International Journal of Science and Research Archive
eISSN: 2582-8185
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra
Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Unlocking the Potential of E-Learning platforms in education

Lorenbeni S Yanthan [1], Tejasvi [1], Anushka Yadav [1], Madhu Yashpal [1], Kuntal [1,*], Parminder Kaur [2] and Seema Kalra [3]

[1] *Department of Zoology, Gargi College, University of Delhi,New Delhi, India.*
[2] *Department of Zoology, Sri Guru Tegh Bahadur Khalsa College, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India.*
[3] *School of Sciences, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi, India.*

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 15(03), 1154-1164

Publication history: Received on 05 May 2025; revised on 10 June 2025; accepted on 13 June 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.15.3.1836

Abstract

The rise of e-learning platforms has revolutionized the world of education and training, presenting new opportunities and challenges. E-learning platforms represent a profound leap forward in education, harnessing the power of the internet and cutting-edge technology. The different groups of e-learning comprises of informal and blended learning, network and work-based learning. This review paper discusses the availability of e-learning platforms, benefits of e-learning such as improved accessibility, cost-effectiveness, personalized learning experiences, scalability, and interactive content integration. The paper also looked into the emerging trends such as artificial intelligence, augmented and virtual reality, microlearning, data analytics, and the integration of collaborative tools. E-learning has evolved with AI, VR and AR integration and faces technological and pedagogical challenges. As we approach a period of significant educational transformation, e-learning platforms are poised to play a crucial role. They will deliver technology-enhanced learning experiences and shape a dynamic future, addressing the challenges to reap the full benefits of e-learning.

Keywords: Education Technology; E-learning platforms; Open-source vs. proprietary platforms; Emerging trends in e-learning; Challenges in e-learning

1. Introduction

Technology has ushered in a new era filled with limitless opportunities, propelled by the seamless integration of the internet and pioneering innovations. In this transformative era, education stands out as a primary beneficiary with the advent of e-learning platforms. These digital systems are becoming increasingly popular in academia, business, and public administration, particularly highlighted during global crises like the pandemic, which underscored their essential role.

E-learning platforms signify a profound advancement in education, leveraging the power of the internet and innovative technologies. They have made educational access more dramatic and greatly improved its effectiveness (Almahasees et al., 2021 and Al Rawashdeh et al., 2021). Furthermore, Giannakos et al. (2022) have elucidated that the proper development and integration of e-learning processes within organizations are crucial for their success.

To understand the essence of e-learning, we look to the definition by Rosenberg & Foshay (2002), who define it as the application of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) into educational settings to enhance the learning experience. This broad concept encompasses various forms digital learning, including online platforms, virtual learning environments and social learning technologies. As Dignen and Burmeister (2020) pointed out, the advent of digitalization presents significant challenges to traditional business models, compelling a thorough reassessment of

*Corresponding author: Kuntal

learning and development strategies. Digitalization's far-reaching effects enable organizations to implement flexible methods for nurturing knowledge across different departments and within their workforces.

E-learning platforms are currently at the forefront of the education industry. These platforms consist of advanced Content Management Systems (CMS), Learning Management Systems (LMS), and Learning Platforms, and are leading the charge in the educational revolution. These comprehensive platforms play a crucial role in distributing educational content, monitoring and managing user engagement, and simplifying content management processes.

The backbone of these e-learning platforms lies in their adept utilization of state-of-the-art technologies, which empowers learners as well as educators and redefines modern education paradigms. As a testament to their impact, e-learning platforms stand as one of the most ground-breaking inventions of our time.

There are both advantages and disadvantages to e-learning. On the positive side, it can help make learning more student-centered, offer greater flexibility, and provide opportunities for asynchronous and synchronous student interaction (Marioni et al., 2021, Adnan & Anwar, 2020). Additionally, e-learning platforms allow learners to have more control over the content and pace of their learning, which can be beneficial for meeting individual learning goals and needs (Al Rawashdeh et al., 2021). Furthermore, e-learning is easily accessible online, meaning that users can access content at any time, as long as they have internet access (Raheem & Khan, 2020). Studies have also shown that e-learning can be more effective than traditional learning methods, particularly for students who are shy, easily intimidated, or have difficulty speaking up in a classroom setting (Stern, 2004).

However, there are a number of drawbacks associated with E-learning systems, including lack of interest, delayed feedback or encouragement, and feelings of loneliness due to the absence of physical classmates (Aboagye et al., 2020). As a result, tutors and students face numerous challenges, and universities struggle to maintain consistent and valid course content (Marioni et al., 2021). Adeniyi et al (2024) explored the landscape of e-learning platforms in higher education, drawing distinctions between the United States and Africa.

These platforms have revolutionized how education is delivered and consumed, offering unprecedented access to learning opportunities while also presenting new challenges that need to be addressed to maximize their potential.

2. Evolution of the e-learning platform

E-learning has evolved in various ways across different sectors, such as education, business, and training, and each sector has own interpretation of it. In schools, e-learning encompasses a learning process that utilizes both software-based and online learning tools, while in business, training, and higher education sectors, e-learning strictly refers to online learning.

