Mennella C, Maniscalco U, De Pietro G, Esposito M. Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. Heliyon. 2024 Feb 15;10(4):e26297. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon. 2024.e26297.

[22] Sartor G, Lagioia F. The impact of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) on artificial intelligence.

[23] Ferrara E. Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: A brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. Sci. 2023 Dec 26;6(1):3.

[24] Dashti, M., Azimi, T., Khosraviani, F., Azimian, S., Bahanan, L., Zahmatkesh, H., Ashi, H., & Khurshid, Z. (2025). Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Accuracy of Artificial Intelligence Algorithms in Individuals Gender Detection Using Orthopantomograms. In International Dental Journal. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2024.12.018

[25] Kiseleva A, Kotzinos D, De Hert P. Transparency of AI in healthcare as a multilayered system of accountabilities: between legal requirements and technical limitations. Frontiers in artificial intelligence. 2022 May 30;5:879603.

[26] Dashti M, Londono J, Ghasemi S, Moghaddasi N. How much can we rely on artificial intelligence chatbots such as the ChatGPT software program to assist with scientific writing? J Prosthet Dent. 2023 Jul 10:S0022-3913(23)00371-2. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2023.05.023. Epub ahead of print.