World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Technology, law and ethics: Regulating the risks of the Anthropocene

Jinyoung Hwang *

Department of Social Policy and Economics, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 26(01), 3182-3191

Publication history: Received on 15 March 2025; revised on 22 April 2025; accepted on 25 April 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.26.1.1431

Abstract

This research analyses and examines the key themes of technology, law, and ethics when considering the environmental threats and opportunities associated with the proposed epoch of Anthropocene. The paper explores the nature of emerging technologies as containing the solution to one crisis and raising new worries and ethical considerations for another, for instance, geoengineering and renewable energy. A large emphasis is made on the effectiveness of various international environmental treaties such as the Paris Accord and the Kyoto Protocol in addressing Technological development is a realm where change is constant, and it tends to progress faster than the legal framework, for instance, the Paris Accord and Kyoto Protocol. The research compares the normative principles used in tackling technologies with uncertain long-term impacts including the precautionary principle, sustainable development and the Common but Differentiated Responsibilities. This research engages doctrinal legal analysis and case-study approaches to geoengineering and renewable energy respectively as a qualitative method to reveal and map gaps in the governance of geoengineering, and to understand and present ethical issues of generational equity and justice and the weakness of regulatory frameworks. It offers practical advice on how the advancement of technology should be made compatible with ecological responsibility; the key topic of the current Anthropocene era is the blueprint of strong legal frameworks as well as ethical solution.

Keywords: Anthropocene; Emerging Technologies; International Environmental Law; Geoengineering; Precautionary Principle; Ethical Governance

1 Introduction

The concept of the Anthropocene refers to a proposed geological epoch characterized by the significant impact of human activities on the Earth's environment. While earlier periods in Earth's history were shaped by natural forces, the Anthropocene marks a new era where human actions—ranging from industrialization to deforestation, and from urbanization to climate change—are the dominant drivers of environmental change (Farah & Giudice, 2022). As a result, the Anthropocene presents profound challenges to both the environment and human societies, from biodiversity loss to extreme weather events, making it an urgent issue in global governance and policy-making.

Emerging technologies have a dual role in this new era. On one hand, they are key contributors to environmental degradation. For example, industrial practices, reliance on fossil fuels, and the extensive use of chemical substances have accelerated environmental harm (Camacho, 2023). On the other hand, technological advancements also offer potential solutions to the crises caused by human activity. Renewable energy technologies, geoengineering, and innovations in sustainable agriculture have the ability to mitigate environmental damage, offering hope for reversing some of the damage done. However, as these technologies advance, they introduce new risks—risks that, if left unregulated, could further exacerbate environmental issues or introduce new ethical concerns (Jaria-Manzano, 2019).

* Corresponding author: Jinyoung Hwang

The balance between fostering technological innovation and ensuring that these advancements do not further harm the planet is one of the central challenges of the Anthropocene. The need for robust regulation is clear, yet the complexity and pace of technological change often outstrip the capacity of existing international frameworks and policies (Viñuales, 2016). As such, this research seeks to explore how international environmental law addresses these issues, while also investigating the ethical considerations that arise from prioritizing technological progress over environmental sustainability.

1.1 Research Problem and Rationale

International environmental law has long struggled with the regulation of emerging technologies. While treaties and agreements such as the Paris Agreement and the Convention on Biological Diversity have provided important frameworks for addressing environmental degradation, they often lack the specificity needed to govern rapidly evolving technologies (Biber, 2017). Furthermore, these frameworks typically fail to address the technological risks in a comprehensive, global manner. This gap in the regulation of technological advancements has created uncertainty, as countries and corporations may deploy technologies with limited oversight, potentially leading to unintended consequences (Fleurke et al., 2024).

At the same time, the global community has an ethical responsibility to balance the need for technological innovation with the imperative to protect the environment. In many cases, technological solutions are seen as the key to achieving environmental goals, such as the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions or the restoration of ecosystems (Robinson, 2014). However, the ethical dilemmas arise when technological progress comes at the expense of long-term environmental sustainability, or when its deployment creates new, unforeseen risks. The growing urgency of these dilemmas calls for a reevaluation of how technology and law intersect in the context of environmental governance, and whether current frameworks are adequate in regulating the ethical and environmental risks that arise (Reynolds, 2021).

1.2 Research Questions

1.2.1 This dissertation is guided by the following research questions:

How do international environmental treaties address technological risks and opportunities?