E-learning is often considered a new form of learning that uses the internet to deliver customized, interactive learning materials to diverse communities. However, this view is disconnected from the past 40 years of educational theory and practice that have shaped E-learning. Moreover, the historical divide between education and training has led to different notions and labels for technology-enhanced learning in different contexts, with different conceptual origins arising in acquisitive and participatory learning metaphors.

The history of eLearning dates back several decades and has undergone a significant transformation over time. From the first computer-based training programs to the current sophisticated online learning platforms, eLearning has come a long way. Here are some of the critical milestones in the development of eLearning:

- **1924: The First Machine-Aided Instruction**: The earliest form of eLearning involved using mechanical devices, such as the "teaching machine," invented by Sidney Pressey, which allowed students to answer multiple-choice questions (Petrina, 2004).
- **1960s: Computer-Assisted Instruction (CAI)**: During this decade, computers started to be used for educational purposes. Researchers and educators began to develop computer programs for teaching and learning (De Bruyckere & Kirschner, 2019).
- **1970s: PLATO System**: The PLATO (Programmed Logic for Automated Teaching Operations) system was created at the University of Illinois. It is considered one of the first computer-based learning systems featuring online testing, email, and interactive lessons (Bitzer et al., 1961; Grundlehner, 1974; Joanne, 1991; C. McAvinia, 2016; Pregowska et al., 2021).

- **1980s: Early Online Courses**: Universities and institutions started offering computer-based courses, often delivered on floppy disks and CD-ROMs (Pregowska et al., 2021).
- **1990s: The Internet Era**: The rise of the Internet revolutionized eLearning. The World Wide Web made it possible to deliver content online, and Learning Management Systems (LMS) began to emerge (Roberts, 1988; Allan , 2001; Kumar et al., 2017; Pregowska et al., 2021).
- **Late 1990s**: Online Universities and eLearning Companies: Institutions like the University of Phoenix and eLearning companies like Blackboard and Coursera were founded, pioneering the online education industry (Kumar et al., 2017; Niaz et al., 2021)
- **2000s: Massive Open Online Courses** (MOOCs): MOOCs gained popularity as a way to offer free and open online courses to a global audience. Platforms like edX and Udacity were established during this period (Dumitrica, 2017; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2017; Zawacki-Richter & Latchem, 2018).
- **2010s: Mobile Learning**: The widespread adoption of smartphones and tablets led to the development of mobile learning apps and responsive eLearning design (Crompton, 2013; McQuiggan et al., 2015; AlTameemy, 2017; Pedro et al., 2018; Shail MS, 2019; Atar & Bağcı, 2020; Rataj & Wójcik, 2020).
- **2020s: Adaptive Learning and AI**: eLearning systems incorporated artificial intelligence and machine learning to provide personalized learning experiences, allowing learners to progress at their own pace (Mousavinasab et al., 2018; Moreno-Guerrero et al., 2020; Smutny & Schreiberova, 2020; Kabudi et al., 2021).
- **Ongoing Trends**: Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) are becoming more prominent in eLearning, offering immersive experiences. Microlearning, which breaks content into smaller, digestible chunks, is gaining popularity. Gamification is used to engage and motivate learners through game-like elements (Gargrish et al., 2020; Tan, 2022; Phakamach et al., 2022; Al-Ansi et al., 2023).

Currently, eLearning has transformed into a highly advanced and immersive educational experience. Leveraging cutting-edge technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Virtual Reality (VR), and Augmented Reality (AR), eLearning has achieved unprecedented levels of engagement, personalization, and effectiveness. The evolution of eLearning is closely intertwined with the dynamic landscape of technology and shifts in education. It's worth emphasizing that this overview is a simplified snapshot, and the history of eLearning is a tapestry of rich and diverse developments and innovations, with numerous notable advancements that couldn't be covered in this concise timeline.

3. Journey of eLearning in India

E-learning in India has witnessed a remarkable evolution in recent years, reshaping the landscape of education and training nationwide. This transformative journey is influenced by many factors; such as technological advancements, changing demographics, government initiatives, and the growing demand for accessible and affordable education.

The foundation of e-learning in India can be traced back to the early 2000s when the internet began to gain widespread access. Initially, it was characterized by online tutorials, digital libraries, and a few isolated initiatives, but it has since expanded exponentially. The rapid growth of IT hubs like Bangalore and Hyderabad paved the way for a burgeoning IT industry that soon began exploring the possibilities of digitizing education.

One of the earliest significant initiatives was the launch of the National Programme on Technology Enhanced Learning (NPTEL) by the Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs) and the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) in 2003. This initiative aimed to provide high-quality course content for engineering and science students, democratizing access to education of the highest standard.

According to a report published by KPMG India and Google, the Indian online education market was projected to reach $1.96 billion by 2021, driven by factors like increasing internet penetration, smartphone adoption, and digital literacy. This meteoric rise has also been facilitated by various e-learning platforms like Coursera, Udemy, Byju's, and Khan Academy, which offer various courses and resources.

