International Journal of Science and Research Archive
eISSN: 2582-8185
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra
Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

AI-enhanced financial services and virtual interaction oversight for modernized digital assistance

Sridhar Ghodke [1, *], Jasmin Akter [1], Ashutosh Roy [1] and Jannat Ara [2]

[1] *MBA in Business Analytics, Gannon University, USA.*
[2] *Master's of Public Administration, Gannon University, USA.*

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 15(03), 940-948

Publication history: Received on 29 April 2025; revised on 08 June 2025; accepted on 11 June 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.15.3.1804

Abstract

The increasing digitalization of financial services and remote interactions has seen artificial intelligence (AI) become integral to delivering support. This study examines how AI-driven technologies—such as machine learning algorithms and predictive analytics—can bolster financial assistance, improve risk management, and enhance user experiences in both the finance sector and virtual client servicing environments. By automating processes like credit scoring, fraud detection, virtual customer interactions, and behavioral analysis, institutions provide support systems that are more efficient, secure, and personalized. Additionally, AI-powered virtual visitor monitoring platforms are emerging as essential tools in telebanking and digital financial advising, ensuring robust identity verification, compliance checks, and real-time engagement with clients. This research contributes to the growing literature on AI's potential to transform traditional financial and remote interaction models by proposing a hybrid framework that optimizes financial aid delivery and client oversight simultaneously. Using recent technological advancements and illustrative case studies, we analyze system effectiveness, ethical implications, and future implementation challenges.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI); Financial Technology; Predictive Analytics; Credit Risk Assessment; Fraud Detection; Virtual Visitor Monitoring; Explainable AI (XAI)

1. Introduction

The financial services industry is undergoing a profound transformation, with artificial intelligence (AI) emerging as a pivotal force reshaping how institutions operate and deliver services. AI-driven systems are widely adopted to streamline operations, reduce human error, and personalize financial solutions [1]. Beyond core banking functions, AI technologies now extend into domains such as virtual customer support, fraud prevention, algorithmic trading, and digital wealth management [2, 3].

One significant development is the integration of AI into virtual visitor monitoring systems. In response to surging digital engagement driven by global events and evolving customer expectations, financial institutions are leveraging virtual interaction platforms to serve clients remotely [4]. These AI-enhanced systems authenticate user identities, analyze customer sentiment and behavior in real time, and ensure that transactions remain secure and compliant [4]. The dual role of AI in optimizing financial processes while managing virtual engagements creates an interconnected support ecosystem that boosts both operational efficiency and user experience [22, 23].

Furthermore, machine learning models enable the rapid processing of vast financial datasets to identify patterns in creditworthiness, spending behavior, and market trends. According to Barnaby and Jones [30], AI-based models outperform traditional financial models in predictive accuracy and risk mitigation. Likewise, remote visitor monitoring

* Corresponding author: Sridhar Ghodke

tools embedded with AI help institutions maintain service continuity when in-person interactions are limited or impossible [31].

This paper therefore explores the synergistic application of AI in financial analytics and remote visitor oversight. By analyzing practical case studies and recent innovations, we provide a comprehensive overview of how these technologies enhance virtual financial support. In addition, the study highlights key challenges—such as data privacy issues, biases in AI algorithms, and regulatory constraints—that may affect large-scale deployment [6]. Through this analysis, we propose a strategic framework for integrating AI into financial and virtual communication infrastructures to enable secure, responsive, and intelligent service delivery.

2. Literature Review

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has demonstrated far-reaching capabilities across sectors, particularly in automation, data-driven decision making, and personalized user experiences. In the financial domain, machine learning (ML) algorithms are redefining traditional approaches to credit assessment and risk management. For example, Mahmud et al. [7] explored AI-driven credit risk assessment frameworks in Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) services, emphasizing how predictive analytics and alternative data sources improve the accuracy of credit scoring models.

Transparency and interpretability in AI decision-making are critical for fostering trust in digital finance. Sarkar et al. [14] addressed the implementation of explainable AI (XAI) in e-commerce, finding that clear, interpretable algorithmic outputs significantly boost consumer confidence and ease regulatory compliance. This insight aligns with the need for clear communication in virtual financial assistance systems, where users and regulators alike must understand AI-driven decisions.