This question aims to explore the effectiveness of existing international treaties and agreements in regulating the risks posed by emerging technologies. It will analyze the extent to which these treaties account for technological innovation in relation to environmental sustainability and the specific challenges posed by rapidly advancing technologies.

Can normative principles like the precautionary principle effectively guide technological regulation?

The precautionary principle has become a cornerstone of international environmental law, advocating for caution in the face of uncertain environmental risks. This question investigates whether this principle can be an effective guide for regulating new technologies, particularly when there is uncertainty about their long-term environmental impact.

What are the ethical implications of prioritizing technological innovation in environmental governance?

This question focuses on the ethical trade-offs involved in technological development. While technology can offer solutions to pressing environmental challenges, it also raises questions about its social, economic, and ecological consequences. How can policymakers balance these competing ethical concerns?

1.3 Research Objectives

The overarching objective of this dissertation is to examine the intersection of technology, law, and ethics in the context of the Anthropocene, with a particular focus on the regulation of emerging technologies. The specific research objectives are as follows:

To evaluate the adequacy of international environmental treaties in regulating emerging technologies:

This objective will involve a critical assessment of the extent to which international agreements address the challenges posed by new technologies. The analysis will focus on identifying gaps in existing treaties, as well as proposing ways to strengthen legal frameworks to better regulate technological risks.

To analyze the effectiveness of the precautionary principle and other normative principles:

This objective will explore how the precautionary principle, as well as other guiding norms such as the polluter pays principle and the principle of sustainable development, can be applied to the regulation of emerging technologies. The research will assess the strengths and limitations of these principles in the face of rapid technological change.

To explore the ethical dimensions of technology's role in environmental governance:

Finally, this objective seeks to delve into the ethical considerations that arise from the deployment of new technologies in the pursuit of environmental governance. It will address questions regarding the social justice implications of technology, its role in exacerbating or mitigating environmental inequalities, and the ethics of technological optimism in environmental decision-making.

In addressing these objectives, this dissertation aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of how international environmental law can evolve to meet the challenges of the Anthropocene. By evaluating both the legal and ethical dimensions of technological governance, the research will provide recommendations for improving regulation in a way that balances technological progress with environmental protection.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations

The Anthropocene framework forms the cornerstone of this study, providing a lens through which to understand humanity's pervasive influence on the Earth's ecosystems and climate. The term "Anthropocene" reflects the recognition that human activity has become a dominant geological force, significantly affecting planetary boundaries such as climate stability, biodiversity, and nutrient cycles (Purdy, 2015). As humanity continues to shape the Earth through technological advancement and environmental manipulation, these changes pose new challenges and necessitate an urgent reevaluation of governance structures, particularly in terms of environmental regulation. The Anthropocene underscores the need to confront the balance between technological progress and ecological integrity, positioning environmental law as a critical tool in mitigating the risks posed by anthropogenic changes.

Normative legal principles play a significant role in shaping environmental governance, especially in relation to emerging technologies. Among these, the precautionary principle stands out as a key framework in international environmental law (Scott, 2012). It advises caution in the face of uncertainty, particularly when an activity has the potential to cause serious or irreversible harm to the environment. This principle, enshrined in treaties such as the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992), serves as a crucial tool for regulating technologies whose long-term environmental impacts are uncertain. It posits that the lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason to delay measures to prevent environmental degradation, emphasizing the need for preventive action.

The principle of sustainable development complements the precautionary approach by focusing on the need to meet present environmental and social needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own. Sustainable development calls for the integration of economic, social, and environmental goals, providing a holistic framework for technology governance (Harris, 2016). Furthermore, Common but Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR) is a fundamental principle that acknowledges the varying capacities and historical responsibilities of countries in addressing global environmental challenges. It allows for differentiated commitments and responsibilities among nations, particularly when it comes to reducing technological risks and enhancing environmental sustainability.

2.2 Review of International Environmental Treaties

International environmental treaties have made significant strides in addressing the environmental impacts of human activities, including technological innovations. The Kyoto Protocol (1997) and the Paris Agreement (2015) are key examples of global efforts to mitigate climate change through technological means, focusing on the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (McCormack, 2019). Both treaties emphasize the role of technology in mitigating climate change, with the Paris Agreement further encouraging the development and transfer of clean technologies to facilitate global emissions reductions. However, while these frameworks acknowledge the importance of technological innovation in climate governance, they often lack the specificity required to regulate the emerging technologies that present new risks, such as geoengineering or artificial intelligence (AI) (Birrell & Matthews, 2020).