One of the notable success stories in Indian e-learning is Byju's, founded by Byju Raveendran in 2011. The platform has grown to become one of the largest ed-tech companies in the world, valued at over $16.5 billion as of our last knowledge update. Byju's innovative approach to e-learning that combines engaging video lessons with interactive quizzes and personalized feedback, has struck a chord with millions of Indian students seeking quality education outside the traditional classroom setup.

The advent of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) has also played a pivotal role in revolutionizing e-learning in India. Coursera, for example, partnered with top Indian universities and institutions to offer courses on various subjects,

empowering students to gain knowledge from the best educators worldwide. Similarly, edX, Khan Academy, and Udacity played pivotal roles in disseminating knowledge to the masses, breaking down the barriers of geography and economics. These partnerships have contributed to the globalization of Indian education, enabling students worldwide to access high-quality content.

The Government of India recognized the potential of eLearning and launched several digital education initiatives, and government initiatives have been instrumental in fostering the growth of e-learning in India. The "Digital India" campaign, launched in 2015, aimed to bridge the digital divide and promote digital literacy. One of its key components was the "National Digital Literacy Mission" (NDLM), which offered free digital literacy courses to millions of Indians. Furthermore, the government introduced the "SWAYAM" (Study Webs of Active-Learning for Young Aspiring Minds) platform, initiated in 2017, offering many courses and certifications from school to postgraduate levels, delivered by faculty from recognized institutions. The ePathshala and National Digital Library projects also provide free access to educational resources, textbooks, and multimedia content, fostering a culture of self-directed learning. Another important government initiative is the "National Education Policy (NEP) 2020," which recognizes the importance of e-learning to make education more accessible, flexible, and inclusive. The NEP aims to increase the Gross Enrollment Ratio (GER) in higher education and foster a digital-first approach to education.

Economic factors have also played a crucial role in the growth of eLearning. India's burgeoning middle class and the need for upskilling and reskilling in a rapidly evolving job market have increased demand for flexible and affordable education. eLearning emerged as an attractive solution, offering the convenience of learning at one's own pace and the flexibility to balance work and education. Moreover, the cost of traditional education, including tuition, accommodation, and transportation, often proves prohibitive for many, whereas eLearning significantly reduces these expenses.

However, the journey of eLearning in India has not been devoid of challenges. One of the most pressing issues is the digital divide. Although India has made remarkable progress in internet penetration, a substantial portion of its population still lacks access to the internet, digital devices, or reliable electricity (Chandra & Theng, 2021). This digital divide exacerbates educational disparities, leaving marginalized communities at a disadvantage. The government has initiated schemes to bridge this gap, but the task is immense, and progress has been slow in certain areas.

Another challenge is the quality of content and assessments. While many reputable institutions offer high-quality courses, there is a wide variation in the standards of eLearning content. Lack of standardized assessments and the issue of plagiarism also raise concerns about the credibility of online certifications. This has led to discussions about the need for accreditation and standardization of eLearning programs to ensure their recognition and acceptance by employers and educational institutions (Khadda & Acharya, 2019).

Additionally, the psychological and social aspects of eLearning are also a point of concern. The absence of face-to-face interaction and physical classrooms can lead to isolation and disengagement, particularly among students who thrive in a traditional classroom setting. Moreover, issues like screen addiction and the loss of social skills are challenges that need to be addressed as eLearning continues to grow (Dwivedi, 2020).

4. Advantages of eLearning Platforms

The rise of technology has revolutionized education through eLearning, providing an effective tool for transforming the learning process. The eLearning platform integrates information technology, telecommunications, and audio-visual technologies to deliver a personalized learning experience that accommodates individual learning styles, preferences, and strengths.

Implementing e-learning in education has garnered favor in various contexts, as reflected in multiple studies (Gautam & Tiwari, 2016; Martínez-Caro et al., 2015; Chang, 2016; Clark & Mayer, 2016). This approach is recognized for its utility in learning, cost-effectiveness, flexibility, and provision of world-class education (Jeffcoat & Golek, 2004; Gratton-Lavoie & Stanley, 2009; De La Varre et al., 2010; Dabbagh & Kitsantas, 2012).

In addition, e-learning offers more flexible learning options and reduces the need for travel to attend classes. Students can better understand the material through interactive activities facilitated by video technology in the classroom. This allows learners to respond quickly to the activities (Gautam & Tiwari, 2016; Martínez-Caro et al., 2015). Additionally, e-learning systems allow for improved communication between students and instructors.

In a study conducted by Li & Lalani (2020), the impact of COVID-19 on the 21st-century learning landscape was evident, with a shift from face-to-face learning to online instruction in schools and higher education institutions, as also noted

by Strielkowski (2020). Nevertheless, this abrupt change has strained the ability of institutions to adapt to such crises, with many countries ill-prepared for a full transition to online learning, leaving staff and students unprepared for this significant transformation.