AI-integrated visual technologies are also proving beneficial in digital interactions. Ara et al. [3] investigated AI-enabled visual search tools on e-commerce platforms, illustrating how these tools enhance tracking of user behavior and improve decision-making processes. Such concepts can be extrapolated to remote visitor monitoring in finance; for instance, AI-based visual analytics might track non-verbal cues in video banking sessions to enrich service delivery [33].

Several studies highlight the value of combining customer behavior metrics with AI models. Akter et al. [2] proposed a framework for forecasting Customer Lifetime Value (CLV) by integrating traditional RFM (Recency, Frequency, Monetary) analysis with ML techniques. This approach is highly relevant to optimizing long-term financial support strategies using data from remote customer interactions.

In the realm of health and insurance analytics, Dey et al. [4] demonstrated the use of ML models for fraud detection and risk management. While their work focused on the U.S. healthcare system, similar predictive models can be adapted for fraud prevention in digital financial services, given the analogous challenges in detecting anomalous transactions or claims.

Ethical and regulatory considerations are increasingly vital in AI deployment for finance. Mishra et al. [6] examined the regulatory landscape of AI-driven credit scoring, particularly concerning fair lending practices and algorithmic bias. Their findings underscore the importance of developing responsible, transparent AI models for remote financial services and monitoring platforms to ensure compliance with legal standards.

The convergence of AI, ML, and remote engagement is transforming the operational models of financial institutions. Hoque et al. [7] highlighted AI's potential to enhance transparency and accountability in billing practices—principles that can similarly ensure compliance and data integrity in virtual financial services.

Collectively, these findings suggest that AI's role in finance is expanding beyond simple automation into areas like user behavior monitoring, ethical governance, and interactive digital environments. Integrating such technologies supports more dynamic, secure, and client-focused financial services, especially when physical interactions are limited [8].

3. Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data analytics, AI model experimentation, and qualitative content analysis to investigate the use of AI in financial services and virtual visitor monitoring. The methodology is structured into three key phases: data collection, model implementation, and performance evaluation.

3.1. Data Collection

To ensure robust and relevant analysis, the research utilizes both primary and secondary datasets:

- **Financial Data:** Financial datasets were obtained from publicly available APIs and repositories (including Kaggle datasets) covering credit scores, transaction histories, and consumer spending behavior, as commonly used in ML-based financial research [11, 13].
- **Virtual Interaction Data:** Virtual visitor interaction data was simulated using structured logs of user engagements from financial service chatbots, video KYC (Know Your Customer) systems, and biometric identity verification tools. The simulation was guided by methods described in the work of Ghosh and Vinod [9] to reflect realistic remote client interactions.
- **Regulatory and Industry Documents:** Additionally, relevant regulatory documentation and industry whitepapers were reviewed to provide context on ethical and legal boundaries for AI deployment in finance [5, 10].

3.2. AI Model Implementation

To explore the role of AI in enhancing financial support and virtual visitor oversight, we applied a range of machine learning (ML) and natural language processing (NLP) models. These models were chosen to perform predictive analytics, customer segmentation, and real-time sentiment monitoring. **Table 1** summarizes the models used, their application areas, the key features analyzed, and the tools or libraries used for implementation.

Table 1 AI Models and Their Implementation Overview

Model	Application	Key Features	Tools
Logistic Regression	Credit risk classification	Credit history, income, transaction history	scikit-learn
Random Forest	Credit risk classification	Credit history, income, transaction history	scikit-learn
K-Means Clustering	Customer segmentation	Transaction frequency, visit behavior	scikit-learn
RFM Analysis	Customer Lifetime Value segmentation	Recency, Frequency, Monetary values	Python (pandas, scikit-learn)
BERT Classifier	Sentiment and compliance monitoring	Text from virtual visitor interactions	HuggingFace Transformers

3.2.1. Explanation of Models and Application

The following provides an overview of how each model was utilized in the study:

- **Logistic Regression & Random Forest:** Both models were employed for credit risk classification. They analyzed historical financial attributes—such as income levels, credit line utilization, payment history, and transaction behavior—to categorize users as low- or high-risk borrowers. Logistic Regression offers interpretable outputs that are useful for financial reporting, whereas Random Forest achieved higher accuracy by capturing nonlinear relationships among features [17]. We implemented these models using the scikit-learn library and evaluated them with standard classification metrics (Area Under the ROC Curve, Precision, Recall, and F1-Score).
- **K-Means Clustering with RFM Analysis:** For customer segmentation, we combined K-Means clustering with RFM analysis (Recency, Frequency, Monetary value). This approach groups customers into segments or personas (e.g., “Champions,” “Potential Loyalists,” “At Risk”) based on how recently and frequently they transact and the monetary value of their transactions. The segmentation enabled projections of Customer Lifetime Value (CLV) to support strategic financial planning. Our approach follows the model proposed by Akter et al. [4].
- **BERT NLP Model:** In the domain of virtual visitor monitoring, we fine-tuned a BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) classifier to detect sentiment and compliance issues in chat logs and other digital interaction texts. This NLP model classified messages as Positive, Neutral, Negative, or Non-Compliant,

allowing institutions to uphold ethical standards in remote interactions and to flag user frustration or misunderstandings in real time. We implemented this model using the Hugging Face Transformers library, following guidelines in related works [14, 32].

All datasets were split into an 80:20 train-test ratio, and model results were further validated through cross-validation techniques. Throughout the model development process, we observed ethical considerations such as bias detection and user data anonymization to ensure that the AI solutions are fair and privacy-conscious.

Distribution of AI Model Applications. As shown in Figure 1, credit risk classification and customer segmentation emerged as the most emphasized AI application areas in our framework, each supported by two different models. These two areas are critical for financial institutions because they directly influence lending decisions and personalized service offerings. Sentiment monitoring was represented by a single deep learning model (the BERT classifier), yet it plays a pivotal role in safeguarding user experience and ensuring compliance in virtual financial service environments.

3.3. Performance Evaluation (with Table, Graph, and Explanation)

To validate the effectiveness, interpretability, and fairness of the AI models in this study, we applied a diverse range of performance evaluation metrics. Each metric was selected to suit the specific model type (classification, clustering, segmentation, or NLP) and to ensure a comprehensive and ethical assessment of the models' behavior [15].

Table 2 Performance Evaluation Metrics and Explainability Tools

Model	Primary Metrics Used	Explainability Tools
Logistic Regression	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score, AUC-ROC	SHAP (SHapley Additive Explanations)
Random Forest	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score, AUC-ROC	SHAP
K-Means Clustering	Silhouette Coefficient, Davies–Bouldin Index	Cluster heatmaps
RFM Analysis	Segment Quality Score, CLV Distribution Analysis	RFM table visualization
BERT Classifier	Confusion Matrix, Sentiment Polarity Score	SHAP for NLP, Attention Weights

This table highlights how a variety of evaluation metrics and tools were used to ensure that each model's predictions are not only accurate and meaningful, but also interpretable in a finance-regulatory context.

3.3.1. Explanation of Metrics and Tools

We further examined the models using interpretability techniques to align with explainable AI principles:

- **Classification Models (Logistic Regression, Random Forest):** We evaluated these models with Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score, and AUC-ROC to gauge prediction correctness and balance between false positives and negatives. Additionally, we applied SHAP (SHapley Additive Explanations) to each classifier for transparency, identifying how each input feature contributed to the model's decisions in order to adhere to explainable AI guidelines [20].
- **Clustering Model (K-Means):** The clustering results were assessed using the Silhouette Coefficient and Davies–Bouldin Index, which indicate how well-separated and internally cohesive the generated clusters are. For interpretability, we utilized cluster heatmaps to visualize group separations and characteristics, providing insight into cluster composition [18, 21].
- **Segmentation Analysis (RFM):** Traditional accuracy metrics do not directly apply to rule-based segmentation models. Instead, we used Segment Quality Scores and CLV distribution analyses to evaluate the business utility of the RFM segmentation. Visualizing results through RFM tables aided strategic interpretation of customer groups, following best practices in marketing analytics [19, 28].
- **NLP Model (BERT Classifier):** Performance for the sentiment and compliance monitoring model was measured using the confusion matrix and sentiment polarity scores, which reflect how well the model classifies the emotional tone of messages. To interpret the model's decisions, we examined SHAP values adapted for NLP and visualized attention weights, highlighting which words or phrases most influenced the model's outputs [30, 32].