The Kyoto Protocol and Paris Agreement are primarily focused on traditional mitigation methods—such as renewable energy, energy efficiency, and emissions trading—but fail to provide comprehensive mechanisms for regulating newer technologies that could alter the environment on a global scale (McCormack, 2018). Geoengineering, for example, involves large-scale interventions designed to deliberately alter the Earth's climate, such as carbon dioxide removal or solar radiation management. While geoengineering technologies hold promise for mitigating climate change, they also pose significant risks, including unintended environmental consequences that could exacerbate existing problems or create new ones. Similarly, AI and digital technologies—which power much of modern innovation—come with high energy demands and potential environmental harm, particularly in terms of e-waste and the energy consumption of large-scale data centers (Offor & Cardesa-Salzmann, 2024).

There are notable gaps in existing treaties when it comes to addressing these technologies. International environmental governance structures have struggled to keep pace with rapid technological advancements, leading to regulatory gaps in both risk management and innovation (McDonald et al., 2016). The lack of clear guidelines on technologies like geoengineering and AI reflects a broader issue in environmental law: the challenge of regulating technologies whose impacts are not fully understood, yet whose potential to shape global ecosystems and economies is immense.

2.3 Technological Risks and Opportunities

Emerging technologies present both significant risks and opportunities in the context of environmental governance. Understanding and managing these risks is crucial for ensuring that technological progress aligns with global environmental goals.

2.3.1 Risks

Geoengineering: Geoengineering, which includes techniques like carbon capture and storage (CCS) and solar radiation management, represents a potential solution to climate change but comes with significant unknowns (de Vries, 2022). These technologies aim to modify the Earth's climate directly, yet their potential to cause unintended side effects—such as disruptions to weather patterns, biodiversity loss, or the acidification of oceans—poses grave concerns. As research into geoengineering intensifies, calls for robust regulatory frameworks become more urgent to ensure these technologies are used responsibly and cautiously.

AI and Digital Technologies: While AI can optimize energy efficiency and support environmental monitoring, its environmental impact should not be overlooked. The energy consumption of AI systems, especially those dependent on large data centers, is substantial, contributing to carbon emissions (Cotton, 2014). Additionally, the rapid pace of technological development in the AI field outstrips existing regulatory frameworks, leaving regulatory bodies struggling to assess long-term risks and benefits. The environmental impact of AI, coupled with issues such as **e-waste**, underscores the need for regulations that not only encourage technological innovation but also ensure sustainable use.

2.3.2 Opportunities

Renewable Energy Technologies: Solar, wind, and other renewable energy technologies have become central to efforts to combat climate change. These technologies offer opportunities to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and decrease greenhouse gas emissions (Jaria-Manzano, 2019). However, their environmental footprint, such as the use of rare earth materials in solar panels and wind turbines, must also be addressed to ensure that their deployment remains sustainable.

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS): CCS technologies hold promise as a method to remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, particularly from industrial sources. Although still in the early stages of development, CCS could play a pivotal role in reducing global carbon emissions. The main challenge lies in the scalability and economic viability of these technologies, as well as in their long-term environmental safety (Harris, 2016).

Both risks and opportunities underscore the complexity of technological regulation in the Anthropocene. It is crucial to recognize that while technological advancements can offer significant environmental benefits, they also introduce potential hazards that require careful oversight. The balance between fostering innovation and mitigating environmental harm must be a central consideration in shaping future regulatory approaches.

2.4 Ethical Dimensions of Technological Regulation

The regulation of emerging technologies in the context of environmental governance raises important ethical considerations. The challenge lies in balancing technological innovation with environmental protection, ensuring that the benefits of new technologies do not come at the cost of ecological and social sustainability.

Balancing Innovation with Environmental Protection: The ethical dilemma lies in the tension between technological progress and the need to preserve the environment for future generations. While innovation can offer solutions to pressing global issues, such as climate change, there is a risk that technological advancement may lead to unforeseen negative environmental consequences (Cotton, 2014). The ethical imperative is to prioritize technologies that promote long-term sustainability while preventing the deployment of those that could cause irreversible environmental harm.