5. Disadvantages of eLearning

E-learning is becoming more popular due to online courses and platforms. It offers benefits like flexibility, accessibility, and cost-effectiveness, but also has drawbacks that need careful consideration.

According to Heyman (2010), one of the major drawbacks of online education is the high attrition rates compared to traditional classroom environments. Herbert (2006) reports that online courses have a 10% to 20% higher failure and retention rate than traditional classes. Smith (2010) also notes that between 40% and 80% of online students drop out of online classes. Although these drawbacks may not apply to every situation, weighing them against the advantages of e-learning is essential. One of the foremost disadvantages of e-learning is the potential for a lack of human interaction and social engagement among colleague learners and between instructors and learners (Arkorful & Abaidoo, 2015; Islam et al., 2015; Ghoshal, 2020). Compared to traditional classroom settings, e-learning may be less effective due to the lack of face-to-face interaction with instructors and fellow students. In traditional classrooms, students can engage in discussions, collaborate on projects, and receive immediate instructor feedback. Such interactions foster a sense of belonging, motivation, and a deeper understanding of the subject matter. In contrast, e-learning often isolates learners, leading to feelings of detachment and loneliness, negatively impacting their overall educational experience and motivation to persist (Means et al., 2013). When implementing an online course, cultural barriers can present significant challenges. A study by Aparicio et al., (2016) examined the role of cultural characteristics, such as individualism and collectivism, in determining the perceived success of e-learning. The findings of the study revealed that individualism and collectivism have a significant impact on both organizational and individual outcomes.

Furthermore, the absence of a physical presence in e-learning can lead to a lack of accountability and self-discipline. In traditional classrooms, students must attend classes regularly, participate actively, and adhere to specific schedules. In contrast, e-learning often relies on self-paced, asynchronous modules, allowing for more autonomy. While this flexibility can be advantageous for some, it can encourage procrastination and lower completion rates (Hart, 2012). The absence of direct supervision and peer pressure can make it challenging for some learners to maintain the same level of commitment and discipline.

Another key disadvantage of e-learning is the potential for technical issues and barriers. Access to reliable internet, adequate hardware, and proficiency in using technology are prerequisites for successful participation in online courses. Yet, not everyone has equal access to these resources, creating a digital divide that disproportionately affects disadvantaged populations (DiMaggio & Hargittai, 2001). This disparity can lead to unequal educational opportunities and exacerbate existing socioeconomic inequalities. Moreover, technical glitches and software issues can disrupt the learning process, causing frustration and wasted time.

The lack of immediate and personalized feedback in e-learning is another notable drawback. In traditional classrooms, instructors can provide real-time guidance, clarification, and support to address students' questions and concerns. In contrast, e-learning often relies on automated feedback or delayed responses from instructors, leading to a less responsive learning environment (Bates & Sangrà, 2011). The absence of in-person interactions makes it more challenging for learners to seek immediate clarification or receive personalized guidance, potentially hindering their understanding of complex topics.

Moreover, e-learning can lack the hands-on experiences and practical skills development essential in certain fields. While theoretical knowledge can be effectively conveyed through online resources, subjects that require physical practice, such as laboratory work in science or hands-on training in trades, may suffer in an e-learning environment. The absence of practical experience can limit students' ability to apply their knowledge in real-world situations, potentially hindering their employability and professional development (Bawa, 2016).

Additionally, e-learning may not be well-suited to all learning styles. Some students thrive in traditional classrooms with face-to-face instruction, while others may prefer more interactive and kinesthetic learning methods. E-learning's one-size-fits-all approach may not adequately cater to these diverse learning preferences, potentially resulting in disengagement and reduced learning outcomes for certain individuals (Schellens & Valcke, 2006).

The isolation associated with e-learning can also lead to issues related to motivation and engagement. Students may find it more challenging to stay motivated in a solitary learning environment, which could lead to decreased

participation, higher dropout rates, and subpar academic performance (Bernard et al., 2004). The absence of social support and a sense of belonging can exacerbate these issues.

Lastly, the potential for academic dishonesty concerns the e-learning landscape. With the ease of copying and pasting information from the internet, online exams, quizzes, and assignments can be more susceptible to cheating and plagiarism. This undermines the educational system's integrity and devalues honest learners' achievements (Harmon et al., 2010; Harmon et al., 2015; Arnold, 2016).

Numerous arguments have been presented regarding why online courses might be more susceptible to academic dishonesty. One key factor is that assessments often occur in unsupervised or unproctored settings, making it challenging to verify the test taker's identity (Kraglund-Gauthier & Young, 2012). Moreover, individuals taking online tests can easily access unauthorized resources such as cheat sheets, books, or online materials during these assessments. Additionally, due to the absence of close instructor-student relationships and face-to-face interactions, the online learning environment can foster collaborative efforts, including student group work (Sendag et al., 2012; McGee, 2013; Moore et al., 2017).