Frequency of Evaluation Metrics Used Across Models. Figure 2 illustrates the frequency with which different evaluation metrics were applied across all models. Common classification metrics such as Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1-Score were the most frequently employed, reflecting their importance in assessing classifier performance. In contrast, specialized metrics like the Silhouette Coefficient, Davies–Bouldin Index, and sentiment polarity measures appear less frequently, since they are specific to clustering and NLP models respectively.

3.4. Validation and Ethical Considerations

3.4.1. Model Validation

All machine learning models were rigorously validated using 5-fold cross-validation (CV), a statistical technique that partitions the dataset into five equal subsets. In each iteration, four subsets were used for training and the remaining one for testing, cycling so that each subset served as the test set once. We recorded the average performance across all five folds to reduce the likelihood of overfitting and to provide a more reliable estimate of each model's true generalization ability [22, 25]. This cross-validation approach ensures that no single data split unduly influences the results.

Furthermore, each model's performance was benchmarked against simple baseline models or heuristics. For example, we compared classification models against a random classifier baseline and evaluated customer segmentation against basic fixed-rule segmentations. The AI models consistently outperformed these naive benchmarks, demonstrating their added value over traditional non-AI methods [23].

3.4.2. Ethical Considerations in AI Deployment

Financial and remote monitoring applications carry ethical risks stemming from biased predictions, privacy violations, or opaque decision-making by AI systems. To mitigate these risks, the study followed a structured ethical framework focusing on the following principles:

- **Data Anonymization:** All personal identifiers in the datasets (e.g. names, addresses, account numbers) were removed or encrypted in accordance with data privacy standards such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and relevant U.S. consumer data laws. This ensured that the data used for training and testing did not compromise individual privacy [24, 25].
- **Bias Detection and Mitigation:** We analyzed model outputs for potential algorithmic bias by checking whether prediction outcomes were uniformly fair across protected attributes like gender, age, or income group. Whenever we observed imbalances, we applied techniques such as feature re-weighting and fairness-aware algorithms to promote equitable model behavior. These techniques align with fairness protocols recommended by regulatory bodies like the U.S. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) [12, 29].
- **Fair Lending Compliance:** Given that our AI models can influence financial outcomes (for example, in credit approvals or loan terms), we took special care to align them with fair lending guidelines. We conducted periodic audits of the models for disparate impact on different demographic groups and used interpretability tools like SHAP to explain model decisions to ensure they meet regulatory scrutiny [26].

Replicability and Scalability

The modeling framework developed in this research is designed to be both replicable and scalable across various financial and virtual service contexts. We utilized widely adopted programming libraries (such as scikit-learn, pandas, and Hugging Face Transformers), standardized datasets, and open-source evaluation techniques, making it straightforward for other researchers and practitioners to replicate our results. Moreover, the framework's modular design allows easy adaptation to new domains, customer datasets, or remote service platforms—whether in banking, insurance, or digital advisory systems [27]. This flexibility means the approach can be transferred across industries without significant redevelopment, accelerating the adoption of AI in different financial service settings.

4. Results

The study demonstrated that AI-powered models can significantly enhance decision-making in both financial risk analysis and virtual visitor oversight. Key results are summarized below:

- **Credit Risk Prediction Models:** Both the logistic regression and random forest classifiers effectively predicted creditworthiness, with the random forest achieving particularly high accuracy (AUC-ROC of 0.94 and an F1-

score above 0.90). This performance is consistent with findings by Mahmud et al. [29], who used ML techniques for BNPL credit scoring. Using SHAP for model interpretability confirmed that features such as income stability and history of delinquency were among the strongest predictors of credit risk, aligning with the transparency goals emphasized by Mishra et al. [30].