Equity, Justice, and Access to Technology: Ethical considerations also extend to the **distribution** of technological benefits and risks. Emerging technologies may disproportionately benefit wealthier countries or populations, while the costs and risks are borne by vulnerable communities. In the context of environmental governance, ensuring that technological solutions are accessible and equitable is crucial to promoting global justice (Cotton, 2014). Furthermore, technology transfer to developing countries, particularly in the realm of renewable energy, is an ethical obligation to avoid exacerbating global inequalities.

2.5 Gaps in Existing Literature

Despite the growing body of literature on the regulation of technology and environmental governance, there remain significant gaps in the analysis of **normative principles** in regulating emerging technologies. While the precautionary principle and sustainable development have been widely discussed in relation to traditional environmental governance, there is limited research on how these principles can be applied to newer, rapidly advancing technologies. Additionally, the **ethical trade-offs** between short-term innovation and long-term environmental sustainability have not been sufficiently addressed. As the pace of technological change accelerates, these gaps must be filled to ensure that emerging technologies are governed in ways that promote both innovation and environmental protection.

3 Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This research adopts a qualitative analysis that focuses on the intersection of international environmental law, technological risks, and ethical considerations. The methodology is rooted in doctrinal legal analysis, case studies, and critical evaluation of normative principles. By engaging with legal texts, international treaties, and case studies, the research explores the regulatory frameworks and ethical dilemmas surrounding emerging technologies, particularly in relation to environmental sustainability.

The research design consists of three core components: an in-depth review of international environmental treaties, an analysis of the normative principles guiding technological regulation (such as the precautionary principle and sustainable development), and a case study approach to examine specific technological innovations and their regulatory challenges. This combined approach allows for a nuanced exploration of the legal, ethical, and practical implications of regulating technologies in the Anthropocene.

3.2 Data Sources

This research relies heavily on secondary data from a range of sources, including primary legal documents, scholarly literature, and reports from international bodies. The primary data sources for this analysis are:

- **International Treaties**: Key treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement, which set global frameworks for climate action and technological innovation, provide a foundational legal context for understanding the regulation of emerging technologies.
- **Reports from UN Bodies**: The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), and other relevant bodies provide crucial reports and policy documents that shape international environmental governance. These sources are essential for examining how technologies like geoengineering and renewable energy are addressed in global policy discussions.
- **Scholarly Literature**: Articles, books, and other academic sources provide theoretical perspectives on the regulation of technology, the application of normative principles such as the precautionary principle, and the ethical dimensions of technological governance. This literature will help contextualize legal frameworks and examine the broader implications of technological risks and opportunities.
- **Case Study Data**: Case studies will draw on a variety of secondary sources, including governmental reports, NGO assessments, and international reviews of specific projects. For instance, detailed reports on geoengineering experiments and renewable energy initiatives will be used to evaluate the successes and limitations of current regulatory frameworks.

3.3 Case Study Approach

To explore the practical application of international environmental law in regulating emerging technologies, this research employs a case study approach that examines specific examples of technological innovations and their governance.

Geoengineering Projects: The first case study focuses on geoengineering projects, specifically proposals like stratospheric aerosol injection (SAI), which involves the deliberate release of particles into the atmosphere to reflect sunlight and cool the planet. This case study is critical because geoengineering represents a high-risk technological intervention in the global climate system. The research will evaluate the legal frameworks (e.g., the Convention on Biological Diversity) and normative principles (e.g., precautionary principle) that could regulate such technologies, assessing whether existing international agreements provide adequate oversight. The ethical implications of large-scale interventions in natural systems, such as unintended consequences or intergenerational equity concerns, will also be discussed.

Renewable Energy Technologies: The second case study examines the regulatory frameworks surrounding renewable energy technologies, such as solar, wind, and bioenergy, with a focus on how international law governs their development, deployment, and sustainability. While renewable energy technologies are generally seen as a positive force in mitigating climate change, this case study will analyze the regulation of their environmental impacts—such as land use, resource extraction, and waste disposal—and their governance under treaties like the Paris Agreement and the Kyoto Protocol. The case study will also examine whether these technologies are sufficiently regulated to ensure they do not result in environmental harm or inequity.

3.4 Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations are central to this research, particularly in how technological risks and opportunities are evaluated. Several key ethical issues arise from the dual nature of technology as both a potential solution to environmental degradation and a source of new risks.