A significant limitation is the challenge of providing hands-on experience and practical skills, which are essential in fields such as medicine and engineering (Saleem et al., 2022). E-learning is often ill-suited for disciplines that require physical practice and experiential learning. E-learning also falls short of fostering soft skills like interpersonal communication and emotional intelligence, best developed through face-to-face interactions and socialization, as highlighted by the World Economic Forum (2018).

6. Challenges in e-learning Platform Development

6.1. Technological Challenges

The utilization and acceptance of e-learning systems pose significant challenges for universities in developed and developing countries. However, the level of concern may be less in developed countries due to the greater willingness of their students to embrace and use these systems. Existing literature suggests that developed countries have already taken progressive steps to address this issue. In contrast, Eltahir (2019) pointed out that challenges related to adopting e-learning systems remain a reality in developing countries. These challenges are attributed to the digital divide between developed and developing nations.

A literature review identified several categories of challenges associated with adopting e-learning systems. These challenges can be broadly classified into four categories: technological challenges, individual challenges, cultural challenges, and course-related challenges (Chen and Tseng 2012; Kenan et al. 2013; Mulhanga & Lima 2017). Notably, these challenges vary from one country to another due to differences in culture, context, and readiness. For instance, few studies highlighted that study highlighted the main challenges in e-learning adoption in developing countries: a lack of ICT knowledge, inadequate network infrastructure, system characteristics, internet experience, computer self-efficacy, weaknesses in content development, a shortage of technical skills and financial constraints are the primary impediments to successful e-learning system adoption (Aung & Khaing, 2015; Kanwal & Rehman, 2017; Tarus et al. 2015).

6.2. Pedagogical Challenges

In the realm of education, e-learning platforms encounter a multitude of challenges that extend beyond mere technical issues. These challenges are centered around the efficacy of teaching and the quality of the learning experience. First and foremost, sustaining learner engagement is a pressing concern. Unlike traditional classrooms where personal connections naturally develop, e-learning environments lack this intimacy, demanding innovative approaches to maintain learner engagement.

Accurately assessing learning outcomes presents another hurdle in the e-learning landscape. The complexity arises from monitoring and verifying the authenticity of students' work, which can significantly impact the credibility of online education.

Furthermore, adapting to the varied learning styles of a diverse student body is a complex task. E-learning offers an array of teaching methods, which, while flexible, can pose challenges for students in terms of adaptation. Striking a balance between meeting diverse learning needs and ensuring a consistent learning experience remains an ongoing challenge.

Lastly, creating and managing e-learning content introduces their own set of difficulties. E-learning content comes in various formats, including animations, videos, documents, and assessments. Achieving an equilibrium between personalization, quality, and timely delivery is formidable. Ensuring content consistency poses an enduring issue for many e-learning platforms, demanding constant attention and innovation.

7. Conclusion

E-learning has transformed education, making it more accessible and flexible, especially during crises like COVID-19. It provides personalized learning experiences, flexibility, and interaction, enhancing learning outcomes. However, it has challenges such as a lack of social interaction, student engagement, and the digital divide. India's experience showcases growth but also highlights challenges like content quality and social interaction. E-learning has evolved with AI, VR, and AR integration and faces technological and pedagogical challenges. To fully benefit from e-learning, addressing these challenges is crucial.

While e-learning is valuable, it has disadvantages: limited social interaction, accountability, and practical experience, with potential for academic dishonesty. Careful planning and consideration of learner needs are essential. India's eLearning landscape has evolved, but challenges like the digital divide and standardization need attention.

E-learning, with its many advantages, also has limitations. These include the digital divide, reduced engagement, content quality, and soft skills development. Policymakers and educators must balance its benefits with traditional methods to provide a comprehensive educational experience.

Developing e-learning platforms involves addressing technical, pedagogical, and content-related challenges to improve accessibility, security, engagement, and educational quality.

8. Future Prospects in e-learning

The prospects in E-learning are nothing short of revolutionary. As technology continues to advance at an unprecedented pace, the world of education is undergoing a profound transformation. E-learning, already a burgeoning field is poised to become the primary mode of education delivery for students of all ages.

One of the most promising aspects of E-learning is its accessibility. With the proliferation of smart phones and the increasing availability of high-speed internet, learning opportunities are no longer bound by geographical constraints. Students from remote corners of the globe can access quality education with a few clicks, democratizing learning like never before. Moreover, the advent of virtual and augmented reality is taking E-learning to the next level, offering immersive experiences that were once unimaginable.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning are shaping the future of E-learning, providing personalized learning experiences and real-time feedback. This tailoring of educational content to individual needs promises to enhance engagement and comprehension significantly. Additionally, the gig economy and evolving job market demand continuous skill updates, making E-Learning an indispensable tool for upskilling and reskilling. In conclusion, the future of E-learning is extraordinarily bright. It is a force for inclusivity, personalization, and adaptability, poised to revolutionize education and empower learners worldwide. As technology advances, the potential for E-learning to reshape education is boundless, making it a field of immense promise and opportunity.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Aboagye, E., Yawson, J., & Appiah, K. (2020). COVID-19 and e-learning: The challenges of students in tertiary institutions. Social Education Research, 2, 1–8.