- **Customer Segmentation and CLV Forecasting:** The combination of K-Means clustering and RFM analysis produced actionable customer segments such as “Champions,” “Potential Loyalists,” and “At Risk” clients. Similar methodologies employed by Sarkar et al. [16] and Akter et al. [2] have shown that blending machine learning with traditional CLV metrics leads to improved targeting and customer retention strategies in e-commerce and financial services. Our findings corroborate that integrated segmentation approaches can enhance long-term strategic planning for customer management.
- **Sentiment and Compliance Monitoring:** The BERT-based NLP model proved effective for real-time monitoring of virtual client interactions, achieving a precision above 90% in classifying sentiment polarity. This result echoes the strategy of Tayaba et al. [19], who implemented sentiment analysis on social media interactions in the airline industry. It demonstrates the feasibility of AI-driven emotional and behavioral oversight in finance, where real-time detection of customer sentiment and compliance issues is increasingly valuable.
- **Fraud Risk and Ethical Compliance:** The AI models tasked with analyzing sentiment and transaction behaviors also detected patterns that could indicate potential fraud or bias. These findings reinforce prior research by Dey et al. [4] and Hoque et al. [6] on the utility of AI for fraud detection and for enhancing transparency in billing, particularly in compliance-critical sectors like healthcare and finance. The results highlight that integrating AI not only improves efficiency but also helps uphold ethical standards and regulatory compliance.

4.1. Recommendations

Based on the above results and supported by recent literature, we propose the following recommendations for financial service providers, fintech developers, and AI policy stakeholders:

- **Deploy Explainable Credit Risk Models:** Financial institutions should implement interpretable AI models for credit risk assessment (for example, using SHAP values to explain decisions). Such models have been shown to improve decision transparency, as demonstrated by Sarkar et al. [15] and Mishra et al. [9]. Emphasizing explainability will support fair lending practices and help meet compliance expectations.
- **Utilize Combined RFM and Machine Learning Segmentation:** Firms should integrate RFM analysis with clustering techniques to enhance CLV prediction and customer segmentation. This approach has been successfully used by Akter et al. [2] and Sarkar et al. [16] to improve targeting strategies, suggesting that a hybrid method can yield better insights than traditional segmentation alone.
- **Incorporate AI-Based Sentiment Monitoring Tools:** Virtual customer engagement channels (such as online banking portals or chatbots) should embed NLP models like BERT for real-time sentiment analysis. These tools can automatically flag non-compliant language or customer dissatisfaction during interactions, as validated by the real-time monitoring approach of Tayaba et al. [19].
- **Ensure Bias Detection and Data Privacy:** AI systems in finance must be regularly audited for fairness and aligned with ethical AI frameworks. This involves ongoing bias detection, mitigation strategies, and strict data anonymization protocols. These measures build on concerns highlighted by Mishra et al. [9] and Hoque et al. [7], ensuring that AI-driven decisions do not inadvertently discriminate or violate privacy.
- **Design for Scalability and Domain Transferability:** AI tools and frameworks should be developed in a modular fashion using open-source libraries (e.g. scikit-learn, TensorFlow, Hugging Face) to facilitate adaptation across different industries. This mirrors strategies used in diverse applications—such as tourism demand forecasting and fraud detection—reported by Mahmud et al. [7] and Dey et al. [4]. A focus on scalability will future-proof AI solutions for broader use.

5. Conclusion

This study explored the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) across two critical domains: financial decision-making and virtual visitor monitoring. By leveraging supervised learning models, clustering techniques, and advanced natural language processing (NLP), the research demonstrated how AI can improve predictive accuracy, personalization, compliance oversight, and customer experience in modern digital financial ecosystems.

Key findings revealed that models such as Random Forest and Logistic Regression significantly enhance credit risk classification, while K-Means clustering combined with RFM analysis provides actionable customer segmentation for strategic financial planning. Additionally, a BERT-based sentiment analysis model effectively monitored emotional cues and compliance in virtual client interactions, reflecting the growing need for AI-enabled engagement tools in remote service environments.

Throughout the study, we enforced ethical AI deployment principles—including data anonymization, bias detection, and transparency—using tools like SHAP and conducting fairness audits. These safeguards ensure that technological advancements do not come at the cost of user trust or regulatory integrity.

The framework developed is both replicable and scalable, making it suitable for various applications in fintech, banking, e-commerce, and public digital services. Our research aligns with the growing body of literature supporting the practical and ethical integration of AI in business intelligence, risk assessment, and customer lifecycle management.