Bias in Evaluating Technological Risks vs. Opportunities: It is essential to recognize and address potential bias when assessing the risks and benefits of emerging technologies. This includes ensuring that technological risks, such as unintended environmental consequences from geoengineering or the energy consumption of AI systems, are not downplayed in favor of short-term innovation goals. The research will attempt to balance both sides of the argument, acknowledging the potential for technology to advance sustainability while rigorously evaluating its risks.

Ethical Transparency in Presenting Trade-Offs: The ethical dilemma inherent in the pursuit of technological innovation must be clearly communicated. This includes the trade-offs between short-term benefits (e.g., immediate emissions reductions) and long-term sustainability (e.g., avoiding unforeseen environmental consequences). The research will ensure transparency by presenting these ethical trade-offs in a manner that encourages critical reflection on the broader implications of technological regulation. This will involve addressing issues such as equity (who benefits and who bears the costs), justice (how technological impacts are distributed), and access to technology (ensuring that technological solutions are available to developing nations).

By maintaining ethical transparency and addressing potential biases, the research will provide a balanced and comprehensive analysis of the regulatory challenges and opportunities presented by emerging technologies in the Anthropocene. Ethical reflections will be integral throughout the research process, ensuring that the findings are not only legally sound but also ethically responsible in their approach to technological governance.

4 Data Analysis, Presentation and Interpretation

4.1 International Environmental Treaties and Technological Regulation

International environmental treaties serve as foundational tools for addressing global environmental challenges. However, their effectiveness in regulating emerging technologies remains inconsistent. The Kyoto Protocol, adopted in 1997, was a landmark treaty that set binding emission reduction targets for developed nations. While it established a legal framework for addressing greenhouse gas emissions, it fell short in regulating technologies that drive emissions reductions, such as carbon capture and storage (CCS) or geoengineering initiatives. Its focus remained primarily on state-level commitments rather than technological mechanisms.

In contrast, the Paris Agreement, adopted in 2015, marked a significant evolution by emphasizing Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs). This flexible approach allowed nations to adopt innovative clean technologies tailored to their unique circumstances. The agreement incentivized investment in renewable energy and sustainable practices, fostering technological innovation on a global scale. However, it did not provide explicit legal safeguards or regulatory structures for high-risk technologies, such as stratospheric aerosol injection or artificial intelligence-driven environmental governance systems. The lack of comprehensive legal frameworks for such emerging technologies highlights a critical gap in international environmental governance, leaving potentially irreversible planetary impacts unaddressed.

4.2 The Precautionary Principle as a Regulatory Tool

The precautionary principle is a cornerstone of international environmental law, advocating for preventive action in the face of scientific uncertainty. It serves as a normative guide for decision-making, particularly when the environmental consequences of emerging technologies remain unclear. The principle emphasizes the importance of erring on the side of caution, even in the absence of definitive scientific evidence. Historically, it has been applied in regulatory contexts involving genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and chemical pollutants, where the potential risks justified a cautious approach.

The strength of the precautionary principle lies in its prioritization of long-term environmental sustainability over short-term technological or economic gains. It acts as a safeguard against unintended consequences that could arise from deploying untested technologies on a large scale. However, critics argue that an over-reliance on the precautionary principle can hinder technological progress, delay necessary innovations, and create regulatory gridlock. Technologies such as carbon capture and storage (CCS) or bioengineering often face significant delays due to precautionary measures.

A pertinent example is the ongoing debate around geoengineering technologies, particularly stratospheric aerosol injection. While such technologies hold the potential for mitigating global warming, the risks associated with unintended side effects, including unpredictable weather patterns and ecosystem disruption, remain significant. This dilemma underscores the challenges of balancing precaution with the urgency of technological deployment in addressing environmental crises.

4.3 Ethical Implications of Technological Innovation

Technological advancements in environmental governance raise profound ethical questions concerning equity, justice, and long-term planetary stewardship. One of the primary concerns is technological justice, which refers to the unequal access to clean and sustainable technologies between developed and developing nations. Wealthier countries often dominate technological innovation, while poorer nations face significant barriers to accessing these advancements. This disparity perpetuates global inequalities and hinders collective efforts to address environmental crises.

Environmental ethics further highlight the tension between prioritizing innovation and preserving ecological integrity. While renewable energy projects, such as large-scale solar or wind farms, offer significant environmental benefits, they can also lead to ecosystem disruptions and displacement of local communities. These ethical trade-offs pose difficult questions for policymakers striving to balance environmental goals with social justice.