[2] Adnan, M., & Anwar, K. (2020). Online learning amid the COVID-19 pandemic: Students' perspectives. Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology, 2, 45-51.

[3] Adeniyi, I. S., Hamad, N. H. A., Adewusi, O.E., Unachukwu, C.C., Osawaru, B., Onyebuchi C. N.,Omolawal, S. A., Aliu A. O., 7 and David I. O. (2024). E-learning platforms in higher education: A comparative review of the USA and Africa, International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 11(01), 1686–1697

[4] Al Rawashdeh, A., Mohammed, E., Al Arab, A., Alara, M., Al Rawashdeh, B. (2021). Advantages and disadvantages of using e-learning in university education: analyzing students' perspectives. Electron J E-Learn.19(2):107–117.

[5] Al Tameemy, F. (2017). Mobile phones for teaching and learning: Implementation and students' and teachers' attitudes. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 45, 436-451.

[6] Al-Ansi, A. M., Jaboob, M., Garad, A., & Al-Ansi, A. (2023). Analyzing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) recent development in education. Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 8(1), 100532.

[7] Allan, R.A. (2001). A History of the Personal Computer: The People and the Technology, 1st ed.; Allan Publishing: Shepperton, UK.

[8] Almahasees, Z., Mohsen, K., & Amin, M. O. (2021). Faculty's and Students' Perceptions of Online Learning During COVID-19. Frontiers in Education, 6, 638470.

[9] Aparicio, M., Bacao, F., & Oliveira, T. (2016). Cultural impacts on e-learning systems' success. The Internet and Higher Education, 31, pp. 58-70.

[10] Arkorful, V., & Abaidoo, N. (2015). The Role of E-Learning, Advantages and Disadvantages of Its Adoption in Higher Education. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 12, 29-42.

[11] Arnold, I. J. M. (2016). Cheating at online formative tests: Does it pay off? Internet and Higher Education, 29, 98-106.

[12] Atar, C., & Bağcı, H. (2020). The Investigation of Pre-service English Teachers' Information Searching and Commitment Strategies on the Web. Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology, 8 (1).

[13] Aung, T. N., & Khaing, S. S. (2015). Challenges of implementing e-learning in developing countries: A review. In International Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computing (pp. 405-411). Springer, Cham.

[14] Bates, A. W., & Sangrà, A. (2011). Managing technology in higher education: Strategies for transforming teaching and learning. Jossey-Bass.

[15] Bawa, P. (2016). Retention in online courses: Exploring issues and solutions—a literature review. SAGE Open, 6(1), 2158244015621777.

[16] Bernard, R., Abrami, P., Lou, Y., Borokhovski, E., Wade, A., Wozney, L., Wallet, P., Fiset, M., & Huang, B. (2004). How Does Distance Education Compare with Classroom Instruction? A Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Literature. Review of Educational Research, 74(3), 379-439.

[17] Bitzer, D., Braunfeld, P., & Lichtenberger, W. (1961). PLATO: An Automatic Teaching Device. IRE Trans. Educ., 4, 157–161.

[18] Chandra, A., & Theng, D. (2021). Challenges and prospects of e-learning in India. International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, 16(6), 117-123.

[19] Chang, V., 2016. Review and discussion: e-learning for academia and industry. International Journal of Information Management, 36(3), pp. 476-485.

[20] Chen, H. R., & Tseng, H. F. (2012). Factors that influence acceptance of web-based e-learning systems for the in-service education of junior high school teachers in Taiwan. Evaluation and Program Planning, 35(3), 398–406.

[21] Clark, R. E., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning. Wiley.

[22] Crompton, H. (2013). A historical overview of mobile learning: Toward learner-centered education. In Z.L. Berge & L.Y. Muilenburg (Eds.), Handbook of Mobile Learning (pp. 3–14). Routledge.

[23] Dabbagh, N., & Kitsantas, A. (2012). Personal learning environments, social media, and self-regulated learning: A natural formula for connecting formal and informal learning. The Internet and Higher Education, 15(1), 3-8.

[24] De Bruyckere, P., & Kirschner, P.A. (2019). Computer-Assisted Learning. In: Tatnall, A. (eds) Encyclopedia of Education and Information Technologies. Springer, Cham.

[25] De La Varre, C., Keane, J., & Irvin, M. J. (2010). Enhancing online distance education in small rural US schools: A hybrid, learner-centred model. ALT J. Res. Learn. Technol. 18, 193–205.

[26] Dignen, B., & Burmeister, T. (2020). Learning and development in the organizations of the future. Three pillars of organization and leadership in disruptive times (pp. 207–232). Cham: Springer.

[27] DiMaggio, P. & Hargittai, E. (2001). From the 'digital divide' to 'digital inequality': Studying Internet use as penetration increases. Princeton University Center for Arts and Cultural Policy Studies.

[28] Dumitrica, D. (2017) Fixing higher education through technology: Canadian media coverage of massive open online courses, Learning, Media and Technology, 42:4, 454-467.