AI-driven technologies offer tremendous potential to transform financial operations and digital service delivery. However, to harness this potential sustainably, institutions must invest not only in improving model performance but also in ensuring transparency, inclusiveness, and robust long-term governance of AI systems.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Ara, N. J., Ghodke, N. S., Akter, N. J., & Roy, N. A. (2025). Optimizing e-commerce platforms with AI-enabled visual search: Assessing user behavior, interaction metrics, and system accuracy. Journal of Economics Finance and Accounting Studies, 7(3), 09–17. https://doi.org/10.32996/jefas.2025.7.3.2

[2] Akter, J., Roy, A., Rahman, S., Mohona, S., & Ara, J. (2025). Artificial intelligence-driven customer lifetime value (CLV) forecasting: Integrating RFM analysis with machine learning for strategic customer retention. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 7(1), 249–257. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.1.18

[3] Ahmed, A. H., Ahmad, S., Sayed, M. A., Sarkar, M., Ayon, E. H., Mia, T., & Koli, A. (2023b). Predicting the possibility of student admission into graduate admission by regression model: A statistical analysis. Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Studies, 4(4), 97–105. https://doi.org/10.32996/jmss.2023.4.4.10

[4] Dey, N. R., Roy, N. A., Akter, N. J., Mishra, N. A., & Sarkar, N. M. (2025). AI-driven machine learning for fraud detection and risk management in U.S. healthcare billing and insurance. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 7(1), 188–198. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.1.14

[5] Hoque, N. M. R., Ali, N. M. M., Ferdausi, N. S., Fatema, N. K., & Mahmud, N. M. R. (2025). Leveraging machine learning and artificial intelligence to revolutionize transparency and accountability in healthcare billing practices across the United States. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 7(3), 172–181. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.3.19

[6] Mahmud, N. M. R., Hoque, N. M. R., Ahammad, N. T., Hasib, N. M. N. H., & Hasan, N. M. M. (2024d). Advanced AI-driven credit risk assessment for Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) and e-commerce financing: Leveraging machine learning, alternative data, and predictive analytics for enhanced financial scoring. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 6(2), 180–189. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2024.6.2.19

[7] Mahmud, N. M. R., Hoque, N. M. R., Ali, N. M. M., Ferdausi, N. S., & Fatema, N. K. (2025). Machine learning-powered financial forecasting in the U.S. tourism industry: Predicting market trends and consumer spending with artificial intelligence. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 7(2), 13–22. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.2.2

[8] Mia, M. T., Ray, R. K., Ghosh, B. P., Chowdhury, M. S., Al-Imran, M., Sarkar, M., Das, R., Sultana, N., & Nahian, S. A. (2023). Dominance of external features in stock price prediction in a predictable macroeconomic environment. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 5(6), 128–133. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2023.5.6.10

[9] Mishra, A., Mou, S. N., Ara, J., & Sarkar, M. (2025). Regulatory and ethical challenges in AI-driven and machine learning credit risk assessment for Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) in U.S. e-commerce: Compliance, fair lending, and algorithmic bias. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 7(2), 42–51. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2025.7.2.3

[10] Novel, N. M. E. I., Sarkar, N. M., & Puja, N. A. R. (2024b). Exploring the impact of socio-demographic, health, and political factors on COVID-19 vaccination attitudes. Journal of Medical and Health Studies, 5(1), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.32996/jmhs.2024.5.1.8

[11] Puja, N. A. R., Jewel, N. R. M., Chowdhury, N. M. S., Linkon, N. A. A., Sarkar, N. M., Shahid, N. R., Al-Imran, N. M., Liza, N. I. A., & Sarkar, N. M. A. I. (2024b). A comprehensive exploration of outlier detection in unstructured data for enhanced business intelligence using machine learning. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 6(1), 238–245. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2024.6.1.17

[12] Roy, N. A., Ara, N. J., Ghodke, N. S., & Akter, N. J. (2025). Towards equitable coverage: Harnessing machine learning to identify and mitigate insurance gaps in the U.S. healthcare system. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 7(2), 104–115. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2025.7.2.9

[13] Sarkar, M. (2025). Integrating machine learning and deep learning techniques for advanced Alzheimer's disease detection through gait analysis. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 7(1), 140–147. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2025.7.1.8

[14] Sarkar, M., Ayon, E. H., Mia, M. T., Ray, R. K., Chowdhury, M. S., Ghosh, B. P., Al-Imran, M., Islam, M. T., & Tayaba, M. (2023b). Optimizing e-commerce profits: A comprehensive machine learning framework for dynamic pricing and predicting online purchases. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 5(4), 186–193. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2023.5.4.19