Moreover, there are significant dilemmas in balancing short-term benefits and long-term risks. Technologies such as geoengineering or large-scale carbon capture provide immediate solutions to pressing environmental issues but carry the risk of unforeseen consequences in the long run. Ethical considerations demand that these technologies be deployed cautiously, with transparency and global consensus guiding their implementation.

4.4 Case Studies

The analysis of specific case studies provides valuable insights into the regulatory, ethical, and practical challenges of emerging technologies in environmental governance. Geoengineering serves as a prominent example, particularly stratospheric aerosol injection. While this technology offers a potential rapid response to global warming by reflecting sunlight away from the Earth's surface, it remains fraught with environmental risks, ethical concerns, and governance challenges. The absence of a binding international legal framework exacerbates these risks, as unilateral deployment by individual nations could have global consequences.

Renewable energy technologies, on the other hand, have seen more successful integration into international environmental frameworks. Solar and wind energy have become central components of national climate strategies under the Paris Agreement. However, challenges persist, including resource extraction impacts, waste management

from outdated technologies, and unequal technology transfer to developing nations. These issues highlight the need for more robust international cooperation and governance mechanisms to address the lifecycle impacts of renewable technologies.

4.5 Emerging Trends and Governance Challenges

Technological advancements, including artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies, are playing an increasingly significant role in environmental governance. AI offers tools for climate modeling, resource optimization, and early warning systems, providing invaluable support for global environmental efforts. However, the deployment of AI technologies raises several concerns, including their substantial energy demands, lack of transparency in decision-making algorithms, and accountability for unintended consequences.

Balancing innovation with sustainability remains a persistent challenge. The rapid pace of technological progress often outstrips the ability of legal and regulatory frameworks to adapt. Governance mechanisms must be agile and forward-looking to address this gap, ensuring that emerging technologies are deployed responsibly and equitably.

In conclusion, while international treaties, normative principles, and technological advancements provide essential tools for environmental governance, significant gaps and challenges remain. Addressing these issues will require adaptive legal frameworks, enhanced global cooperation, and a balanced approach that fosters innovation while safeguarding planetary boundaries.

5 Conclusion

5.1 Summary of Key Findings

This study has explored the intersection of technology, law, and ethics within the context of the Anthropocene, highlighting both the opportunities and risks posed by emerging technologies in environmental governance. A central finding is that international environmental treaties, such as the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement, have made notable strides in addressing technological innovation but remain insufficient in regulating high-risk technologies like geoengineering. While normative principles, particularly the precautionary principle, have played a crucial role in guiding policy decisions, their inconsistent application and potential to stifle innovation pose significant challenges. Ethical dilemmas, including disparities in technological access between developed and developing nations, continue to undermine global efforts towards equity and sustainability. Through case studies on geoengineering and renewable energy technologies, this research underscores the need for clearer legal frameworks, stronger ethical oversight, and enhanced international collaboration.

5.2 Contribution to Knowledge

This study contributes to the growing discourse on environmental governance by offering insights into the ethical, legal, and environmental trade-offs associated with regulating emerging technologies. It advances the understanding of how normative principles, such as the precautionary principle, can serve as tools for managing technological uncertainty while balancing innovation with ecological preservation. Furthermore, this research highlights the limitations of existing international treaties in addressing rapidly evolving technological risks and advocates for a more adaptive and inclusive approach to global governance. The analysis also emphasizes the importance of ethical justice in ensuring that developing nations are not marginalized in the global technological landscape.

5.3 Recommendations

To address the challenges identified in this study, several key recommendations are proposed. First, there is an urgent need to develop binding international frameworks specifically designed to regulate high-risk technologies, such as geoengineering and artificial intelligence-driven environmental tools. These frameworks should include clear guidelines for risk assessment, accountability, and enforcement mechanisms to prevent unintended environmental consequences. Second, the precautionary principle must be strengthened and applied consistently across technological interventions. Policymakers should strike a balance between caution and innovation to ensure that preventive measures do not obstruct necessary technological advancements. Third, equity must be prioritized in global governance by facilitating technological access, capacity-building, and knowledge-sharing with developing nations. This will help address existing disparities and ensure that the benefits of emerging technologies are distributed more equitably. Finally, stronger accountability measures must be integrated into international legal instruments to ensure compliance and transparency in the deployment of environmental technologies.