[29] Dwivedi, D. (2020). COVID-19 and E-Learning: The Dawn of the Digital Age in Indian Education. Journal of Teaching and Learning with Technology, 9(2), 19-29.

[30] Eltahir, M. E. (2019). E-learning in developing countries: Is it a panacea? A case study of Sudan. IEEE Access, 7, 97784–97792.

[31] Gargrish, S., Mantri, A., Kaur, D.P. (2020). Augmented reality-based learning environment to enhance teaching-learning experience in geometry education. Procedia Computer Science, 172 (2020), pp. 1039-1046

[32] Gautam, S. S., and Tiwari, M. K., 2016. Components and benefits of e-learning system. International Research Journal of Computer Science (IRJCS), 3(1), pp. 14-17.

[33] Ghoshal D.B. (2020). Advantages and disadvantages of online teaching learning during pandemic. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), vol. 88, no. 983.

[34] Giannakos, M.N., Mikalef, P. & Pappas, I.O. (2022). Systematic Literature Review of E-Learning Capabilities to Enhance Organizational Learning. Inf Syst Front 24, 619–635.

[35] Gratton-Lavoie, C., and Stanley, D. (2009). Teaching and learning principles of microeconomics online: An empirical assessment. J. Econ. Educ. 40, 3–25.

[36] Grundlehner, P. E. (1974). Computer-Based Education: PLATO in German. Die Unterrichtspraxis / Teaching German, 7(2), 96–105.

[37] Harmon, O. R., Lambrinos, J., & Buffolino, J. (2015). Academic Dishonesty in Online Courses: A Literature Review. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 16(6), 230-250.

[38] Harmon, O.R., Lambrinos, J., Buffolino J. (2010). Assessment design and cheating risk in online instruction. Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration, 13 (3) (2010). (n. pag. Web).

[39] Hart, C. (2012). Factors associated with student persistence in an online program of study: A review of the literature. Journal of Interactive Online Learning, 11(1), 19-42.

[40] Herbert M. (2006). Staying the course: A study in online student satisfaction and retention. Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration, 9(4).

[41] Heyman, E. (2010). Overcoming student retention issues in higher education online programs: A Delphi study (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database. (ProQuest document ID: 748309429).

[42] Islam, N., Beer, M., and Slack, F., 2015. E-learning challenges faced by academics in higher education. Journal of Education and Training Studies, 3(5), pp. 102-112.

[43] Jeffcoat B.S., & Golek, J. H. (2004). Evaluating the cost effectiveness of online and face-to-face instruction. Educ. Technol. Soc. 7, 167–175.

[44] Joanne, T. (1991). The historical evolution of educational software. Eric Collect., ED349936, 1–19.

[45] Kabudi, T., Pappas, I., & Olsen, D. H. (2021). AI-enabled adaptive learning systems: A systematic mapping of the literature. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 2, 100017.

[46] Kanwal, F., & Rehman, M. (2017). Factors affecting e-learning adoption in developing countries–empirical evidence from Pakistan's higher education sector. IEEE Access, 5, 10968–10978.

[47] Kenan, T., Pislaru, C., Othman, A., & Elzawi, A. (2013). The social impact and cultural issues affecting the e-learning performance in Libyan higher education institutes. International Journal of Information Technology & Computer Science, 12(1), 50–56.

[48] Khadda, B. S., & Acharya, N. (2019). Quality of E-Learning Platforms in India: An Empirical Assessment. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science, 10(6), 153-158.

[49] Kraglund-Gauthier, W.L., Young, D.C. (2012). "Will the real 'John Doe' stand up? Verifying the identity of online students," L.A. Wankel, C. Wankel (Eds.), Misbehavior online in higher education, vol. 5, Emerald Insight, Bingley (2012), pp. 355-377.

[50] Kumar, A., Kumar, P., Palvia, S.C.J. & Verma, S. (2017). Online education worldwide: Current status and emerging trends, Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research, 19:1, 3-9.

[51] Li, C., & Lalani, F. (2020). The rise of online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/coronavirus-education-global-covid19-online-digital-learning/ (Accessed December 1, 2020).

[52] Marioni, G., Land, H., & Jensen, T. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 on Higher Education Around the World. IAU Global Survey Report. International Association of Universities (IAU), UNESCO House.

[53] Martínez-Caro, E., Cegarra-Navarro, J. G., and Cepeda-Carrión, G. (2015). An application of the performance-evaluation model for e-learning quality in higher education. Total Quality Management and Business Excellence, 26(5-6), 632647.

[54] McAvinia, C. (2016). Enter the VLE. In C. McAvinia (Ed.), Online Learning and its Users (pp. 11-34). Chandos Publishing.

[55] McGee, P. (2013). Supporting academic honesty in online courses. Journal of Educators Online, 10(1), n. pag. Web.

[56] McQuiggan, S., McQuiggan, J., Sabourin, J., & Kosturko, L. (2015). Mobile Learning: A Handbook for Developers, Educators, and Learners. John Wiley & Sons.

[57] Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2013). Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies. US Department of Education.