[15] Sarkar, M., Puja, A. R., & Chowdhury, F. R. (2024). Optimizing marketing strategies with RFM method and K-Means clustering-based AI customer segmentation analysis. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 6(2), 54–60. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2024.6.2.5

[16] Sarkar, M., Rashid, M. H. O., Hoque, M. R., & Mahmud, M. R. (2025). Explainable AI in e-commerce: Enhancing trust and transparency in AI-driven decisions. Innovatech Engineering Journal, 2(01), 12–39. https://doi.org/10.70937/itej.v2i01.53

[17] Sarkar, N. M., Dey, N. R., & Mia, N. M. T. (2025). Artificial intelligence in telemedicine and remote patient monitoring: Enhancing virtual healthcare through AI-driven diagnostic and predictive technologies. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 15(2), 1046–1055. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.15.2.1402

[18] Sarkar, N. M., Jewel, N. R. M., Chowdhury, N. M. S., Al-Imran, N. M., Sawalmeh, R. S., Puja, N. A. R., Ray, N. R. K., & Ghosh, N. S. K. (2024c). Revolutionizing organizational decision-making for stock market: A machine learning approach with CNNs in business intelligence and management. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 6(1), 230–237. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2024.6.1.16

[19] Tayaba, M., Ayon, E. H., Mia, M. T., Sarkar, M., Ray, R. K., Chowdhury, M. S., Al-Imran, M., Nobe, N., Ghosh, B. P., Islam, M. T., & Puja, A. R. (2023b). Transforming customer experience in the airline industry: A comprehensive analysis of Twitter sentiments using machine learning and association rule mining. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 5(4), 194–202. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2023.5.4.20

[20] Bhatia, R. (2024). How Artificial Intelligence is Transforming SAP Technology. TechRxiv. https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.172226865.54445845/v1

[21] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future. W. W. Norton & Company.

[22] Bhatia, R. (2025). Transforming Industries with SAP S/4HANA. International Journal of Enhanced Research in Management & Computer Applications, 14(01), 01–07. https://doi.org/10.55948/ijermca.2025.01401

[23] Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). The evolution of fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm? Georgetown Journal of International Law, 47(4), 1271–1319.

[24] Bhatia, N. R. (2025b). Re-engineering Finance: Strategic Transformation through Cloud, AI and Intelligent Design. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 26(2), 3200–3210. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.26.2.1984

[25] Bhatia, N. R. (2025). The Impact of SAP Business Technology Platform (BTP) on Financial Data Analytics and Reporting. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science Engineering and Information Technology, 11(1), 1238–1246. https://doi.org/10.32628/cseit251112140

[26] Chen, M., Ma, Y., Li, Y., Wu, D., Zhang, Y., & Youn, C. H. (2017). Wearable 2.0: Enabling human-cloud integration in next generation healthcare systems. IEEE Communications Magazine, 55(1), 54–61.

[27] Mishra, A. (2025). AI-Powered Cybersecurity Framework for Secure Data Transmission in IoT Network. International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management, 7(3), 05–13. https://doi.org/10.35629/5252-07030513

[28] Ghosh, R., & Vinod, D. (2020). Artificial intelligence in virtual customer service: Trends and adoption. Journal of Financial Transformation, 51, 104–113.

[29] Mishra, A., Majumder, A., Kommineni, D., Joseph, C. A., Chowdhury, T., & Anumula, S. K. (2025). Role of Generative Artificial intelligence in Personalized Medicine: A systematic review. Cureus. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.82310

[30] Barnaby, J., & Jones, K. (2019). Predictive finance: Using machine learning to redefine credit risk models. Journal of Financial Data Science, 1(3), 15–32.

[31] Mishra, A. (2025a). AI-Powered Cyber Threat Intelligence System for Predicting and Preventing Cyber Attacks. International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM), 7(2), 873–892. https://doi.org/10.35629/5252-0702873892

[32] Lu, Y. (2019). Artificial intelligence: A survey on evolution, models, applications and future trends. Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 34(1), 1–20.

[33] Ali, M. M., Ferdausi, S., Fatema, K., Mahmud, M. R., & Hoque, M. R. (2025). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence in finance and virtual visitor oversight: Advancing digital financial assistance via AI-powered technologies. World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2025.15.3.0905