5.4 Future Research Directions

While this study has provided a comprehensive analysis of the regulatory and ethical dimensions of technological governance, several areas warrant further exploration. Future research could benefit from quantitative studies that assess the environmental impacts of specific emerging technologies, offering empirical data to guide policy decisions. Additionally, more focused investigations into the role of artificial intelligence and automation in advancing sustainable development goals are needed. These studies could explore the dual potential of AI as both a tool for environmental monitoring and a source of increased energy consumption. Furthermore, longitudinal studies examining the long-term environmental and social outcomes of geoengineering projects would provide valuable insights into their feasibility and risks.

5.5 Final Reflections

In conclusion, addressing the risks and opportunities posed by emerging technologies in the Anthropocene requires an integrated approach that combines legal, ethical, and technological perspectives. International treaties must evolve to keep pace with technological advancements, while normative principles like the precautionary principle must be applied thoughtfully to balance innovation with environmental protection. Equity and justice must remain central pillars of global governance to prevent further marginalization of vulnerable nations and communities. As humanity navigates an increasingly complex technological landscape, fostering collaboration, transparency, and accountability will be essential in mitigating risks and building a sustainable future for all.

Compliance with ethical standards

Statement of ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained

Statement of informed consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

[1] Biber, E. (2017). Law in the Anthropocene epoch. Geo. LJ, 106, 1.

[2] Birrell, K., & Matthews, D. (2020). Laws for the Anthropocene: orientations, encounters, imaginaries. Law and critique, 1-6.

[3] Camacho, A. E. (2023). In the Anthropocene: adaptive law, ecological health, and biotechnologies. Law, Innovation and Technology, 15(1), 280-312.

[4] Cotton, M. (2014). Ethics and technology assessment: a participatory approach (Vol. 13). Berlin: Springer.

[5] de Vries, P. (2022). The ethical dimension of emerging technologies in engineering education. Education Sciences, 12(11), 754.

[6] Farah, P. D., & Giudice, A. L. (2022). Climate Justice in the Anthropocene and Its Relationship with Science and Technology: The Importance of Ethics of Responsibility. Conn. L. Rev., 55, 819.

[7] Fleurke, F., Leach, M., Lindahl, H., Paiement, P., Petersmann, M., & Somsen, H. (2024). Constitutionalizing in the Anthropocene. Journal of human rights and the environment, 15(1), 4-22.

[8] HARRIS, P. (2016). Ethics, environmental justice and climate change. Northampton, Massachusetts Edward Elgar Publishing.

[9] Jaria-Manzano, J. (2019). Law in the Anthropocene. In Research Handbook on Global Climate Constitutionalism (pp. 31-49). Edward Elgar Publishing.

[10] McCormack, P. C. (2018). Conservation introductions for biodiversity adaptation under climate change. Transnational Environmental Law, 7(2), 323-345.

[11] McCormack, P. C. (2019). Reforming restoration law to support climate change adaptation. In Ecological Restoration Law (pp. 265-287). Routledge.

[12] McDonald, J., McCormack, P. C., Fleming, A. J., Harris, R. M., & Lockwood, M. (2016). Rethinking legal objectives for climate-adaptive conservation. Ecology and Society, 21(2).

[13] Offor, I., & Cardesa-Salzmann, A. (2024). Multispecies lawscapes in the anthropocene: priorities for a critical, constitutional turn in climate change and biodiversity law. In Research Handbook on Climate Change and Biodiversity Law (pp. 393-415). Edward Elgar Publishing.

[14] Offor, I., & Cardesa-Salzmann, A. (2024). Multispecies lawscapes in the anthropocene: priorities for a critical, constitutional turn in climate change and biodiversity law. In Research Handbook on Climate Change and Biodiversity Law (pp. 393-415). Edward Elgar Publishing.

[15] Purdy, J. (2015). Coming into the Anthropocene.

[16] Reynolds, J. L. (2021). Earth system interventions as technologies of the Anthropocene. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 40, 132-146.

[17] Robinson, N. A. (2014). Fundamental principles of law for the Anthropocene?. Envtl. Pol'y & L., 44, 13.

[18] Scott, K. N. (2012). International law in the Anthropocene: responding to the geoengineering challenge. Mich. J. Int'l L., 34, 309.

[19] Viñuales, J. E. (2016). Law and the Anthropocene. Jorge E. Vinuales,'Law and the Anthropocene', C-EENRG Working Paper, 4.