[58] Moore, H. P., Head, J.D., Griffin, R.B. (2017). Impeding students' efforts to cheat in online classes. Journal of Learning in Higher Education, 13 (1) (2017), pp. 9-23.

[59] Moreno-Guerrero, A. J., López-Belmonte, J., Marín-Marín, J. A., & Soler-Costa, R. (2020). Scientific development of educational artificial intelligence in Web of Science. Future Internet, 12, 124.

[60] Mousavinasab, E., Zarifsanaiey, N., Niakan Kalhori, R., Rakhshan, M., Keikha, L., & Ghazi Saeedi, M. (2018). Intelligent tutoring systems: A systematic review of characteristics, applications, and evaluation methods. Interactive Learning Environments, 29(1), 142-163.

[61] Mulhanga, M. M., & Lima, S. R. (2017, December). Podcast as e-learning enabler for developing countries: Current initiatives, challenges, and trends. In Proceedings of the 2017 9th International Conference on Education Technology and Computers (pp. 126-130).

[62] Niaz, S., Memon, S., & Khokhar, S. (2021). Development of E-learning: A Historical Review with Global Perspective. 49. 135-148.

[63] Pedro, L.F.M.G., Barbosa, C.M.M.d. & Santos, C.M.d. (2018). A critical review of mobile learning integration in formal educational contexts. Int J Educ Technol High Educ 15, 10.

[64] Petrina S. (2004). Sidney Pressey and the Automation of Education, 1924-1934, Technology and Culture, 45, 2004.

[65] Phakamach, P., Senarith, P., & Wachirawongpaisarn, S. (2022). The Metaverse in education: The future of immersive teaching & learning. RICE Journal of Creative Entrepreneurship and Management, 3(2), 75-88.

[66] Pregowska, A., Masztalerz, K., Garlińska, M., & Osial, M. (2021). A Worldwide Journey through Distance Education—From the Post Office to Virtual, Augmented and Mixed Realities, and Education during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Education Sciences, 11(3), 118.

[67] Raheem, B. R., & Khan, A. M. (2020). The role of e-learning in COVID-19 crisis. International Journal of Creative Research, 8, 3135–3138.

[68] Rataj, M., & Wójcik, J., 2020. The Mobile Learning Adoption Model Tailored to the Needs of a Private University. The Electronic Journal of e-Learning, 18(4), pp. 311-323.

[69] Roberts, L. (1988). The ARPANET and computer networks, session 3. In A History of Personal Workstations; Association for Computing Machinery: New York, NY, USA.

[70] Rosenberg, M. J., & Foshay, R. (2002). E-learning: Strategies for delivering knowledge in the digital age. Performance Improvement, 41(5), 50–51.

[71] Saleem, F., AlNasrallah, W., Malik, M. I., & Rehman, S. U. (2022). Factors Affecting the Quality of Online Learning During COVID-19: Evidence From a Developing Economy. Frontiers in Education, 7, 847571.

[72] Schellens, T., & Valcke, M. (2006). Fostering knowledge construction in university students through asynchronous discussion groups. Computers & Education, 46(4), 349-370.

[73] Sendag, S., Duran, M., Fraser, M.R. (2012). Surveying the extent of involvement in online academic dishonesty (e-dishonesty) related practices among university students and the rationale students provide: One university experience. Computers in Human Behavior, 28 (2012), pp. 849-860.

[74] Shail, M. S. (2019). Using Micro-learning on Mobile Applications to Increase Knowledge Retention and Work Performance: A Review of Literature. Cureus, 11(8), e5307.

[75] Smith, B. (2010). E-learning technologies: A comparative study of adult learners enrolled on blended and online campuses engaging in a virtual classroom (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database.

[76] Smutny, P., & Schreiberova, P. (2020). Chatbots for learning: A review of educational chatbots for the Facebook Messenger. Computers & Education, 151, 103862.

[77] Stern, B. (2004). A comparison of online and face-to-face instruction in an undergraduate Foundations of American education course. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 4, 196–213.

[78] Strielkowski, W. (2020). COVID-19 Pandemic and the Digital Revolution in Academia and Higher Education. 10.20944/preprints202004.0290.v1.

[79] Tan, Y., Xu, W., Li, S., & Chen, K. (2022). Augmented and virtual reality (AR/VR) for education and training in the AEC industry: A systematic review of research and applications. Buildings, 12(10), 1529.

[80] Tarus, J.K., Gichoya, D., & Muumbo, A. (2015). Challenges of implementing e-learning in Kenya: A case of Kenyan public universities. The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 16(1), 120–141.

[81] World Economic Forum. (2018). The Future of Jobs Report 2018. https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2018

[82] Zawacki-Richter, O., & Latchem, C. (2018). Exploring four decades of research in Computers & Education. Computers & Education, 122, 136-152.

[83] Zawacki-Richter, O., Alturki, U., & Aldraiweesh, A. (2017). Review and content analysis of the International Review of Research in Open and Distance/Distributed Learning (2000–2015). International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 18(2), 1-26.